



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY LEADERSHIP RACE

The Conservative Party of Canada leadership race is thankfully drawing to a close. The race has been marred by unmistakable attempts by the Red Tory organizers of the race, and the Leadership Election Organizing Committee (LEOC) led by Liberal-lite Lisa Raitt (former MP from Milton, Ontario), to eliminate the social conservative candidates from the race. The LEOC was supported in this effort by the mainstream media, notably by columnist John Ivison of the National Post.

As a consequence of the LEOC, there are now only two social conservative candidates remaining in the race. They are Derek Sloan, MP for Hastings-Lennox and Addington and a lawyer, and Leslyn Lewis, a Toronto lawyer.

REAL Women has carefully monitored this leadership race since it was launched in January 2020. This was not an easy undertaking, as it included reviewing the press releases and media interviews of the candidates, the debates and the position papers on their websites, etc. We also checked out the backgrounds of each of the individual candidates

to analyse their history. This research consumed many hours.

REAL Women has now concluded that the following two candidates should be supported as Conservative leader. They are:



Derek Sloan, MP for Hastings-Lennox and Addington and a lawyer, and Leslyn Lewis, a Toronto lawyer.

1. MP Derek Sloan should be our first choice as Conservative leader.
2. Leslyn Lewis should be marked as our second choice.


REAL Women does not recommend that a vote be cast on the ballot for the two Red Tory candidates Peter MacKay and Erin O'Toole. Neither of them is pro-life/family nor can be trusted to take into consideration the social conservative position when making decisions.

VOTING PROCEDURE

The Party will mail out ballots by July 13, 2020. One has to have renewed his/her membership with the Party by May 15, 2020, in order to receive a ballot. If you do not receive a ballot by July 31, call the Conservative Party Headquarters at **1-866-808-8407**. When voting by mail, members must include a photocopy of one or more pieces of identification that provides their photo, their name and their current address. All ballots must be received prior to 5:00 pm EDT, August 21, 2020 or they will not be processed. Be sure to mail your ballot well ahead of this date because of the mail delays caused by COVID-19. †

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|--------|
| THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY LEADERSHIP RACE..... | PAGE 1 |
| CANADIAN CONNECTION TO GEORGE SOROS' REVOLUTION..... | PAGE 2 |
| THE UN IS NOT TO BE TRUSTED..... | PAGE 2 |
| MORE FOR MOTHERHOOD..... | PAGE 3 |
| THE CAMPAIGN BY LGBTQ ACTIVISTS FOR POWER..... | PAGE 4 |
| PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE..... | PAGE 5 |
| DISNEYS SMALL RAINBOW WORLD..... | PAGE 6 |
| NOBODY TOLD US ABOUT THESE FACTS..... | PAGE 7 |
| TRAGIC DISCRIMINATION AGAINST BLACK MOTHERS..... | PAGE 8 |



Cartoon: Brian Gable, Globe and Mail, June 10, 2020.

CANADIAN CONNECTION TO GEORGE SOROS' REVOLUTION

George Soros, U.S. citizen of Hungarian birth, is one of the wealthiest men in the world. Over the past three decades, he has distributed over \$32 billion, worldwide, through his Open Society Foundations. The budget for 2020 alone is \$1.2 billion. Soros' Open Society is active in 120 countries, working through national and regional organizations, and also with opposition political parties in order to undermine pro-life and pro-family governments, such as those in Poland and Hungary.



Photo posted January 22, 2016 on Chrystia Freeland's website captioned "Pleased to join Prime Minister Trudeau for a discussion with George Soros about Canada and the global economy."

The purpose of this funding is to create a revolution to change the world through a radical, liberal agenda. Soros wants to decriminalize drugs and prostitution, strip gender of its biological basis, eliminate national borders, abolish the traditional family, promote abortion and indoctrinate children with a liberal ideology.

His work is often carried out in the shadows without any public accountability or transparency. For example, his money paid for the transportation and logistics support for the migrant caravans attempting to enter the U.S. through Mexico last year. These caravans consisted mostly of individuals from South America, who had magically formed a march through Mexico to crash the borders of the U.S. Similarly, Soros assisted in funding the so-called "March of Women" in Washington in January 2017. This demonstration was merely a rowdy group of anti-Trump feminists that had no lasting effect. George Soros' Open Society Foundations is also funding the current Black Lives Matter protests. These demonstrations are well-orchestrated in a coordinated, organized fashion. Piles of bricks and incendiary devices are delivered in cities, which mysteriously end up in the hands of the protestors.

Canada has had experience with racism, but it is important to note that the importation of black slaves was banned in Upper Canada (Ontario) in 1793. Slavery was banned entirely in Canada in 1834, well before the U.S. banned slav-

ery by the Emancipation Proclamation in 1863.

SOROS' OTHER TIES

Soros is also using other ties to promote his agenda. For example, the Governor of Michigan, Gretchen Whitmer, who was elected with funds from the abortion industry, was a former employee of Soros. Whitmer has created untold

problems in Michigan by ruthlessly demanding and enforcing unreasonable lockdown procedures.

SOROS REACHES INTO CANADA

According to the Globe and Mail, August 12, 2017, Canada's deputy Prime Minister, Chrystia Freeland (former Minister of Global Affairs), has close ties with George Soros. The article described her as a "close personal friend" of Soros. It also made reference to Freeland having dinner with Soros at his home while working as a journalist for the Financial Times. Before entering politics in the general election in 2015, Freeland had a book deal to write an authorized biography of Soros. Ms. Freeland is quoted in the Globe and Mail stating that, "Soros had 'very great hopes for Canada'".

According to the photograph (above), not only Freeland but also Trudeau appears to be a friend of Soros. This photograph appeared on Freeland's website in January 2020 in a series of photographs from the Davos World Economic Forum and was captioned "Pleased to join Prime Minister Trudeau for a discussion with George Soros about Canada and the global economy."

Further, Sarah Goodman, who was formerly Vice President of Business Development and Strategy at Soros-supported Tides Canada, an anti-oil environmental group, was hired in the Prime Minister's Office in 2017 as a policy advisor. In January 2020, Ms. Goodman was promoted from Director of Policy to Senior Advisor to Trudeau. †

THE UN IS NOT TO BE TRUSTED

In response to the coronavirus, the UN plan called "Global Humanitarian Response Plan", to supply healthcare and food to needy countries, was hinged on the requirement that the recipient countries be required to provide abortion liberalization legislation before receiving the funding.

Since the UN emergency plan was not subject to deliberation by its member states, the bureaucrats working under the direction of UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres had slipped this qualifying provision into the plan apparently believing it either would not be noticed, or alternatively, would be ignored during the pandemic.

The U.S. did notice it. On May 18, 2020, the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), which is responsible for foreign aid and development assistance, sent a letter to the Secretary General demanding the UN remove the abortion condition from the global coronavirus aid plan.

It is significant that in 2019, the U.S. gave \$3.5 billion dollars in funding to the UN. The letter was an implicit threat that the U.S. could funnel its aid money elsewhere, rather than the UN, and increase its aid funding to other projects. Such a shift would have a serious effect on UN capacity and

decrease its sphere of influence. The U.S. also could attract other member states, tired of suffering through UN conditionalities, to withdraw their support from the UN entirely.

The UN was built on the principle of the sovereign equality of each member state. That is, member states are supposed to set the agenda for the UN, which the bureaucrats are supposed to facilitate. Instead, the UN has degenerated into a monster that won't keep within its limits, as apparent by the bureaucrats imposing abortion legalization on hapless dependent countries under the guise of pandemic relief.

The action of the bureaucrats is especially disturbing in that UN documents explicitly state that abortion legalization must be determined at the legislative level of member states, and that no UN treaty requires that abortion be implemented in member countries.

The UN bureaucrats under the Secretary General Antonio Guterres should not be allowed to override the principles of the UN, especially by capitalizing on the pandemic.

The U.S. government, by this May 18, 2020 letter, has sent a warning shot across the bow of the UN. †

MORE FOR MOTHERHOOD A CALL FOR REFLECTION AND RECOMMITMENT

Editor's Note: On Mother's Day 2020, the International Organization of Families (IOF) sent a letter to all the UN ambassadors and their missions in New York. The letter was prepared by Douglas Clark, who is IOF's Director of UN and International Policy.

This letter was endorsed by various organizations around the world, including REAL Women of Canada. The letter is reproduced here.

"The great contribution of women to the welfare of the family and to the development of society," declared the United Nations in the preamble to its 1981 treaty on the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, is "so far not fully recognized." Will it ever be?

"While history books sing the victories of valiant emperors and warriors," observed Archbishop Bernardito Auza, former Permanent Representative of the Holy See, "all of civilization... owes an unpayable debt of gratitude to the less chronicled or even unknown contributions of women that have shaped civilizations, like the silent but constant flow of deep waters that shape rivers." Indeed, "humanity owes its survival to the choice women make not just to welcome children, but raise them to be virtuous and authentically human."

Unfortunately, noted the Archbishop, "we're living in a time when the unique value and dignity of motherhood in some societies is insufficiently defended, appreciated and advanced, leaving women culturally and legally in a position to choose between their intellectual and professional development and their personal growth as wives and mothers. Women's essential contributions to the development of society through their dedication to their family and to raising the next generation is inadequately acknowledged. Sometimes their invisible and often heroic service is even disparaged as an antiquated and unwholesome model of feminine life."

That this remarkable development is happening even in the United Nations is a betrayal of the principles it proclaimed shortly after its founding—whose 75th anniversary we observe this year—in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The only time the Declaration designates a class of rights as "special" is when it speaks of motherhood: "Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance." And the

only time the Declaration acknowledges a group unit as having rights is when it speaks of family: "The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State."

This core connection between motherhood and family was emphasized by then-Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon: "Mothers play a critical role in the family, which is a powerful force for social cohesion and integration. The mother-child relationship is vital for the healthy development of children.... We face multiple challenges in our changing world, but one factor remains constant: the timeless importance of mothers and their invaluable contribution to raising the next generation."

But it is a reality that has too often been ignored in the work of the Commission on the Status of Women, whose declaration adopted at this year's annual meeting—reduced by the COVID-19 crisis from the usual ten-day gathering to a one-day procedural event—fails even to mention "mother" or "motherhood." The potential repercussions of such continued failure are ominous, according to Archbishop Auza: "Our future is already mirrored in how we, as individuals and as a society, support mothers to raise strong and healthy families."

As so many across the world celebrate Mother's Day in 2020, we urge that this unique convergence with UN75 and COVID-19 be a time of reflection and recommitment: reflection on the yet-to-be-fully-appreciated role of mothers; and recommitment to provide that "special care and assistance" to which they are entitled as they fulfill their world-changing role. "Families are truly the foundation of society," said President Ronald Reagan, "and mothers the vital foundation of the life of the family. Their influence on the training and education of our youth is so deep and pervasive that it is impossible to measure."

International Organization for the Family · Center for Family and Human Rights · United Families International · American Family Association of New York · Native American Fatherhood and Families Association · Latin American Alliance for the Family · FamilyPolicy.RU Advocacy Group, Russia · REAL Women of Canada · Women of the World Global Platform · Institute for Family Policy, Spain · CitizenGo, Spain · Family First, New Zealand · Novae Terrae Foundation, Italy †

THE CAMPAIGN BY LGBTQ ACTIVISTS FOR POWER

Editor's Note: The following information is provided at this time because of Bill C-8 An Act to Amend the Criminal Code Conversion Therapy, which was discussed in detail in the April issue of REALity. If this Bill should be passed into law, there may no longer be freedom of speech to discuss the homosexual issue. Information on the facts, history and accurate scientific research on the subject will be forbidden.

As a result, you may want to retain this article for future reference, as it may constitute hate literature under Bill C-8. If this bill is passed it may mean that this material may no longer be promoted or communicated publicly without legal implications.

HOMOSEXUALS STAMP OUT OPPOSITION

Although homosexuals comprise only a small minority of the population (at most 2.5 to 3%), activists want the power to dictate to society what we are to believe on the issue, and how we must treat their community. To obtain this power, LGBTQ activists have had, in general, two major methods of forcing society to accept their agenda.

The first is to bully and intimidate those who resist their agenda. They do this by using economic and legal threats to silence resistance to their policies. They are enabled in this by an uncritical, left-wing media, which serves as an echo chamber for all their policies. The media obligingly portray those who uphold traditional values as dinosaurs, “weirdos” or “jerks” (as stated by columnist Chris Selley in the National Post, November 8, 2019).

Secondly, the activists effectively portray themselves as victims by promoting the myth that they cannot change their orientation, and that “being gay” is an innate characteristic, such as a born-with disability or one’s race, which requires legal protection and support.

This has proven to be the most successful of all strategies and lies, and was part of a carefully designed campaign.

BACKGROUND TO THE HOMOSEXUAL CAMPAIGN FOR POWER

In February 1988, a “war conference” of 175 homosexual activists met in Warrington, Virginia (near Washington, DC) to establish an agenda for the homosexual movement in order to proceed with their cause. At that meeting, two homosexual activists, Harvard graduates Marshall Kirk, a psychologist, and Hunter Madsen, an advertising specialist, presented their manifesto. It proposed dismissing the movement’s established techniques in favour of a carefully calculated propaganda campaign that would lay the groundwork for a new stage in the homosexual revolution. This manifesto was later published in 1989 in the book *After the Ball: How America Will Conquer its Fear and Hatred of Gays in the 90s*, published by Doubleday. The goal of this manifesto was to create a specific strategy to obtain power and acceptance by putting homosexual culture into the mainstream, and to silence the opposition. It was to be used to convert the culture so as to give homosexuals power and to codify

homosexual behaviour as a “right”, not merely an orientation to be tolerated. The homosexual movement appears to have been stunningly successful in this objective.

The campaign was, and still is, based on the manipulation of the public by way of a very specialized marketing scheme to move the public into the homosexual camp. It has been successful because it was clever and was accomplished without the public being aware of it. In fact, the critical point of the campaign was not to let the public know how and what was being done. This absence of knowledge about the manipulation was necessary because, if it were known that the public was being manipulated on a social issue, it would have tarnished the supposed “nobility” of their cause. Advocates like to claim that they achieved grassroots support, supposedly an expression of the public’s thoughtful views. This was not the case.

THE CAMPAIGN

The first step in the campaign was to portray those who refused to buy their “product”, i.e. acceptance of homosexuality, as bigots and homophobes who were ignorant, hateful and intolerant. To do so, they placed their opponents in the same category as racists, sexists and other disreputable classes. As a result, those opposing the LGBTQ agenda were placed in an uncomfortable position and mental state. In order not to be seen as a bigot, they had to either rationalize their true beliefs or replace them with new beliefs. This is a key factor, which has resulted in many of the public succumbing to the homosexual demands. They didn’t want to be seen to be out of step with society.

Specifically, Kirk and Madsen recommended the campaign be carried out as follows:

1. Desensitize the public by a flood of gay-related advertising and promotion. An individual today needs only to turn on the TV any time of day or night to see an endless stream of gay content and references.
2. Anyone objecting to the homosexual agenda was smeared by linking them to objectionable events, such as the Nazi horrors of the Holocaust or the Ku Klux Klan lynching blacks. Religious figures were portrayed as hysterical backwoods preachers.
3. The public was to be repeatedly exposed to pictures of gay couples carefully selected to look as ordinary folks, just like the viewers themselves and their friends. Homosexual TV and Hollywood writers regularly portrayed characters opposing homosexuality as absurd and foolish, as in the popular TV series “West Wing”. One episode of this series, for example, carried out what amounted to the character assassination of the popular conservative radio psychologist Dr. Laura Schlessinger. They successfully derailed her move to TV and also from public life.
4. Kirk and Madsen insisted that the campaign keep the unsavoury details of homosexual practices away from the public. They stated, “First get your foot in the door, by

being as similar as possible; then, and only then—when your one little difference is finally accepted—start dragging in your other peculiarities, one by one.” The “little” difference includes sadomasochism, an intensely promiscuous lifestyle, drug-fueled sex parties, multiple sexual partners, and paedophilia, etc. that were experienced and promoted within the homosexual community. Those within the LGBTQ population with these affinities were obliged to keep a low profile during this stage of the campaign. Abhorrent and unhealthy homosexual practices, such as anal-oral sex, anal sex, and fisting were kept well under cover. What many homosexuals actually do was carefully hidden. This has resulted in shifting the debate from their sexual behaviour (which is the only common thread among them) to their supposed “identity”, thus, forcing those raising objections to be perceived as attacking their supposed civil rights, rather than attacking their risky, unhealthy and sterile sexual practices.

SUMMARY

We like to think that public opinion arises spontaneously, more or less from ordinary people reacting to their own experiences. It's not very flattering to think of oneself as being manipulated and influenced by a clever propaganda campaign. However, homosexual activists have successfully used this extensive public relations campaign in order to influence society without the public realizing it. When the lid is blown off the process, most will resent that they have been manipulated.

Despite studies and information to the contrary, the public will continue to be plied with manipulative movies, TV programming, and newspaper articles portraying homosexuals as ordinary, sensitive individuals who are misunderstood and mistreated.

Unsavory LGBTQ lifestyles, sexual practices, and nasty activities such as intimidating and bullying are totally ignored and are contrary to what is portrayed to the public.

STUDIES ON THE LGBTQ ISSUES

There are many reliable studies that indicate that the lies promoted through homosexual propaganda are not supported by science. Some of these include the pervasive argument that homosexuals are born with their orientation and cannot change, that transgenderism is a reality and not a mental health problem, and that their lifestyles are both normal and a valid choice as an expression of sexuality, with no negative health or societal implications.

A few of these studies are listed below as examples of the many studies that refute homosexual propaganda:

[“Sexuality and Gender: Findings from the Biological, Psychological, and Social Sciences”](#) by Lawrence S. Mayer, Paul R. McHugh, *The New Atlantis*, Special Report Fall 2016

[“Growing Pains: Problems with Puberty Suppression in Treating Gender Dysphoria”](#) by Paul W. Hruz, Lawrence S. Mayer, Paul R. McHugh, *The New Atlantis*, Spring 2017

[“How Psychology has Helped Society Accept Homosexuality”](#) by Sam McFarland, *American Psychological Association*, May 2018

[“No Single Gene Associated with Being Gay”](#), BBC, August 2019

[“Massive Study Finds No Single Genetic Cause of Same-Sex Sexual Behavior”](#) by Sara Reardon, *Scientific American*, August 2019

[“There is no ‘gay gene.’ There is no ‘straight gene.’ Sexuality is just complex, study confirms”](#) by Nsikan Akpan, *PBS.org*, August 2019 †

PRESIDENT’S MESSAGE



Dear supporter of REAL Women of Canada:

Welcome to the July 2020 issue of REALity. We hope this issue finds you and your family doing well.

This certainly has been a strange Conservative Party of Canada (CPC) leadership race. People without access to a computer have been disadvantaged by this virtual campaign. Very little material from candidates has actually been mailed to members by Canada Post. This issue of REALity has an article “The Conservative Party Leadership Race”, which was also sent out as an ALERT by e-mail on June 25, 2020. It contains some very important dates and deadlines, as well as lists the two candidates who can be supported by pro-life/pro-family voting members of the CPC. They are MP Derek Sloan and Leslyn Lewis. With the preferential ballot system, it is not considered spoiling one’s ballot if not all four names are ranked. One only has to rank the names who are supportable, in good conscience, as professed pro-life/pro-family candidates.

CitizenGO has an online petition to stop Prime Minister Trudeau from his most recent funding of abortions overseas, to the tune of \$8.9 million. <https://citizengo.org/en-ca/180388-say-no-funding-abortions-overseas-during-covid-19>. Please sign this petition and encourage others to do so as well. It is important to support the well-being of Canadians, not the global abortion regime. COVID-19 has left many Canadians struggling and has put our government deep in debt. Even if it weren’t for our present circumstances, there still is no good reason for our country to be funding abortions overseas, or even in our own country, for that matter.

Thank you so much for your ongoing support. We are a grassroots organization and rely heavily on our grassroots supporters.

Regards,

Pauline Guzik

Pauline Guzik, National President †

DISNEY'S SMALL RAINBOW WORLD

The Walt Disney Company was established in 1923 to provide wholesome entertainment for children and families. This objective has disappeared from the expanded Disney conglomerate.

The family-friendly characters and parks that we love are being cast to the wayside as Disney's movies and amusement parks are becoming saturated with LGBTQ characters and directives.

Out of fear of retaliation from the radical LGBTQ community, Disney has given in to the LGBTQ demands to use their network to indoctrinate children on homosexuality. The result of this intimidation is that, under the bullwhip of homosexual activists, Disney Productions has become a quivering, cowering company that has placed its creative talent at the service of the homosexual cause. This is based on the recognition by homosexual activists that the popular Disney Productions are an excellent source of indoctrination of children to achieve social change. By catching children at a young age, it is believed they will come to regard homosexuality as normal.

If homosexuality were only a harmless lifestyle choice, this indoctrination would not be alarming. However, homosexuality leads to unnatural, unhealthy and deviant behaviour, which causes devastating harm to the individuals who participate in it. The truth is homosexuals/lesbians do not lead happy, normal or healthy lives. Their lifestyle is very destructive. This creates a real concern that children will be misled by Disney programming to believe that homosexuality is merely an alternative lifestyle choice to be tried and experienced. This is a betrayal of children and denies parents' obligation to protect them.

For those who believe that Disney is still joyous family entertainment, they should be aware of the developments that have changed Disney productions in the last few years. These changes have been insidious and subtle, but have gradually increased to portraying a distinct homosexual culture. Disney recently depicted a full-blown homosexual character in its production *Out* in a Pixar short on Disney+ (its streaming service). Aimed at young people, it is an animated nine minute short film. It is about a young homosexual man deciding whether to tell his parents that his boyfriend has moved in with him. This is not what every child needs to know, or finds of interest.

For those who might believe that this assessment is extreme, they should be aware of the following:

1. Disneyland Paris hosted a gay Pride parade deceptively called "The Magical Pride Diversity Parade". As a result, an amusement park, created for families and children, featured a parade of homosexuals and transgenders as well as activities promoting the LGBTQ lifestyle. The LGBTQ community is insisting now that such parades take place in Disney parks everywhere every year. This demand is being actively reviewed by the Disney Board of Directors for possible implementation.
2. The Disney channel's popular teen show called *The Andi Mack Show*, in its season finale in 2019, portrayed a 13-year-old male gay character who, in this last episode, confesses his feelings for a male classmate. As romantic music plays on the soundtrack, the camera pans away as he takes the hand of his male companion. This episode brought gushing

reviews from critics and LGBTQ advocates.

3. In the children's cartoon series, *Star vs. the Forces of Evil*, one of the children disguises himself as Princess Turdina to save a school of princesses from a terrible headmistress. When the headmistress discovers that, in reality, Princess Turdina is male, the other children shout out ideological LGBTQ slogans, such as, "Why does it matter if he's a boy?"; "He can be a princess if he wants to" and "Turdina is a state of mind".

The message aimed at children is that males can be princesses, and that gender is a "state of mind". This is the essence of transgenderism.

4. The popular *Toy Story 4*, released in theatres in 2019, includes "two mothers" bringing "their" child to school. A child might rightly ask "Can a child be born to two women?" According to Disney, apparently, yes, and it is supposedly normal.
5. On March 6, 2020, Disney released the animated movie *Onward*, which introduces a self-identified homosexual character into the Disney world. This animated film has sexual notions beyond the comprehension of many of the young viewers and is attempting to portray homosexuality as normal.
6. In April 2020, Disney introduced a gay dad couple to children in a reboot of its popular *DuckTales*. The co-executive producer and story editor Frank Angones expressed regret and apologized for the difficulty experienced by trying to change established Disney characters developed in the 1980s to LGBTQ+ narratives. He stated gamely, however "there's always opportunity for a lot more ... I'm dedicated to being better and finding new opportunities for [homosexual] three dimensional representatives in future shows."

A PETITION OF MORE THAN HALF A MILLION SIGNATURES

The pro-life/pro-family community has not been idle over this attempt to indoctrinate innocent children on homosexuality. For example, in November 2019, CitizenGO delivered a petition, consisting of 700,000 signatures at the shareholders' meeting to Disney Company's former CEO, Robert A. Iger, and the Disney Board of Directors in Orlando, Florida, objecting to Disney parks, movies and merchandise promoting the LGBTQ ideology. CitizenGo's Campaign Director for the U.K. and Ireland, Caroline Farrow, attended the meeting and was able to ask Disney's CEO Bob Chapek why Disney was continuing to alienate so many families by incessantly pushing the LGBTQ agenda. Mr. Chapek refused to answer.

According to internal information, this petition has troubled Disney's Board of Directors since it indicates that families are rejecting the indoctrination of their children by the LGBTQ community. Disney may be pandering to the threat of LGBTQ activists, but the corporation's finances and stock value are based on the support of families, which are the future of the corporation. Financial losses are the only thing that will stop Disney. It is also necessary to have petition drives, mass digital campaigns and picketing by on-the-ground protesters

which will eventually push Disney's leadership to return to family-oriented programming. Fundamentally, however, it is essential that families refuse to watch Disney Productions or purchase its products. Please do not subscribe to Disney+, or if you have subscribed, please [unsubscribe](#). This will diminish its financial returns, which is its major concern.

Something must be done about Disney. It cannot go on pandering to the demands of the minority LGBTQ community. We are the parents and grandparents who comprise most of society. We cannot and will not be pushed around by Dis-

ney's submission to the demands of the LGBTQ community.

Please let Disney know your objections to its homosexual programming. Write to:

Bob Chapek
Chief Executive Officer
The Walt Disney Company
500 South Buena Vista Street
Burbank, CA 91521-4873

If you are able, please also share your objections on social media. †

NOBODY TOLD US ABOUT THESE FACTS

There are events taking place right under our noses that mainstream media haven't mentioned. These facts are very relevant in these turbulent, uncertain times. Unfortunately, we have space to list only some of them at this time.

SEXUALITY AND ADOLESCENCE

According to a paper issued by the U.S. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, dated January 5, 2018, the prevalence of sexual activity by adolescents decreased at an astonishing rate between 2005-2015. This is a positive change among adolescents, not only in the U.S., but also in Canada, the U.K. and Japan, where studies show they are also experiencing this phenomenon. In Japan, for example, the proportion of young adults with no sexual experience has increased in the past two decades, according to a paper published in 2019 by the University of Tokyo Department of Global Health Policy. The paper suggested that this change may be due to unemployment, temporary/part-time employment, and low income. It went on to state, however, that "Further research is needed on the factors contributing to the public health and demographic implications..."

The CDC paper also states that "Understanding underlying causes of these decreases and the prevalence of never having had sexual intercourse can inform strategies to ensure that such decreases continue."

EXTREMISM OF THE GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA AND U.S. HOUSE SPEAKER NANCY PELOSI

It is interesting to note the Governor of California, Gavin Newsom, demanded that all pregnancy centres promote abortion and required health insurance companies to hide from parents medical procedures such as abortion and sex-change operations. He also required religious organizations to cover elective abortions in their healthcare plans. Gavin Newsom is the nephew of the Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi. She promotes abortion up to birth and homosexual rights to have priority over other rights.

CYBERBULLYING IN CHILDREN

A study, entitled "Cyberbullying Victimization and Mental Health in Adolescents and the Moderating Role of Family Din-

ners", published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)* 2014 168 (1015-1022), indicates that cyberbullying victimization in adolescents can be decreased, surprisingly, by frequent family dinners, when the family informally communicates with each other. These family dinners allow the child to experience his/her vulnerabilities and express them. This helps the child to retain or open his or her curiosity and to learn to express his or her views, while also listening to others. A family having dinner every night is ideal but difficult. It is suggested that the family meeting for dinner once or twice a week would be sufficient.

CRIME STATISTICS

Statistics Canada's so-called incidents of hate crimes are not actually proven crimes of hate. These statistics are only reports given to the police by individuals who think that a hate crime may have occurred. It doesn't mean that someone has been found guilty of committing a hate crime or that police have properly investigated the incident. It just means somebody said that something happened that may have been a hate crime and the police jotted it down for the record.

In actual fact, Statistics Canada does not request information on the actual number of complaints laid by police, or the number of convictions and acquittals related to hate crimes. As a result, Canadians have no idea of the actual number of genuine hate crimes committed in Canada.

U.K. BANS TRANSITION TO ANOTHER SEX BY ADOLESCENTS UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE

In April 2020, the U.K. government announced that it will take measures to prevent children under 18 years of age, confused about their sex, from proceeding with sex change attempts. This would protect them from transitioning to another sex, a decision that could tragically affect their future. The U.K. government stated there would be three principles involved in their future legislation:

Those under 18 years of age would be protected from decisions that are irreversible in the future, such as sex transition.

Those over 18 years of age would be able to live their lives as they wish and may continue to transition to another sex.

There will be protection for single-sex spaces, such as locker rooms and washrooms. †

TRAGIC DISCRIMINATION AGAINST BLACK MOTHERS

The black family in the U.S. has been a target for ill-treatment for centuries. In the 18th and 19th century when slavery was legal, the slave owner could cruelly sell individual members of a black family for his financial benefit without the slightest consideration for the family's deep emotional ties to one another. A family member who was sold was never seen again.

Cruelty to black families continues today as black American mothers are targeted for abortions. In 2014, 36% of all U.S. surgical abortions were performed on black women, whereas black Americans comprise only about 13% of the U.S. population. This situation is particularly disturbing in the state of Mississippi where 72% of all abortions are performed on black women, even though African-Americans comprise only 34.7% of the population in that state. The abortion rate in blacks is 4.3 times higher than for non-blacks. The U.S. has lost more than 19 million black American babies to abortion since the U.S. Supreme Court decision in 1973 of *Roe v. Wade*.

This is not just a tragedy for the mother and the unborn child, but also for children born to the mother subsequent to that abortion. This is because surgical abortions increase the risk of premature birth and low birth weight in future pregnancies. This is especially the case for abortions that occur after 12 weeks of pregnancy. The risk of future premature birth and low birth weight was higher among women who had abortions after 12 weeks of pregnancy. Women who had abortions earlier in their pregnancy also showed an increased risk of premature births, when compared to women who had never had an abortion.

Abortion can lead to an incompetent cervix, which is an indication of higher risk. The risk factors include low birth weight, internal infection, and Cerebral Palsy for the child. Other risk factors include respiratory distress, gastro intestinal injury, autism and mental disabilities.


It is heart breaking that American black mothers have been targeted by Planned Parenthood. Nearly 80% of Planned Parenthood clinics are located in black and Hispanic communities today. This is no accident. In 1916, Margaret Sanger founded

Planned Parenthood and the virulently racist American Eugenics Society. Sanger stated, in 1923, that birth control does not mean "contraception indiscriminately practiced", but instead, the elimination of defective "human weeds". Sanger also voiced support for the sterilization of those with mental disability and stated in a 1923 article published in *The Thinker*, "a better birth control must be devised to eliminate the degenerate and the defective." In 1938, Sanger addressed members of the white supremacist, anti-Semitic and anti-Catholic organization, the Ku Klux Klan. Although she may not have supported the organization, she stated, "any aroused group was a good group". The totality of her writings and social activism were steeped in eugenic elitism and racism. She also started a program called "The Negro Project" in 1939, stating: "We don't want the word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population". She believed that the increasing number of poor blacks in the U.S. could be prevented by way of encouraging birth control for black women. Planned Parenthood today has not departed far from its roots.

Planned Parenthood has a staunch supporter in U.S. Supreme Court Judge, Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who strongly agrees with the *Roe vs Wade* decision, which was laced with ideals for population control. Ginsburg stated, in a New York Times interview (July 7, 2009), "frankly I had thought that at the time *Roe* was decided, there was concern about population growth...in population[s] that we don't want to have too many of". In *Elle* magazine (Sept 23, 2014) Ginsburg insinuated that women of means have access to abortion and that poor people must also have ready access to abortions because "it makes no sense as a national policy to promote birth only among poor people". She thought that these poor people whose background and living standards may not be up to her expectations, should be permitted and encouraged to abort their babies too.

Although abortion advocates state that abortion is all about "women's rights", it is really about controlling the population of those "we don't want too many of", as stated by Ginsburg.

Today, the slowest growing ethnic group in the U.S. is African American. Margaret Sanger would be pleased. †



Cartoon: Theo Moudakis, Toronto Star, June 6, 2016

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca