REAL Women of Canada | www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XXXVII | Issue No. 11 | November 2018

# PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS' ATTEMPTS TO CONTROL OUR CHILDREN

One of the most important issues we have to resolve at this time is the attempt by the liberal left "progressive" provincial governments to usurp the role of parents. They are doing so by trying to instill in our children, by way of the education system, the values of the government, thus eradicating the values of parents. That is, they are trying to intellectually condition vulnerable children not only for the present, but also for the duration of their lives, by indoctrinating them to accept progressive values. This indoctrination separates the children from their own family values, such as cultural and religious beliefs. Such changes will be to the advantage to these governments in later years when these children, as adults, serve as agents of change for the government's future "progressive" policies by diminishing opposition to them.

Each child is unique. They reflect their widely differing backgrounds. They are also the future of any government. This is why the left wing, "progressive" governments are using children as the vital tool to change society to their advantage. Nowhere is this attempt more blatant than in regard to the sex-education curriculum being forced on children in some of the provinces.

### PROVINCIAL SEX-EDUCATION CURRICULA

Sex-education curricula are social engineering propaganda tools that target children. They are put forward under the pretense that they are addressing anti-bullying in the schools, supposedly to protect the safety, respect and acceptance of <u>all</u> students. If so, why are these curricula centered on LGBTQ children and their supposed needs, ignoring those of all the rest of the children?

These curricula, in effect, expose children to the politics and acceptability of sexual activity such as homosexual and gender identity (transgenderism). They also promote sexual activity which separates sexuality from marriage and parenting.

"Progressive" governments argue that they have a responsibility for the education of children in their province. It is obvious, however, that sex-education differs markedly from other subjects, such as mathematics which is factual and neutral. Sex-education goes to the very core of a child's existence. It is neither neutral nor objective as there are widely differing opinions on this issue within different communities. The disabled, Aboriginals, Hindus, Christians, Orthodox Jews and

Muslims — all of whom make up the mosaic of Canadian society, have their own established values, which are being ignored.

Why should their views be ignored and their children propagandized into a single state-determined value system?

#### **ONTARIO**

The lesbian Liberal premier, Kathleen Wynne, together with her Deputy Minister of Education, who subsequently was found guilty and jailed for child pornography, developed, without parental consultation or knowledge, an inappropriate sex-education curriculum. Teachers' unions and the mainstream media support it unconditionally and are demanding that the program continue to be taught in the province's schools despite the concerns of parents.

The Progressive Conservative government in Ontario, elected in June, 2018, is, at present, consulting parents about the program as it plans to develop a new sex-education curriculum.

#### **Consultation Website has been Launched**

(https://www.ontario.ca/page/for-the-parents)

This consultation website contains an online survey as well as the scheduling of telephone town halls in every region of the province.

If you are a resident in Ontario, please submit your views as soon as possible on the sex-education curriculum via an online submission form or by email. If you do not have access to a computer then please express your views by writing to:

### CONTENTS

PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS' ATTEMPTS TO CONTRO OUR CHILDREN	_
BC EVANGELICAL PASTORS TAKE A STAND	PAGE 3
MESSAGE BOARD	PAGE 3
CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FUND SURROGACY FOR FOREIGN PARENTS	PAGE 4
ITALY RETURNS TO PRO-LIFE/FAMILY POLICIES	PAGE 4
HARVESTING ORGANS BEFORE DEATH FROM EUTHANASIA PATIENTS	PAGE 5
REVIEW OF "HUSH", A VIDEO ON ABORTION	PAGE 6

#### MPP Sam Oosterhoff

Parliamentary Assistant Ministry of Education Mowat Block 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 900 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M7A 1L2

Please also participate in the Town Hall consultations when they occur in your area.

The consultation process in Ontario will close on December 15, 2018. Your participation in this consultation is critical because the teachers' unions and the homosexual community are highly organized, and are now dominating the consultation to ensure the dreadful Wynne curriculum be retained.

#### **ALBERTA**

The Alberta NDP government outraged parents by passing the following legislation:

#### Bill 10 - March 11, 2015

This legislation mandated that every school create a Gay Straight Alliance Club and support that club's activities, if a single student requests it. These clubs are ideological clubs which promote a wide range of sexual activity incompatible with the majority of values of families in the province of Alberta. These clubs are not neutral, but promote only the values of one small government-preferred community, the LGBTQ (Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual, Transgender, Queer) — while ignoring all others.

This bill was passed only hours after it was introduced. Neither parents nor members of the public were consulted about the bill which was rushed through in a single day.

### Bill 24 - August 29, 2018

This bill prohibits any parental notification of their child's involvement with a Gay Straight Alliance Club. It also requires independent, religious schools to create a "welcoming, caring environment" by permitting a Gay Straight Alliance Club on a child's request and to create internal school policies "respecting diversity", i.e. acknowledging LGBTQ community demands, but making no reference to all the other communities in the province. This NDP policy is misleadingly called the "Safe, Caring and Inclusive Schools Policy".

Bill 24 mandates government control over the religious character of independent schools and destroys the parents' role in developing their own child's character and values. The determination of the NDP government in Alberta to assume control of the children was made clear in September, 2018, when Deputy Minister of Education, Curtis Clark, threatened religious schools with defunding and loss of accreditation if they failed to remove religious content or faith references from their programs.

These Alberta bills are now being challenged in the courts as contrary to the Charter of Rights.

Parents in Alberta should write to or email the following to express their strong opposition to these totalitarian policies of the provincial government.

> **Premier Rachel Notley** Office of the Premier 307 Legislature Building 10800 - 97 Avenue Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2B6

Phone: 780-427-2251 (Edmonton) Toll free: 310-0000 (in Alberta) Phone: 780-427-2711 (outside Alberta)

Email: premier@gov.ab.ca

Honourable David Eggen (NDP) MLA for Edmonton-Calder Minister of Education 228 Legislature Building 10800 - 97 Avenue Edmonton, AB T5K 2B6

Phone: 780.427.5010 · Fax: 780.427.5018

Email: Education.Minister@gov.ab.ca

#### **BRITISH COLUMBIA (BC)**

In September, 2016, the then Liberal government in BC announced, without advance notice, the adoption of a mandatory controversial and explicit "Sex Orientation and Gender Identity" (SOGI) programme for all schools, including private schools. It was presented under the deceptive guise of "anti-bullying". The curriculum, however, was not about anti-bullying, but rather was a radical and dangerous social experiment, designed to undermine parental authority and values as well as societal structures.

When making this announcement, the government also revealed its new "partnership" with the homosexual organization. ARC Foundation. This Foundation is a "charity" which funds the annual Queer Film Festival in Vancouver and the controversial "Out in Schools Program" which promotes sex activism and inserts identity politics into schools.

The ARC Foundation, funding the Queer Film Festival, encourages students to attend it, thus exposing them to the politics of sex activism and hard-core pornography, which is central to the festival. The ARC Foundation made a grant of \$125,000 to the Faculty of Education at the University of British Columbia. The Faculty of Education is now obligingly providing training for teachers on the SOGI programme.

On July 19, 2017 an election was held in BC, which resulted in a minority NDP government, supported by three Green Party MLAs. The NDP party is, of course, now enthusiastically promoting the SOGI curriculum in BC's schools.

If you are a resident in BC, please raise your objections to the SOGI programme to the provincial Premier John Horgan and Minister of Education Rob Fleming,

> The Honourable Premier John Horgan Langford-Juan de Fuca Community Office

122 - 2806 Jacklin Road Victoria, BC V9B 5A4 Tel: 250-391-2801 Fax: 250-391-2804

Email: john.horgan.mla@leg.bc.ca

The Honourable Rob Fleming

Minister of Education P.O. Box 9045 Stn Provincial Government Victoria, BC V8W 9E1

Tel: 250 356 8247 · Fax: 250 356 0948

Email: rob.fleming.mla@leg.bc.ca

#### **OUEBEC**

The former Liberal government in the Province of Quebec introduced a sex-education curriculum for all Quebec schools to be taught from kindergarten to high school. The curriculum was, insanely, modelled on the sex-education curricula developed in Alberta and BC. This programme was to commence in the school year beginning in September, 2018.

This curriculum completely disregards the child's psychological development and blatantly disrespects parental authority. Same sex marriage is introduced in kindergarten as a new norm. Sodomy is introduced in grade 2. This "education" came in the name of "inclusivity and tolerance". The child's innocence is of no concern. The latency period, described by psychologists as the period where the child has no interest and no use for sexual information is ignored. Children's rights are violated throughout the program as were those of their parents and legal guardians. This "education" (ideology) was imposed with no exemptions. Even private schools are required to teach the government curriculum and all religious accommodation is refused.

Important initiatives by whistle blowers, however, have brought to light the biased curriculum and pointed out the agenda driven material within it. Information, expert analyses, articles and presentations have been shared with parents, elected politicians and the public, divulging the controversy.

A provincial election was held on October 1st, 2018 in which

a conservative government, Coalition Avenir Québec (CAQ) was elected with a strong majority under Premier, François Legault. This newly elected political party, unfortunately, has no intention of altering the route that was set by the previous Liberal government in regard to the sex-education curriculum.

If you are a resident of Quebec, please write to Premier Legault and to the Minister of Education, Jean-François Roberge, requesting that the former Liberal government's sex-education curriculum be withdrawn. Their addresses are as follows

The Honourable François LEGAULT

Premier of Quebec 831, boulevard de l'Ange-Gardien Nord Bureau 208

L'Assomption (Quebec) J5W 1P5 Tel: 450-589-0226 · Fax: 450-589-3457

Email: Francois.Legault.ASSO@assnat.qc.ca

Honourable Jean-François Roberge

Minister of Education 2028, avenue Bourgogne Chambly (Quebec) J3L 1Z6

Telephone: 450-658-5452 · Fax: 450-658-4417 Jean-Francois.Roberge.CHMB@assnat.qc.ca 🛉

### BC EVANGELICAL PASTORS TAKE A STAND

Three hundred Evangelical Pastors in BC have taken a stand against the BC NDP government's sex-education curriculum.

According to Pastor Lorefice Gabeli of Westwood Community Church in Vancouver and president of the Association.

For far too long, many of us in the evangelical churches throughout Canada have been dismissed, intimidated, bullied, and even threatened because of our biblical worldview regarding human sexuality, a worldview that is not only based on the time-honoured truths of the Bible, but is a view protected by Canadian law.

These BC Pastors have signed a statement of faith denouncing the inclusion of homosexuality and transgender identity in the SOGI curriculum. They call their statement "The West Coast Christian Accord" which is a 14 point document outlining the Christian position on gender identity and human sexuality. The Pastors have sent a letter signed by them and one thousand other signatories to the BC Minister of Education, Rob Fleming, requesting him to stop the SOGI curriculum taught in BC schools, because it is believe it is an infringement of their religious freedom.

In their letter, the Pastors state that the SOGI message contradicts the Christian truths, disrespects Charter values, and is harmful to school children, their parents, school teachers, and society as a whole.

Faith communities were excluded from the public discussion about the SOGI curriculum and some Pastors claim they have been vilified in the media over it. Bully tactics by sex activist teachers at meetings set up to inform parents about SOGI have also resulted in threats to some of the churches. Teachers opposed to SOGI fear for their jobs and are reluctant to speak out.

According to the Christian Pastors, the BC government failed in its legal obligations to fully consult with parents before putting the SOGI program into effect. The West Coast Christian Accord is a clarion call to arise and stand as one representative of the Canadian Church, declaring that Christians have the right, as law-abiding citizens, to the freedoms of conscience, speech, and the freedom to practice their faith and values, denied them as well as parents and children by the SOGI curriculum.

The liberal left or "progressives" believe that they can force society to comply with their directives by threats and intimidation. The Pastors in BC have shown that this is not the case.

### MESSAGE BOARD

NEW!!!Crowdfunding Site: REAL Women of Canada has launched a Crowdfunding Campaign on the Wonder We platform. Check us out at www.WonderWe.com/REAL18 and share often on Social Media to get the word out! We hope this will be a major fundraiser for us, to allow us to continue to publish our monthly REALity and to continue our important work of advocating for your pro-life, pro-family values, whether in the courts, in the media, or in the government.

Action Item: Please participate in the on-line consultative process launched by the Federal Government, regarding liberalization of surrogacy. Check out the article in this issue, "Canadian Taxpayers Fund Surrogacy for Foreign Parents" for details. A letter may also be mailed to the address provided. The deadline for input is January 10, 2019. Please request that surrogacy by foreign couples be prohibited in Canada. 🕈

### CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FUND SURROGACY FOR FOREIGN PARENTS

Canada has become a delightful place for foreigners to have a child born to them through surrogacy. Almost half of children born to Canadian surrogate mothers in British Columbia are for parents who live outside Canada. Data from other provinces is not publicly available.

This service is particularly important to those many people who are unable to make such arrangements in their own country where surrogacy is prohibited. These countries include Japan, China, many European and predominantly Muslim countries.

Other countries, such as the US, South Africa, Israel, India, Nepal, Thailand and Mexico ban international surrogacy. Happily for foreign couples, Canada permits surrogacy for foreigners and allows surrogacy even for single persons and for same-sex couples. Only a few jurisdictions, such as Greece, Ukraine, Russia, Georgia and a few U.S. States are as open to foreign surrogacy and are as co-operative as Canada.

There is another delightful aspect for a foreigner having a child by surrogacy in Canada. A Canadian surrogate mother is entitled to publicly funded health care throughout the pregnancy, during the delivery and after the birth. It is irrelevant whether she is carrying the child for herself or for residents of a foreign country. Besides, our neonatal care is top notch and is also publicly funded.

Pregnancy costs and delivery costs, even for an uneventful pregnancy, are considerable. The average uncomplicated birth in Canada rings in between \$3,000 and \$6,000 depending on whether it is a vaginal or a caesarean delivery. Complications in pregnancy can increase this figure alarmingly. Neonatal care would also be pricey if one had to pay for it from one's own pocket. For instance, according to the Canadian Institute of Health Information, care for a baby born at 29 weeks weighing less than a kilogram, costs an average of \$91,946. Further, a Canadian woman carrying a foreigner's child by surrogacy, who is employed at the time of her pregnancy, may also qualify for employment insurance benefits to a maximum of \$6,500.00 after the pregnancy. It's a nice enticement for a Canadian surrogate mother.

There is a slight problem in that Canadian legislation prohibits paying the surrogate mother for her services. But this only

applies to payments within Canada. There is nothing to prevent a money transaction for her services in another jurisdiction. It's just a short hop across the US border to a US state which permits foreign surrogacy to complete such a financial transaction.

Canada is efficient about granting legal parental rights. It varies by province, but generally speaking, parents of surrogate children can be declared legal parents without a lot of hassle in just a few days, and can be issued a birth certificate within weeks. Another convenience is that a child born in Canada, even if his/her parents are foreign residents, has a right to Canadian citizenship, and a passport can be issued for that child in short order. That child is then free to re-enter Canada at any time, and later sponsor his/her parents.

It is little wonder that Canada has become the "go-to spot" for foreign surrogacy arrangements. After all, the Canadian taxpayer pays the costs.

On October 12, 2018 Health Canada announced new regulations under the 2004 Assisted Human Reproduction Act. These proposed changes do not include the prohibition of foreign surrogacy arrangements in Canada.

Public consultations on the liberalization of surrogacy will be held between <u>October 27, 2018 and January 10, 2019</u>. Canadians can email, use the online questionnaire or send a letter to:

Office of Legislative and Regulatory Modernization Health Products and Food Branch Health Canada 3000A, 11 Holland Avenue, Suite 14 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

Email and questionnaire input can be submitted at: <a href="https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/programs/consultation-assisted-human-reproduction-regulations.html">https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/programs/consultation-assisted-human-reproduction-regulations.html</a>

Please request that surrogacy by foreign couples be prohibited in Canada. In person consultations are planned in Vancouver, Winnipeg, Toronto, Montreal and Halifax. Further information is available at the above Health Canada link.

The consultations on the proposed regulations are to CLOSE January 10, 2019. †

### ITALY RETURNS TO PRO-LIFE/FAMILY POLICIES

A new coalition government was sworn into power in Italy in May, 2018. The Coalition includes two parties: The Five Star Movement (MSS) and the League Party. The President of the Coalition is Sergio Mattarella and the Deputy Prime Minister is Matteo Salvini, both of whom are members of the Conservative League Party.

Since attaining power, the League Party has wasted little time introducing pro-life/ pro-family legislation.

 Deputy Prime Minister Matteo Salvini has moved to amend Italian identity cards changing the words "parent 1" and "parent 2" to replace them with the words "mother" and "father".

• Italy's new Family Minister, Lorenzo Fontana, a member of the League Party, has recommended that same-sex couples, who commission children to be born via surrogacy abroad, not be recognized as that child's legal guardians. Under current Italian legislation, passed in 2016, same-sex couples were given the right to civil partnership, but were denied adoption rights. Mr. Fontana states his objection to providing recognition to children

born of same-sex surrogacy abroad is based on the fact that "A child must have a mother and a father".

Senator Simone Pillon, also a member of the League Party, who had previously organized a "Family Day", a yearly antigay-marriage event, and who often campaigned against what he describes as the "gay lobby", has proposed legislation to revolutionize Italy's divorce laws. The law will abolish child support and remove custody from a parent who has alienated that child from his/her other parent. The law authorizes co-parenting of children of divorced couples, whose children would spend the same amount of time living with each parent (currently young children tend to live with their mothers in Italy) — and each parent will pay for the children's needs in taking care of them. If one parent is unable to pay, the wealthier parent will pay for those needs directly, rather than writing a cheque to his or her ex-spouse.

This proposed law is supported by Italy's governing coalition and has a good chance of passing both houses of Italy's Parliament. In a recent interview with the magazine, *Vanity Fair Italy*, Mr. Pillon said that he will soon be proposing a law that will punish women who falsely accuse their husbands of domestic violence. Mr. Pillon, who is a lawyer and a registered family mediator, also plans to introduce mandatory family mediation for couples considering divorce.

The City of Verona, Italy, passed a motion in October proposed by City Council Member Alberto Zelger, a member of the League Party, to declare that Verona be a "city in favour

of life", to finance local pro-life projects and to promote a regional project that allows pregnant women to anonymously give up their babies for adoption.

The motion was passed by 21 to 6 and was backed by the Mayor of Verona, Federico Sboarina, and by the leader of the centre-left Democratic Party, Carla Padovani.

Mr. Zelger's motion also contains strong pro-life statements. It states that the committee "acknowledges that the life of every person from conception until natural death should be welcomed and protected in all its aspects".

The motion is also sharply critical of the abortion law, which was intended for exceptional cases and to prevent backstreet abortions, but, in reality, according to Mr. Zelger, has "contributed to the use of abortion as a mode of contraception". The new law will inform women of alternatives to abortion, such as adoption, economic help, psychological assistance, and job supports.

#### WORLD CONGRESS OF FAMILIES XIII, VERONA, ITALY

With such a positive turn to pro-life/family policies in Italy under the new coalition government, it is not surprising that the next World Congress of Families will be held in Verona, Italy, on March 29–31, 2019. The Deputy Prime Minister, Matteo Salvini, and other local Italian leaders have met with Brian Brown, President of the International Organization for the Family (IOF) which organizes the World Congresses, and have offered to contribute financially to the Congress, an initiative which it enthusiastically supports. †

### HARVESTING ORGANS BEFORE DEATH FROM EUTHANASIA PATIENTS

When a law is passed to allow physician-assisted suicide, the nation is set on a downhill race to death and inhumanity, without any brakes to stop the descent.

The reality of this is hitting home. Even though assisted suicide has only been the law in Canada for just over two years, the campaign has entered a new stage, in that the "dead donor rule" that a patient must be dead before organs are removed, is now being recommended for revision.

In a horrifying article, in the September 6, 2018, edition of the New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM), written by two doctors from the University of Western Ontario, Ian Ball, and Robert Sibbald, and a bioethicist, Robert Truog from Harvard University, the dead donor rule is being attacked. The authors argue that since Canada allows physician-assisted suicide, then Canada should also dispense with the dead donor rule i.e. that a patient must be dead before organs are retrieved. This would allow transplant surgeons to have access to fresh organs. This is contrary to normal protocol, which is to wait for several minutes after blood circulation ceases (donations after cardiac arrest) prior to removing organs. But even in this brief space of time, the quality of the organ to be transplanted declines. The authors of this article argue, therefore, that if organs can be

removed from a prospective euthanasia patient while he/she is still alive (provided consent has been given) then the organs would be as fresh as possible. The authors thoughtfully suggest that the patients would be anaesthetized before their organs are removed, to avoid any "discomfort". In other words, rather than wait for the patient's heart to stop after a lethal injection has been administered by the physician, as is currently done in the Netherlands and Belgium, the removal of the organs, not the lethal injection, would be the direct cause of death.

This would necessitate a change in the Criminal Code of Canada, which currently requires that a euthanasia death must be caused by the administration of a lethal "substance". Currently, a firewall exists between the staff handling withdrawal of life support following the lethal injection, and the staff handling organ donations. This new proposal would eliminate the need for such a firewall.

### THE EFFECT OF ELIMINATING THE DEAD DONOR RULE

Combining euthanasia with organ donation sends a message to vulnerable people that their deaths are of greater social value than their lives. Transplant surgeons, relatives and friends, even Facebook friends, may well encourage

such a death, claiming the "good" the death will bring to society. What if a patient seeking euthanasia directs his donation to a family member? The potential for abuse is obvious. This could also be the point that tips the decision for early death for desperately ill patients who feel lonely and unloved but want to seek meaning for their lives by donating their vital organs. One of the attractions, however, for those wishing assisted suicide, is the prospect of dying peacefully surrounded by family and well-wishers. But an organ removal death would take place in a sterile atmosphere of an operating room—not a happy place or situation.

This proposal would also have the effect of changing transplant specialists from being healers, to killers.

The transplant industry generates \$20 billion annually, spending over one billion on immunosuppressive drugs and pays transplant surgeons handsomely. Hospitals aren't left empty-handed either. They receive a "finder's fee" explained as "administrative costs." The only ones banned from the money gravy train in organ transplants are the donors and their families.

### REVIEW OF 'HUSH', A VIDEO ON ABORTION

HUSH is a Mighty Motion Pictures film, winner of several awards, and viewed at many film festivals. It is a very convincing film, professionally executed, informative and respectful of women's authentic reproductive health.

The film moves from city to city with director, Punam Kumar Gill, who expertly carries out a search for health information on the link between abortion and breast cancer. The film also provides valuable information on other breast cancer risks, fetal development and pregnancy.

Significantly, seven major obstetrical, gynecological, medical and cancer institutes refused to be interviewed. Director Gill wonders why she was dismissed and delves further. Why has information been withheld from women? How do they react when they learn the truth about miscarriage and abortion? Gill herself comes across scientific facts which touch her personal experiences with reproduction.

Several familiar professionals are interviewed: Dr. Joel Brind, Dr. Angela Lafranchi, Dr. Ian Gentles, and Steven Mosher. Footage from important medical conferences are shown, where the realities of abortion and negative health consequences are deliberately kept hidden. Gill asks why. Women who speak out, like Denise Mountenay of "Together for Life Ministries", are candidly interviewed. Their personal stories are vital, yet so seldom considered. The film delves into obscure areas worth exploring by those who want facts rather than emotion when confronting abortion and cancer.

Studies in several countries are brought to light, providing statistics on risk factors for mothers around the world. For example, rates of breast cancer in China have risen 44% for women who had one abortion, 76% for two abortions and 90% for 3 or more abortions. This definitely indicates a link, and knowing the base risk factor would have been helpful.

Unlike every other medical procedure, full disclosure of health risks and consequences in the abortion specialty are hidden from patients. Why? It becomes clear that this must change. We are grateful that the truth is finally brought forward with true compassion in this film.

A Trailer can be viewed at www.hushfilm.com; the film can be rented online for \$7.19; opportunities to host a screening are provided; the video HUSH can be purchased for \$20.

Please contact Denise Mountenay at dmountenay@ telus.net or (780) 267-5714. \*

## CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN

**® REAL** Women of Canada

Our Fundraising has gone global!

Find our recently launched Crowdfunding Page on the WonderWe platform.

https://www.wonderwe.com/real18

Share on your social media.









### SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year   Groups \$50/year   Donation Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.
Name
Address
City
Province Postal Code
Tel Email
Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you

### REALity is a publication of REAL Women of Canada

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203 www.realwomenofcanada.ca info@realwomenofcanada.ca