



# REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

## WHY ARE CONSERVATIVE LEADERS NOT CONSERVATIVE?

To the casual observer, it seems that Conservative leaders in Canada are shooting themselves in the foot. Instead of proposing conservative ideas, they are copying the extreme left-wing opposition, namely the Liberal, NDP, Bloc Quebecois, and Green parties, by promoting their left-wing, woke policies.

This has resulted in the ridiculous situation where the major political parties in Canada are hardly distinguishable from one another. Only minor tweaking is necessary to have all the political parties reading from the same playbook. Consequently, many Canadians who have a different perspective, namely a conservative one -whether social or fiscal or possibly both- are unable to vote for a party that reflects their views and values.

One reason for this unpalatable situation is that all the leading institutions in Canada are left-leaning. This includes academia, news media, the public service, the judiciary, organized labour, most mainline Protestant Churches, banks, and other corporations. These elites are ruthless in stamping out any perspective and policy alternative to their own. As a result, if a conservative party dares to propose a conservative policy on any issue, it is immediately met with resistance by newspaper columnists, commentators on radio and TV, the academics, who rise up in indignation, contemptuously ridiculing the policy,

attacking the credibility of the proponents of the policy, and even making personal, detrimental comments on the character of the initiator. Corporations also denigrate conservative policies by promoting left-wing policies as both reasonable and conventional norms. Social media also constantly pile on support for the left-wing and add often vile criticism and outright censorship of conservative proposals and individuals.

There is nothing to stop these elites from their attacks as they take no prisoners, allowing no leeway for dissent because they will not tolerate any intrusion on their control of Canada’s national agenda.

Further, left-leaning institutions in Canada actively protect and cover up for the “progressive” policy makers across the country, loudly applauding their leftist agenda. For example, Justin Trudeau has been able to breach ethical principles, provide favourable distribution of taxpayers’ money to his friends and relatives, tell outright falsehoods, plunge Canada’s financial position into unimaginable depths, arrogantly propose mindless unsustainable policies on climate change and child care, death on demand euthanasia legislation, suppress freedom of speech, provide easy access to illicit drugs by drug injection sites, promote decriminalization of drug possession, and ignore sexual misconduct in the military. This is done while gaining tighter and tighter control over Canadians’ lives by way of hate speech legislation (Bill C-36) and government control of broadcasting and print media (Bill C-10). There are no limits to Trudeau’s encroachment on the rights of Canadians because he has the protection of the left for all of his reprehensible actions. The elites remain silent during episode after episode of Trudeau’s failures, moral, financial, and ethical. As a result, Trudeau has become invincible. The elites have placed an impenetrable curtain surrounding and protecting him, so that there is no requirement for him to be accountable.



The Leadership Debate 2021.

Cartoon by Brian Gable, *The Globe & Mail*, September 10, 2021.

### CONTENTS

WHY ARE CONSERVATIVE LEADERS, NOT CONSERVATIVES?.....	PAGE 1
PRESIDENT’S MESSAGE.....	PAGE 2
GOOD THINGS ARE HAPPENING.....	PAGE 3
CHINA’S FAILED POPULATION POLICY.....	PAGE 5
MYSTERIES OF THE LIBERALS’ THINKING.....	PAGE 6

## A TRAP FOR CONSERVATIVES

This tight control by the political left has also created a trap for conservatives, who are led to believe by the left-wing pseudo-elites that the only way to win an election is to put forward the policies supported by the left. Current Conservative leaders have crumbled at the first insult to conservative proposals and have quickly withdrawn their proposals or reinterpreted their position if they do not conform to the left's narrative.

## CONSERVATIVE LEADERS HAVE BEEN INTIMIDATED BY THE LEFT

### 1. Conservative Prime Minister Stephen Harper

Former Prime Minister Stephen Harper was well aware of the complexity of his position as a Conservative leader in a society dominated by left-leaning elites. For the most part, he was able to maintain a fine balance whenever the left/right factions conflicted. Nonetheless, Mr. Harper was persistently haunted by accusations from the left that he had a "secret agenda" and would be springing pro-life legislation on Canadians. He never dared to do so.

### 2. Conservative Leader Andrew Scheer

Andrew Scheer was a man who knew what he truly believed, which was pro-life and pro-family. This was evidenced by his solid voting record over the years as an MP.

However, as party leader, Mr. Scheer received poor advice from his paid advisors. They believed he should dodge the accusations from the left that he was actually a conservative who believed in life and the family. As a result of the conflict between his own beliefs and his advisors' advice, Mr. Scheer was reduced to a mumbling, inarticulate individual when questioned on moral issues. This resulted in him being perceived as weak, indecisive, and unreliable.

### 3. Conservative Leader Erin O'Toole

Mr. O'Toole ensured safety from left-wing attacks by

declaring forthrightly that he was pro-abortion and supported the LGBTQ community. He also stated that he stood with the Trans community in fighting for "equal treatment." O'Toole had courted social conservatives during the leadership race but he lost no time in abandoning them. When he has occasionally uttered a few reasonable conservative comments, he turned into a quivering bowl of jello when criticized for doing so, and then quickly reversed himself.

## THE MISTAKE OF CONSERVATIVE LEADERS

Conservative leaders and the Conservative Leadership have made a fatal mistake in assuming that the majority of Canadians support a left-wing agenda. This assumption is encouraged by the left-wing elites, who believe that all reasonable Canadians agree with their approach and that anyone who doesn't agree is either gravely misinformed or a right-wing extremist. This is a misunderstanding of Canadians. There are, in fact, millions of Canadians who resent the offensive implication that the only valued and reasonable Canadians are those on the left. Many Canadians are unhappy with both the steady drumbeat from the left and their being ignored. They desire policies that reflect their values, views and opinions, and will respond favorably and enthusiastically to a conservative leader who boldly proposes conservative policies. In short, a Conservative leader who proposes conservative values will gain the approval of millions of Canadians who want a Canada that they can recognize, understand, and of which they may be proud. Many Canadians are fed up with the left-wing direction in which Canada is being led.

It's time that Conservative leaders shed their leftist policies and return unapologetically to solid conservative values, proposing a bold new vision of a better Canada. Certainly, the Conservative Party has failed miserably over and over again in its efforts to imitate the parties of the left. It's time it moved on and upgraded its policies to become an authentically conservative party. †

## PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE



Dear supporter of REAL Women of Canada:

Welcome to the September 2021 edition of e-REALity. Since our last issue, we released an ALERT via email, related to the upcoming federal election. Due to the shortage of time, REAL Women was not able to publish our usual election pamphlet wherein we outline the relevant policies of the various parties. Rather, this ALERT was sent, to provide some guidance on how to vote strategically. It is not the policy of REAL Women of Canada to endorse specific candidates, unless it is a party leadership race. Please do your due-diligence research as to who has the best chance of beating the Liberal candidate in your riding AND setting Canada's course in a different direction, away from the left-wing "woke" policies of Mr. Trudeau.

With Prime Minister Trudeau and his Liberal Party threatening to remove charitable status from Crisis Pregnancy Centres and other pro-life groups, offering to increase access to abortion, threatening to censor the internet, and many other anti-family policies, it is imperative that Trudeau **NOT** form the next government. **The future of our country is at stake.** Please get out and vote on September 20. If you have issues with transportation, call your local Riding Association or your local Elections Canada office to see if you can get a ride to the polling station. Remember, that YOUR vote counts!

Thank you so much for your on-going support of REAL Women of Canada. We are ever so grateful to you.

Warm regards,

*Pauline Guzik*

Pauline Guzik, National President †

# GOOD THINGS ARE HAPPENING

Each day brings more dispiriting information. Mixed in with this news, however, is some very good news that the biased, mainstream media do not print, or if they do, publish only obscurely in the back pages of their publications. This is because these news items contradict the woke culture, which the media do not want to become public knowledge.

REAL Women is delighted to report on good news. The pro-life, pro-family voice has not been silenced at all. We bring this information, not just because it is cheering news, but also because it provides evidence that vigorous, positive activity is occurring despite seemingly dark days. Some of the good news items include the following:

## CANADA

### • **Trudeau's Summer Jobs Program takes a Beating**

In 2018, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau changed the requirements for the Summer Jobs Program to require all recipients to sign an attestation that they support Liberal policies on abortion, same-sex marriage, etc. This attestation was met with strong resistance by many individuals and organizations across Canada, which did not support these offensive moral positions. Trudeau tried to soften his requirements, in December 2019, by re-wording the application forms. However, this did not make any substantial difference since it still required adherence to unacceptable moral policies. As a result, several organizations challenged Trudeau's discriminatory policies in the courts.

Two such organizations were a Christian university, Redeemer University, located in Ancaster, Ontario and the Mill Stream Bible Camp in Saskatchewan. A judge on the Federal Court, Justice Richard Mosley, concluded that the Liberal government had breached "procedural fairness" in its treatment of Redeemer University by denying funding solely because the university held Biblical views about marriage. He ordered the government to pay Redeemer University's full legal costs of \$102,000, on the basis that "there is no evidence that the government had made any overt attempt to consider the university's right to freedom of religion or association." In effect, Redeemer University had been denied funding only because the government didn't like its position on sexual morality.

In regard to the Mill Stream Bible Camp, Mr. Justice Mosley concluded that it also had been unfairly denied funds because of its Christian beliefs. The judge also ordered the federal government to pay the legal costs of the Bible Camp.

Toronto Right to Life has brought another court challenge in regard to the Canada Summer Jobs policy, arguing that the government had ignored the Charter Rights to freedom of religion and beliefs. This decision will likely be released sometime this fall.

### • **New Westminster City Council Discriminated against a Christian Church**

The BC Supreme Court ruled that the City Council in

New Westminster, B.C. which had cancelled a contract with Grace Chapel for a youth conference, because the facilitator was Kari Simpson, whom the City Council determined "was an anti-LGBTQ advocate". The court ruled that the City Council had violated the free expression of the church. It reached its conclusion on the basis that once "governments begin to argue that the expression of some ideas are less valuable than others, we find ourselves on dangerous ground."

### • **Manitoba Medical Student Vindicated**

In August 2020, a medical student at the University of Manitoba, Rafael Zaki, a member of the Coptic Christian faith, expressed his pro-life views on Facebook. His posts received anonymous complaints to the University. The complainants brought Mr. Zaki before top medical faculty members, accusing him of being unprofessional (such a description would include thousands of accredited physicians in Canada, who are pro-life) and misogynistic, and stated he was "disrupting the learning atmosphere at the University of Manitoba." The university then expelled him from the only medical school in Manitoba.

Mr. Zaki brought a legal challenge before the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench, claiming he was expelled "for holding conscientious and religious beliefs that abortion is harmful."

The judge concluded that the university had failed to consider the student's Charter Rights to freedom of expression and that the student would not and could not change his deeply held pro-life religious beliefs." Mr. Zaki had stated, in his affidavit before the Court that, "being a Coptic Christian is the very essence of my being, and I cannot be separated from my faith."

## UNITED STATES

### • **A Banner Year for the Pro-Life movement in the U.S.**

Although the U.S. Democratic Party has control of the House of Representatives and the White House, Republicans on the state level have not been idle. They have turned 2021 into a year of great progress for the pro-life movement.

In the first six months of 2021, 90 abortion restrictions were passed at the state level (Republicans control 30 State Legislatures – 24 of which have Republican Governors). Six states enacted gestational age limits on abortion; Oklahoma and Texas passed laws that outlaw abortion in the event the U.S. Supreme Court overturns *Roe v. Wade*; eight States placed limits on the availability of chemical abortion drugs (the abortion pill), and five states enacted laws to protect children born alive after an attempted abortion.

In addition, the U.S. Supreme Court has agreed to hear a case from Mississippi in regard to its fifteen-week abortion ban law.

There is no doubt that the momentum in the U.S. is now on the side of the pro-life movement.

### • **Banning Abortions for Babies with Down Syndrome**

Twenty-two States in the U.S. have asked the U.S. Supreme Court to uphold the 2017 Arkansas law banning abortions for babies with Down Syndrome. In addition, the Governors in Arizona and South Dakota passed bills, in May 2021, banning abortion for Down Syndrome. Similar measures are pending in North Carolina and Texas.

Further, 82 members of Congress from both the U.S. House of Representatives and the Senate have asked the U.S. Supreme Court to uphold the Arkansas law. In their letter, the lawmakers said, “performing an abortion on the basis of a disability disregards the dignity of those with disabilities and perpetuates abortion as a ‘tool of modern-day eugenics’”.

## UNITED KINGDOM

### • **Feminist British MP’s Attempt for Abortion-on-Demand goes Down in Flames**

Labour MP, Diana Johnson, was forced to withdraw her amendment to the abortion law for any reason up to birth. Her bill would have made the U.K. *Abortion Act* redundant by removing all current legal safeguards intended to protect women.

Over 800 medical professionals demanded that the MP withdraw her amendment, stating in a public letter, “Your proposal to allow abortion up to birth in this country would be to attack the heart of the medical profession: our core duty to protect life whenever and wherever possible.”

### • **Franklin Graham’s speaking Tour in England**

When Evangelist Franklin Graham organized a speaking tour of England in 2018, the Blackpool City Council ordered a local Christian festival, involving 200 churches, to remove its advertisements for the festival because it highlighted Mr. Graham as the guest speaker. Mr. Graham has a biblical view on marriage and sexuality. The Manchester County Court ruled the Blackpool City Council had shown “wholesale disregard for the right to freedom of expression” by ordering the removal of the advertisements and for its action, ordered the City Council to apologize and pay £25,000 (\$43,600 CAD) in damages and £84,000 in costs (\$146,500 CAD).

The Court stated that the City Council’s actions were “the antithesis of the manner in which a public authority should behave in a democracy.” The judge also criticized the Council for wrongly characterizing Franklin Graham’s belief on marriage between one man and one woman as “extremist”.

### • **UK Government Orders Single-Sex Facilities**

A review ordered by the Ministry of Housing, in November 2020, concluded that women need safe spaces, given their particular health and sanitary needs and that they feel less comfortable using mixed-sex facilities. Communities Secretary, Robert Jenrick, has now ordered that toilets in workplace offices, shops, entertainment venues, and hospitals be gender specific, requiring mandatory, major refurbishment in all public places.

## EUROPE

### • **Pro-family Alliance Formed in Europe**

An alliance of four Central European nations, known as the “Visegrad Group” (Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and the Czech Republic), has launched a Coalition for the Family in order to protect and promote it. Polish Prime Minister, Mateusz Morawiecki, who hosted the launch, stated, “A society without families would be like civilization without culture, or mathematics without numbers.”

These four countries had, in the past, been subjected to oppression under Nazism and Communism. As a result, they have acquired a keen appreciation of the indispensable role of the family in society, which they regard as essential for their national survival. The coalition stands like a lighthouse, not just for Europe, but for the world. These countries are now enacting laws creating an intensive legal and cultural fortress for the family. This initiative includes a constitutional commitment to protect marriage as a union between a man and a woman.

### • **March in Lithuania to Defend Marriage and The Family**

Lithuania is traditionally a Catholic country, but the current government has been pushing an LGBT agenda. Parliament drafted legislation allowing civil unions for same-sex couples even though a 2019 survey indicated that 70% of Lithuanians opposed the proposed legislation. In response to this legislation, Lithuanian citizens organized the “Great Family Defense March” on May 15, 2021, the first large-scale protest in many years. Approximately 10,000 citizens travelled to the capital city, Vilnius, with people driving to the city, forming car lineups several miles long.

The bill to allow civil unions for same-sex couples failed to clear its first Parliamentary hurdle on May 25, 2021. Parliamentarians in support of same-sex marriage, however, vow to introduce the bill again, but they plan to make changes in it to gain more support.

There appears to be a remarkable disagreement over this issue, judging from the different positions on same-sex marriage taken by the Lithuanian Prime Minister and the President. The Prime Minister, Ingrida Simonyte, strongly supports the bill, but Lithuanian President, Gitanas Nausėda, who spoke at the march, stated that he promised to do his best to uphold traditional marriage between one man and one woman. He stated, “As President, I will use my powers for this to be realized.”

Any further bill supporting same-sex marriage in Lithuania will face fierce resistance.

## SUMMARY

The above are only a few of the positive developments that are occurring around the world, despite the refusal of much of the media to publish them.

The pro-life/family spirit will never collapse. It continues its work, often silently, but always efficiently and effectively around the world. †

# CHINA'S FAILED POPULATION POLICY

In 1979, China launched a one child only population policy in order to improve the economy and to fulfill China's dream of surpassing the United States in global supremacy. It was hoped that such a policy would turn China into a modern, powerful country by 2035. By the year 2011, China proudly proclaimed that its population policy had resulted in the loss of 400 million people, which, it proclaimed, eliminated more people than the entire population of the United States. This Chinese policy was the most ambitious policy that any government had ever attempted, but has had devastating consequences.

This policy has left China with a rapidly aging population, and a country unable to sustain its economy because of the greatly reduced number of workers. It has also led to China's inability to maintain its vast army. With fewer boots on the ground, the Chinese army has become a diminishing threat to the world.

Because of its population policy, the Chinese population increased by only 5.38 percent to 1.4 Billion in 2019, which is the lowest increase since China began taking census in 1953.

This decline has also resulted in China having over 30 million unmarried "excess men" roaming China's cities and towns. Due to the one child policy, millions of baby girls have been aborted or left to die, as the Chinese culture prefers sons to daughters. In fact, according to the census in China in 2020, there were 34.9 million more men than women in China. This lack of women has led to civil unrest in many areas where unattached males are causing disruption, the kidnapping of females, sex trafficking, and the normalization of prostitution.

## TWO CHILD POLICY IMPLEMENTED

Because of the civic turmoil, as well as a downturn in the economy, the Chinese government declared, in 2016, that a two child population policy would be implemented. This new policy, however, has had no effect. The Chinese culture has drastically changed its social norms about family size. Years of propaganda that a single child was a "better birth" and provided a better life for the child and the family, have had a devastating effect.

Nonetheless, the Chinese government, in desperation, announced in April 2021 that it would now be implementing a third child policy, increasing the permitted number to three children. Such a policy will have no more effect than did the previous two child policy. Significantly, this policy applies only to members of the Hun majority but not to members of the Uyghur Muslim minority, which the Chinese Communist Party is seeking to eradicate by forcing them into concentration camps.

## THE BRUTALITY OF THE CHINESE POPULATION POLICY

When China first announced its population policy in 1979, the world, for the most part, smiled approvingly, agreeing that population control was necessary. The UN Agency, UNFPA (United Nations Population Fund), couldn't have been happier with the Chinese population policy. It enthusiastically encouraged other countries to follow China's lead.

Most of the world turned a blind eye to how China had implemented its population policy. The policy brought forced abortions and sterilizations if women exceeded the legal number of children. The government established "womb police" to keep track of women's fertility and births, forcibly carrying out abortions on women at any time during their pregnancy. For those women who managed to hide until the birth of a second child, they were demoted at work, shunned socially, and the second child was excluded from ration coupons, putting their whole family at risk of starvation. Second children were often separated from their family right after birth, and female children, who had not been aborted, were left to die unattended. This has contributed to a shortage of women, which has further reduced the number of births.

If the Chinese government arrogantly believes it has the right to control fertility, then there is no reason to believe that the Chinese population will not be subjected to forced pregnancies should they fail to "voluntarily" increase the population. The Communist Party will begin an escalating series of bribes, threats, and punishments to ensure the people will do as ordered. The arrogance of China's population control policy has caused China to walk itself into a terrible nightmare. †

## MAKING A GIFT UNDER YOUR WILL TO REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

Making a gift under your Will to REAL Women of Canada is a lasting gift, not just to REAL Women itself, but also to Canada as a whole. Canada needs strong families, especially now, when the fabric of society is being torn apart by materialism, selfish individualism, and disrespect for human life.

REAL Women's efforts on behalf of the traditional family have never waived. Through turmoil and adversity, we have put forward our voice on behalf of the family in a clear and uncompromising manner. We can only continue this vital work for many generations to come with your help.

When preparing your Will, please consider assisting REAL Women by making a bequest to our organization so that we can continue with our crucial work. †

# MYSTERIES OF THE LIBERALS' THINKING

It's difficult sometimes, for a reasonable person, to fathom what the Liberals are thinking. Examples of their confused thoughts:

## 1. Inflation

When asked during the election campaign, whether he was concerned about rising inflation in Canada, Mr. Trudeau replied that he wasn't concerned about monetary policy—his concern was about families. He apparently had not connected the dots that inflation affects the family, since it increases the cost of food, gas and all other consumer products.

## 2. Cabinet Minister for Women and Gender Equality Referred to the Taliban as “our brothers”

During a media interview, Cabinet Minister, Maryam Monsef (Peterborough-Kawartha), born in Iran of Afghan parents, but who mysteriously stated in her immigration application that she was born in Afghanistan, must have really meant to say that her *heart* was in Afghanistan, when she described the terrorist Taliban, who now have control of Afghanistan, as “our brothers”. She, herself, is Muslim, but, apparently, had not been alerted by her staff to the fact that there is a difference between Taliban terrorists and other Muslims.

Ms. Monsef tried to explain away her remark as only a cultural reference. It may be the custom in Muslim countries to refer to others as “brothers”, but Ms. Monsef was speaking in Canada as a member of the federal Cabinet, supposedly representing Canadians. Why didn't Ms. Monsef adapt herself to Canadian culture and practices?

## 3. Alternative Facts Provided by the Liberals

Liberal Finance Minister, Chrystia Freeland, posted a tweet, both in English and French, purporting to show that Conservative Leader, Erin O'Toole, responding to a ques-

tion in 2020, favours privatized health care. In fact, O'Toole stressed in the two-minute video the opposite to what Ms. Freeland claimed. The Liberals had heavily edited the video to make it seem that Mr. O'Toole said something he didn't. Twitter flagged the post as “manipulated media”. Even the Liberal-friendly CBC and the Toronto Star ran critical stories about what Freeland had done.

Instead of admitting this obvious mistake, Trudeau doubled down and continued to claim that Mr. O'Toole favours privatized health care.

Trudeau, as Prime Minister, has tearfully apologized for Canada's regrettable treatment of homosexuals, Aboriginals, Jews, Chinese, Japanese, Ukrainian, Sikhs, etc., etc., yet has oddly, never apologized either for his own or his party's mistakes.

## 4. Helping the Media Meet its Bills

In 2019, the Liberal government set aside nearly \$600 million to be distributed over the next five years in tax credits and other incentives to prop up media in Canada. The government claimed that there was nothing political about this plan since it only wanted to ensure Canadians “have access to accurate, relevant news.”

In August 2021, Liberal Heritage Minister, Steven Guilbeault, announced a further grant of \$61 million as “emergency relief” to the media. According to the Minister, the grants were to ensure readers received “timely information they require from their government.” He refused, however, to release the identities of the media receiving this \$61 million funding until after the election.

The list has been obtained through Access to Information and can be found at: [https://www.rebelnews.com/exclusive\\_news\\_media\\_who\\_secretly\\_took\\_trudeaus\\_61m\\_pre-election\\_pay-off](https://www.rebelnews.com/exclusive_news_media_who_secretly_took_trudeaus_61m_pre-election_pay-off). It will help Canadians understand the Liberal Party's favourable media coverage during the election. †

# CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN

## FOR REAL Women of Canada

### OUR FUNDRAISING HAS GONE GLOBAL!

Find us on the WonderWe platform.  
Check out the promotional video!  
<https://www.wonderwe.com/real18>

### SHARE ON YOUR SOCIAL MEDIA.

### SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year | Groups \$50/year | Donation \_\_\_\_\_  
*Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.*

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

Province \_\_\_\_\_ Postal Code \_\_\_\_\_

Tel \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

Send online at [www.realwomenofcanada.ca](http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca) or by mail. Thank you.

---

**REALity** is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**  
PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203  
[www.realwomenofcanada.ca](http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca) | [info@realwomenofcanada.ca](mailto:info@realwomenofcanada.ca)