REAL Women of Canada | www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XXXVIII | Issue No. 2 | February 2019

## THE RETURN OF THE NOTORIOUS COURT CHALLENGES PROGRAM

Trudeau is steadily increasing our national debt, now at \$667 billion, by allocating taxpayers' money to left-wing organizations, to ensure that Canada is changed to match his own values. He is devoid of any fiscal or common sense.

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced that his government will be returning to active duty its previous weapon to bring about politically correct "progressive" laws. The weapon is the notorious Court Challenges Program (CCP), initially established in 1978 by his father, Pierre Elliott Trudeau.

This objectionable program was disbanded by two different Conservative governments but has been resurrected again.

The purpose of this program is to give grants to left wing "progressive" activist groups to assist them in bringing legal challenges on controversial laws so that judges can obligingly make favourable decisions. This avoids the Liberals having the changes debated and voted on in Parliament. That is, Liberal politicians prefer to wait for judges to make "progressive" laws, rather than working to build consensus on controversial issues. It is a back door way for the Liberals to force policy changes they want, without political risk.

An example of this tactic occurred in the physician assisted suicide case (the Carter case) when the litigants received funding to bring a challenge to the Criminal Code provision prohibiting euthanasia (assisting in the death of another person). The subsequent court decision allowed the Liberals to say that the court forced their hand.

Currently, the legal arm of the feminist organization, the Women's Legal Education and Action Fund (LEAF), has received government funding to intervene in a case before the Ontario Court of Appeal. This case was brought by Christian doctors, who oppose a policy requiring them, contrary to their consciences, to refer patients for an abortion or assisted suicide. LEAF has received funding in the past from the CCP, for 140 separate cases, on a multitude of issues that most women viewed as extreme and unreasonable, in that arguments were based on extremist, feminist ideology. These court decisions did not actually benefit "women" but, rather, benefitted and promoted the feminist lobby. The views of LEAF are merely a

single thread among the many threads that make up the fabric of women's views in Canada. The CCP is a travesty of the equality and justice which it is supposed to represent.

In addition, LEAF was also recently awarded \$880,000 by the Department for Women and Gender Equality, (WAGE, formerly Status of Women), to develop, over five years, a plan for "strategic litigation" to assess with feminist groups and other stakeholders, "intersectional gender equality issues that can be effectively addressed through feminist strategic litigation". In short, this money is a pathway, with no strings attached, paid by the taxpayers, to challenge any law the feminists decide they do not like. The Liberals, of course, will happily enforce any court decisions once judges have done their job of cutting out any remnants of laws that have been based on Judeo-Christian principles. Of course, the Liberals claim that the only purpose of the CCP is to assist the poor and disadvantaged, to allow them to have a level playing field before the courts. This is pure rubbish.

One thing is certain, REAL Women knows that there is no possibility that any application it makes for funding will ever be approved by the CCP. We have experienced rejection from the program in the past. We can safely predict that only left-wing organizations, such as LEAF, homosexual groups, and others will be funded under this program.

Trudeau is steadily increasing our national debt, now at \$667 billion, by allocating taxpayers' money to left-wing organizations, to ensure that Canada is changed to match his own values. He is devoid of any fiscal or common sense. He sees only his own image, as a modern progressive leader, and believes that this is all that is needed to mold the world to his limited vision. •

### **CONTENTS**

THE RETURN OF THE NOTORIOUS COURT CHALLENGES PROGRAM PAGE 1
OUR EXTRAVAGANT FEMALE GOVERNORS-GENERALPAGE 2
JUSTIN TRUDEAU'S ARROGANCE AND LACK OF INTEGRITY PAGE 3
THE CBC IS A PROPAGANDA TOOL FOR THE LEFT WING SOCIAL ACTIVISTS PAGE 5
DRESIDENT'S MESSAGE PAGE 6

### OUR EXTRAVAGANT FEMALE GOVERNORS-GENERAL

Why is Canada burdened by extravagant female Governors-General ("GG") who can't turn off their "royal" status, even though they have long retired from the position? They also seem unable to keep their hands away from the honeypot of government funding after stepping down in order to pursue their own interests and pleasures.

#### **ADRIENNE CLARKSON (1999-2005)**

Ms. Clarkson's six-year tenure as GG was notable for her extravagance. The cost of maintaining her in office nearly doubled from \$11 million annually and eventually climbed to \$19 million over the six years she was GG. She seemed unconcerned about how much her extravagance was costing the taxpayers. The stories of her high-rolling in office are legion. For example, she and her husband and 50 of their closest friends took a tour of Russia, Finland and Iceland that cost the taxpayers \$5.3 million.

In the last two years of her appointment, Clarkson and her husband flew to New York City on government aircraft ten times. She refused to publicly disclose the purpose of these overnight trips (to see Broadway shows). The military Challenger aircraft costs \$2,032 per hour to fly, totalling \$48,564 for these ten trips. This did not include salaries for air and ground personnel, support and engineering, services, holding facilities, security and crew training services associated with Challenger.

In 2011, six years after her departure from office, Clarkson demanded \$500,000 from the taxpayers to cover secretarial services, claiming they were required to respond to correspondence relating to her term of office as GG. During this

Retired

This cartoon by Brian Gable ,was published: on November 3, 2018 in The Globe and Mail.

six year period, Clarkson wrote two books to enlighten Canadians. One of these books was, naturally, all about herself. The \$500,000 included secretarial and research services to produce these books.

Even though Ms. Clarkson has been retired as GG for thirteen years, she believes that she is still entitled to her entitlements as "royalty". She has received \$1.1 million for expenses she claims are for her "continuing work" on behalf of Canadians. This money comes from a fund set up in 1979 for GGs, which is unique for federal government positions as there is no transparency as to how the money is spent, and the Access to Information Act does not apply.

Ms. Clarkson has, in fact, filed for even more expenses than the \$1.1 million, as further expenses were filed by her under different headings but do not appear in the Public Accounts. The current limit on the GG fund is \$206,000 per year, which Clarkson has spent to the limit in multiple years since she left Rideau Hall.

According to last year's public accounts, the five previous GGs charged the fund a total of \$228,000.00 (that is divided among the five).

In addition, Clarkson was given a \$3 million start-up fund by the federal government (legacy fund) to establish a Citizenship Foundation which arranges public discussions to showcase the knowledge, insight and intellect of herself and her husband and their elite friends. The pretensions of this couple are astounding. This year the "discussion" is being held in Berlin. Although Clarkson says she receives no pay for these events, one can be assured that her Foundation covers both her and her husband's costs for travel, accommodation, meals, etc., at locations commensurate with her perceived "royal" status.

When journalists questioned Clarkson's executive assistant about her high expenses, the assistant made the jaw-dropping comment that these expenses, were a "private matter". This raises another question - namely, whether Ms. Clarkson should be permitted to include in her expenses the salary of her executive assistant. Former NDP MP Pat Martin made a point about retired GG's in 2011 stating: "To have an administrative assistant for the rest on your natural born days should not be part of the compensation package for anyone." Indeed.

In response to this criticism Ms. Clarkson published a defence in the Globe and Mail on November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2018, stating that she was participating in public life in an active and meaningful way, as a "public service". She claims that she is entitled to have her expenses covered for administrative support, office space, furniture, professional services, travel and accommodation, which she claims she is undertaking on behalf of Canadians. Since there is no transparency for her expenses, can the taxpayer assume that her expenses include her clothing when she gives speeches, the cost of her hairdresser, and even her fitness trainer to keep her in shape, to undergo these activities? We're not allowed to know, only to pay up without questioning.

Ms. Clarkson's expectation to be compensated for her

"public service" bears no relation to the volunteer work carried out daily, without compensation, by millions of Canadians across the country, in soup kitchens, hospitals, hospices, old age homes, service clubs and organizations such as the Cancer Society or the Heart and Stroke Foundation. In short, Ms. Clarkson's belief that she should be paid for life, has no parallel in Canadian society.

Even the left leaning Toronto "Red" Star objects to Ms. Clarkson's lavish expenses and has recommended, in an editorial on November 5, 2018, that limits be placed on how many years Governors General may claim costs after their time in office. It also recommends that those Governors General receiving funding should detail how they spend the money.

Clarkson, who was paid a \$239,000.00 salary for each of the six years she held office, is paid \$143,816.00 per year in pension. She is not experiencing any hardship because of her "public service".

#### MICHAËLLE JEAN (2005-2010)

Clarkson was followed as GG by Michaëlle Jean. She immediately proved herself to be no slacker in her love of luxury either. Two days before her installation as GG, Jean stayed with her family and friends at Ottawa's elegant Chateau Laurier Hotel. This cost the taxpayers \$7,750.

As GG, Jean loved the attention, the luxury, the clothes and the travel of a GG. When she retired from that position in 2010, she was selected secretary general of the Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie, with a head office located in Paris. This selection was on the recommendation of the Conservative Harper government. In this capacity, Jean quickly began indulging her regal taste. Her travelling expenses amounted to 610,000 Euros in 2016 (\$916,307 CDN) which was 40% over-budget.

She ordered a \$500,000 renovation of the Paris apartment put at her disposal by the Canadian Embassy, and a \$20,000 grand piano. She ordered a chauffeur and car for her husband, Jean-Daniel Lafond, who had no connection with the

Francophonie organisation, and took her entourage for four days to New York's Waldorf Astoria Hotel at a cost of \$50,000.

Typically, an incumbent in the role of secretary general would expect to get a second mandate. However, at the organization's meeting in October, 2018, Ms. Jean was unceremoniously swept from office by the 54 voting members. There were several reasons for her removal but, her lavish spending was a contributing factor. Jean will have to rely on her yearly pension of only \$143,816 as a former GG. However, on the bright side, she will also be able to join Ms. Clarkson in dipping into the government's GG fund for her "public services" to supplement her income. Ms. Clarkson may instruct her on how this is done.

#### JULIE PAYETTE (OCT. 2017 TO--)

Ms. Payette has made it obvious in the past year, since her appointment as GG, that she is unsuitable for the role. (See article in our December REALity, 2018 entitled: *Julie Payette's Failure to Launch as Governor-General.*)

The question, now, is <u>when</u>, not <u>if</u>, she will depart from that office. When she does, she too will be guaranteed pockets bulging with gratuities. One of them, it is rumored, is that she will receive another appointment (at a very generous salary) in a role she regards worthy of her. Regardless of how short her tenure in office as GG, she will be entitled to a pension for life. She will also be able to indulge herself and pursue her personal activities by way of the GG's fund to supplement her pension.

Former GG David Johnston has set a precedent by releasing a report itemizing his expenses since leaving Rideau Hall. Johnston's report does not capture a full year's spending, instead covering the six months from when he left office on October 2, 2017, to the end of the government's fiscal year on March 31, 2018. During that time, Johnston billed \$76,650.26, though the report says nearly half of that was in one-time transition and start-up costs as he set up his office.

Will other former GG's follow his example or is he the only sensible former GG we have? •

# JUSTIN TRUDEAU'S ARROGANCE AND LACK OF INTEGRITY

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau has unbounded arrogance and a deep sense of entitlement in that he believes he is above the ordinary rules of behaviour and ethical standards.

This belief has led Trudeau to make promises and sign agreements without having any intention of upholding them.

He thinks that he has been specially anointed to put Canada, and indeed, the world, on a trajectory of behaviour determined by him personally. He firmly believes he has a unique understanding that enables him to direct others, even though his life experience is extremely narrow.

Examples of Trudeau's belief in his superiority has led him to regard those who have different perceptions as "bottom feeders" whom he is free to insult. For example:

- When a woman at a town hall meeting raised the question of immigration in Canada, Trudeau dismissed her concerns by calling her a "racist".
- When the Deputy Leader of the Conservative Party, Lisa Raitt, asked Trudeau's Finance Minister, Bill Morneau, whether the March, 2018 feminist budget was a "cynical, political gesture" to win women's votes, Mr. Morneau (following his leader's example), called her a "neanderthal". Both Morneau and Trudeau refused to apologize for this insult even though Morneau has his own ethics problems. He "forgot" to list one of his major assets, a home in southern France, on his assets statement. Also, one of his policies on pensions "accidentally" provided a financial

- advantage for his own family's corporation.
- When the Conservative Party objected to the transfer of the horrendous rapist and killer of 8 year old Tori Stafford, Terri-Lynne McClintic, from a secure prison facility to an indigenous healing lodge with no fence and with children present, Trudeau dismissed the Conservatives' concerns as that of "ambulance-chasers".

#### TRUDEAU'S NOTORIOUS BREACHES OF ETHICS

- Trudeau arranged a family holiday in 2016, on the private island owned by the multi-billionaire Imam Aga Khan. By doing so, he broke a number of rules of official ethics such as accepting a gift from an individual who was the recipient of government grants, travelling on a private non-commercial helicopter owned by the Aga Khan, and failing to recuse himself from talks with the Aga Khan about a project that was funded by his government.
- Trudeau committed himself to being a supporter of the "#MeToo Movement" claiming that women's stories of assault and harassment must always be believed. Yet, when it was exposed that Trudeau, several years previously, had fondled a female journalist in Creston, B.C., he initially denied this, and then dismissed the incident by stating that "men and women have different perceptions of events".
- When a peaceful pro-life woman was assaulted during a Life Chain demonstration in October, 2018, Conservative Party leader Andrew Scheer expressed his concern about this attack. Trudeau refused to comment on it. May one speculate that this lack of concern by Trudeau was due to his belief that the kicking of an "ignorant" pro-life woman was "justified"?
- Trudeau violated UN treaties prohibiting the use, distribution and manufacture of drugs, including marijuana, when he passed the Cannabis Bill in June, 2018. The bill legalized marijuana in Canada for recreational purposes. On October 17, 2018, the UN International Narcotics Control Board (INCB) declared that Trudeau's violation of the drug treaties was incompatible with Canada's legal obligation and diplomatic commitments under the drug treaties. The INCB also went on to state that this violation "contributed to weakening the international legal drug control framework and undermined the rulesbased international order". The INCB also stated it is also "deeply concerned about the public health impact of these policy choices on the health and welfare of Canadians, particularly youth".
- In the summer of 2018, Trudeau ordered organizations wishing to obtain grants under the federal Canada Summer Jobs program, to sign an attestation that they supported unrestricted abortion. As a result, 1,561 applications by faith-based and prolife organizations were rejected because they refused to sign the attestation. The program, however, distributed over \$88,000 in Canada Summer Jobs

- grants in 2018 to abortion organizations 14 of which were affiliated with Planned Parenthood. The Summer Jobs program grants also funded an environmental lobby group in B.C. which demonstrates against the Trans-Mountain Pipeline. Trudeau claimed that this latter grant was made because the Liberal Party "believed in freedom of opinion" (but obviously not pro-life opinions).
- Trudeau signed the unsatisfactory NAFTA Agreement with President Donald Trump (the treaty is now called the <u>United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement</u> USMCA) because he could not afford the domestic political heat he would have to face if he proved unable to reach a trade deal with the United States, Canada's largest trading partner. One of the terms of this agreement was a provision that placed restraints on seeking free trade agreements with China. Within days of signing the agreement, Trudeau ignored this provision and announced that his government would seek closer ties with China by seeking a trade deal.

In pursuit of a favourable trading relationship with China, Canada behaved in a strange manner on November 6, 2018, at the UN Human Rights Council investigation of China's multi violations of the UN Charter and treaties. While other western countries asked penetrating questions of China about its many human rights violations, Canada weirdly asked "What steps is China taking to grant equal marriage and family protections to LGBT couples in its new Civil Code?" At that same time, Trudeau sent five Liberal cabinet ministers and assorted senior officials to Beijing to discuss business and trade proposals.

It is significant that during the negotiations for the USMCA in October, President Trump's economic advisor, Larry Kudlow, reported that a U.S. official described Trudeau as "that little punk kid running Canada". This comment was a reflection of the U.S.' strained relationship with Trudeau. It also was a reflection of the little respect that Trudeau has internationally.

On September 24, 2018, Trudeau signed on to the U.S. President Trump sponsored declaration called the Global Call to Action on the World Drug Problem. It was a hypocritical and contradictory decision by Trudeau to do so since he had just violated the UN drug treaties with the *Cannabis Act*. The answer to this contradiction lies in Trudeau's hazy grasp of ethics and principles. He was, at that time, in the midst of negotiations with President Trump over the NAFTA agreement and wanted to ensure that the President would not be angered once again by his erratic behaviour. In other words, Trudeau thought it was in his own best interest to sign on to the declaration even though he had no intention of upholding its principles.

It appears that Trudeau's sense of superiority and his abysmal lack of integrity permit him to break any rules of ethics and standards of behaviour whenever he pleases. Perhaps the comment made by the American official about Trudeau being "that little punk kid running Canada" may have some merit. †

## THE CBC IS A PROPAGANDA TOOL FOR THE LEFT WING SOCIAL ACTIVISTS

The tax supported CBC is a tool for left wing social activists to indoctrinate the Canadian public.

It collects \$1.7 billion each year from the federal government to promote its left wing ideological objective which most definitely does not reflect the views of most Canadians. It does so, even though the *Broadcasting Act*, which was updated in September, 2018, specifically states in Section 3(I)(i) that broadcasters are required to provide a <u>balance of information</u> and in Section 3(I)(iv) that the CBC must provide a <u>reasonable opportunity</u> for the Canadian public to be exposed to <u>differing views on matters of public concern</u>. The CBC is operating outside its mandate.

Former CBC President, Hubert Lacroix, and current President, Catherine Tait, have ignored the *Broadcast Act* and have allowed the CBC to promote only left wing propaganda programming. They have had no hesitation in doing so since the CBC is assured that the Liberal government will continue to fund its operation, regardless of its bias, or perhaps because of it.

A recent example of CBC's intolerance to differing views took place on September 23, 2018, when it interviewed former Conservative leadership candidate, Maxime Bernier, who has formed his own political party, the People's Party of Canada. Regardless of one's opinion of Mr. Bernier, he should never have been exposed to such a derogatory and insulting interview as took place when rabidly partisan journalist, Wendy Mesley, interviewed him. She lobbed ideologically loaded grenades at him, insinuating that he was part of a vast right wing conspiracy. Ms. Mesley's contempt for Mr. Bernier and his views, are similar to that exhibited by another CBC journalist, Rosemary Barton, when she interviews individuals with conservative values.

A network should fire such journalists for their unprofessional behaviour, but the CBC supports such behaviour as do most other mainstream media.

The CBC is also attempting to indoctrinate children by its program, CBC Kids News, which is thinly disguised propaganda to promote the left wing agenda. Children as young as 10 years old are the stars of this program, which discusses such issues as sex education curricula, transgenderism, recreational marijuana, etc. The children on this program are puppets for the adult journalists and producers who feed them their lines. For example, a little girl, aged 11, identified as "Jasmine", in a program in August, stated that, "There's not only just two genders. There are people that, like, they don't feel like who they really are and they just wanna be somebody else and you have to respect that". Another example occurred when one of the child hosts stated that the legalization of recreational marijuana "will be good for economic development".

Anyone who knows children knows they do not speak or think like this. These children are obviously quoting lines from seasoned producers and journalists. It is significant that these children are acknowledged to have prior acting experience. They are pawns to push Liberal ideologies on other children. Alarmingly, CBC is planning to expand the

number of its young hosts for CBC Kids next year.

Because of the highly partisan slant exhibited by the CBC, viewing numbers have declined. Out of 30 most watched TV programs in Canada between August 13 and 19, 2018, not a single one was provided by the CBC. Why waste one's time watching programs designed to tell you what to think, rather than providing the facts?

Even though it is funded by the taxpayer, the CBC refuses to make public its employees' salaries, not to mention the pensions they receive. CBC investigates "itself" for wrongdoing or complaints, and is not and has never been held accountable for its incredible bias.

On the other hand, the privately-owned CTV broadcast network provides programs and news programs that are in the top 30 most watched shows. These include CTV's National News, CTV Evening News Weekend, and its series, "Cardinal". Global News Hour and Global National News also make the most popular programs' list.

It is acknowledged that there is a decline in TV viewers because many are switching to streaming video services like Netflix, Hulu, HBO and Amazon Video. The decline experienced by the CBC, however, is much more drastic than that experienced by the competing private broadcasters.

The CBC received \$556.9 million in revenues (advertising) in 2017, and \$1.7 billion in government funding. After expenses and non-operating items, the CBC still managed to operate at a net loss of \$7.8 million.

#### **CAN THE CBC CHANGE?**

It is always possible that the CBC may change its bias and provide programming that is objective and balanced. This is highly doubtful, however, since the CBC has embedded to its very depths, bureaucrats and executives who are deeply committed to the left wing agenda. We can expect that the CBC will be grovelling over Trudeau and his Liberal party in advance of the October 2019 federal election, while being contemptuous of the Conservative Party.

The CBC's biased focus contrasts with other countries that have radically changed the bias of their national broadcasters. For example, the Danish Ministry of Culture, on September 18, 2018, issued a new set of guidelines which require the national Danish broadcaster to bolster Denmark's native cultural heritage, as well as emphasize the foundational role of Christianity in Danish society. By doing so, it has conspicuously dropped the network's previous emphasis on multicultural integration, radical sectarianism, globalism and feminism.

This change in policy is an indication that religion, of any kind, is not merely a personal or private conviction, but has immense cultural significance.

Throughout Europe, Judeo-Christianity has been the foundation. This fact is particularly noticeable in former Soviet countries which are realizing that left wing multicultural globalism has diminished the integrity of individual

nations. This situation needs to be fought, not only by politics, but also with the recovery of the Christian culture, which is the foundation of Europe.

If the CBC continues to be unwilling to change its current policies, financial support must be eliminated. The CBC's left

wing radicals should operate their own private media enterprise. Other TV networks manage to survive financially, so can't the left wing social activists who now operate the CBC do the same?

The Canadian taxpayer should not have to fund the abomination that the CBC has been for decades. †

## PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE



Dear REAL Women of Canada supporter:

Welcome to the February 2019 issue of REALity.

REALity is in its 38th year of publication, as indicated by Volume XXXVIII (# '38') on this issue. That makes REALity one of the longest running pro-life/pro-family publications in Canada. We are so grateful to all our loyal grassroots supporters who have kept REALity "real and relevant".

Plans for our 2019 Annual General Meeting are underway. Save the date: Saturday, June 8, 2019, in Toronto, 10:30 am. The venue will be announced soon. Our guest speaker is Tanya Granic Allen, President of PAFE, and former candidate for the leadership of the Ontario PC Party. AGM's are open to current members of REAL Women and guests invited by our National Board.

In this issue's story, "The Return of the Notorious Court Challenges Program," we refer to the new Department for Women and Gender Equality, or WAGE. This is the rebranding of the former Status of Women, which came under Heritage Canada. WAGE has been elevated to the level of "department", with an even larger budget than it had as Status of Women. WAGE will be responsible for issues having to do with women, gender identity and sexual orientation. However, women's issues as they affect the stability of Canadian families, the building block of society, do not appear to be under the purview of WAGE. No surprise there.

On February 5, 2019, REAL Women issued an ALERT, "Parental Rights Rally", regarding the rally to be held Wednesday, February 20, at Queen's Park in Toronto, spearheaded by Campaign Life Coalition and Canadian Family Alliance partners. The purpose of the rally was to let Ontario Premier Doug Ford know that his strong social conservative voting base means business in wanting to repeal Kathleen Wynne's radical, age inappropriate sex-ed curriculum. In this ALERT, we urged our supporters to attend this critical gathering. The "progressives" who want to keep this dangerous curriculum unfortunately have been very vocal.

This year, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau will be releasing a new Canadian loonie coin, in order to celebrate the 50th Anniversary of the decriminalization of homosexuality. We will soon all be walking around with these commemorative coins in our pockets, helping to promote the LGBTQ+ agenda against our own beliefs. The Mint is not releasing any images of the new coin ahead of time. One can only imagine what image we will be unwittingly carrying around.

With much gratitude for your financial and moral support.

Pauline Guzik Pauline Guzik, National President

# CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN

FO



Our Fundraising has gone global!

Find our recently launched Crowdfunding Page on the WonderWe platform.

https://www.wonderwe.com/real18 Share on your social media.









# SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year   Groups \$50/year   Donation Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.	
Name	
Address	
City	
Province	Postal Code
Tel	Email
Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.	

**REALity** is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca