REAL Women of Canada | www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XXXVIII | Issue No. 12 | December 2019

CATASTROPHIC DROP IN HUMAN FERTILITY WORLD-WIDE

The younger generation across the globe, especially in Western countries, is facing rapidly increasing rates of infertility. This includes Canada, the U.S., Finland, Russia, the Netherlands, Norway, and Denmark, etc. Something is robbing young men and women of their capacity to procreate.

A study, published in December 2018, in the Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health is a good place to start investigating this catastrophe. The study compared those who received the human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccine (supposedly to protect against cervical cancer) with those who didn't—and the results are chilling. No one in public health, medicine or mainstream media, which are tangled up in the money-making machine of the vaccine's manufacturers, dares to publicly question the "safe and effective" mantra that these drug manufacturers, Merck and GSK Pharmaceuticals, are proclaiming. This "blockbuster" drug has earned billions of dollars for these manufacturers.

Intense advertising and promotion of the HPV vaccine have made the vaccination available in every doctor's office in Canada.

In 2005, the HPV vaccine was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for use in the U.S., and was approved by Health Canada in 2007.

The researchers, whose study was published in the Journal of Toxicology, in 2018, had examined eight million women residwho were between the ages of 25 and 29. The results indicate

ing in the United States in the years between 2007 and 2014, that 60% of women who did not receive the HPV vaccine had



Let bells ring out a song of peace for our country, and let us wish you joy in your hearts and homes.

In this season of blessings, we are so grateful for all our readers.

Have a merry Christmas and a happy New Year from all of us at REAL Women. become pregnant at least once, compared to just 35% of women who had had the HPV injection. For married women, 75% who did not receive the injection were found to conceive, while only 50% who received the vaccine had ever been pregnant.

Also, according to this study, some vaccine recipients have reported, "primary ovarian failure"—girls in their teens and young women in their 20s experiencing menopause. HPV injections have also been connected to a high number of adverse medical problems, 45,217 from its introduction in 2007 to May, 2016.

To be sure, many environmental factors could be affecting female fertility. Plunging male fertility is such a factor. Male sperm counts have nosedived in recent decades—scientists published data last year showing that, globally, they have dropped 50% in just the past 40 years. No one knows why—perhaps from unidentified environmental hazards. It is significant, however, that a study published in the Journal of Human Reproduction (October 2019) found that men producing no or little sperm, with no defined cause of infertility, were infected with the sexually transmitted disease, chlamydia in their testicular tissue. Chlamydia in men has not been as widely studied as it has been in women, despite similar infection rates. It is important to determine if this is the cause of the failure of sperm to develop in the testes. However, this still doesn't entirely explain female infertility, which could be caused by the HPV vaccine.

So, why is the HPV vaccine so heavily marketed to begin with? Why widely distribute a vaccine for a disease that afflicts less than 0.3% of people in their lifetime?

Given the world's infertility crisis, questions about the longterm impact of the HPV vaccine on female fertility are justified. •

CONTENTS

CATASTROPHIC DROP IN FERTILITY WORLD-WIDE PAGE 1	
GOOD NEWS FROM ALBERTAPAGE 2	
CANADIANS AT RISK BY CHANGES TO THE ASSISTED SUICIDE LAWPAGE 3	
U.S. PRESIDENT TRUMP HAS MADE A DIFFERENCE FOR THE BETTER PAGE 4	
PRESSURE ON CHILDREN TO 'SAVE THE PLANET' PAGE 4	
WHY GIVE GAY PRIDE PARADES PRIORITY OVER OTHER CULTURAL EVENTS? PAGE 5	
PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE PAGE 6	

GOOD NEWS FROM ALBERTA

There is good news from the province on Alberta. On October 21, 2019, the NDP attempted, by way of Motion 506, to push wide access to abortion throughout the province of Alberta. This would have required among other initiatives, that all hospitals in the province provide abortion services. The motion, however, was overwhelmingly defeated by a 43 to 11 vote. Not one United Conservative Party (UCP) MLA, including Premier Jason Kenney, voted for the motion, and not one NDP MLA voted against the motion. In April 2019, the UCP won a majority government in the provincial election, with 63 seats compared to 24 for the NDP. No Liberal member was elected in that provincial election.

This controversial Motion 506 stated: "Be it resolved that the Legislative Assembly urge the government to conduct a thorough review of access to abortion services and reproductive health services in Alberta, take action to remove barriers to these services, and ensure access to safe, timely, and equitable services in all communities across the province."

While Motion 506 is not legally binding, its purpose was to make abortions in Alberta immediately available upon request. The abortion services that the NDP were pushing, according to the debate, also included wide access to the abortion pill in remote areas, regardless of the fact that it can cause serious complications for women.

The motion was introduced by NDP MLA Marie Renaud, representing the riding of St. Albert. Her speech introducing the motion indicates an appalling ignorance of abortion law in Canada. She stated that the Supreme Court of Canada had "recognized a woman's right to continue or terminate a pregnancy and this right was protected by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms". She quite clearly had no idea what she was talking about. Nevertheless, she continued to insist throughout her speech that women in Canada had the "right" to exercise freedom and control over their bodies "for decades". She further claimed—altogether ironically—that the "right of every human being to control their body is the most basic of human rights." She went on to say that there were [oh horror!] 28 UCP

\$100,000 CAN BUY COFFEE FOR EVERYONE AT A BLUE JAYS GAME.



It can also make sure REALity maintains its top-quality coverage of important topics.



Your donation will ensure that REAL Women can continue to defend and promote the family in Parliament, in the courts and in the media.

HELP US MEET OUR GOAL OF \$100,000 BY JANUARY 1, 2020.

Donate online or mail your donation to our Ottawa office (Find our address on the bottom right).

"anti-choice" MLAs in the provincial legislature whom she then described as "28 anti-human rights MLAs". Since when is abortion a human right? There is absolutely no "right" to abortion either in Canada or internationally. In fact, when it struck down the abortion law in 1988 in the Morgentaler case, the Supreme Court of Canada encouraged Parliament to enact a new law on abortion at their discretion in order to protect the unborn child. Ms Renaud is obviously in need of education on the topic. Unfortunately, these highly inaccurate remarks were repeated by other NDP MLAs, such as Leela Sharon Aheer, representing the riding of Chestermere-Rocky View. Unbelievably, she stated in her speech before the legislature, that women have "a right to make [this] decision which is a protected federal law." NDP MLA Rakhi Pancholi, representing the riding of Edmonton-Whitemud, stated that abortion is a right which "nobody disputes". Is she serious? Perhaps she was deliberately misleading the legislature or perhaps she just didn't know the facts.

It is unfortunate that these pro-abortion MLAs have such a deep ignorance of the abortion situation in Canada.

When MLA Renaud's Motion 506 was made public, the Alberta based pro-life group, Wilberforce Project, and the national Campaign Life Coalition, immediately sprang into action to lobby against it. Hundreds of emails and phone calls were made to MLAs. According to the Wilberforce Project, one MLA reported that he had more than 60 constituents contact him. Efforts such as this can convince cynical politicians to support life for their personal and political advancement, but they also give enormous encouragement to pro-life MLAs to do the right thing.

It is incredible that these NDP MLAs are so focused on abortion, when they talk about the necessity for "equality" in health care in their province, that they ignore the fact that roughly 3000 patients in Alberta have to be transferred to Calgary hospitals to be treated for serious cardiac incidents. Shouldn't these latter needs be addressed instead of killing babies by abortion?

It is very difficult to carry out a mature and rational debate on the abortion issue when pro-abortion supporters either deliberately deny the facts about the abortion situation in Canada, or are entirely ignorant of them. •

MEMBERSHIP FEES FOR 2020 NOW DUE

This is a reminder that your 2020 membership in REAL Women of Canada is now due. The annual membership fee is \$30 and for a group is \$50.

For your convenience, enclosed, in this issue of REALity, is a self-addressed envelope for your annual membership renewal.

It is your financial and moral support that enables REAL Women to continue its work for the family and to promote values that sustain and protect society.

Thank you so much for your faith in us. In return, we promise to remain faithful and diligent in supporting the family and traditional values.

CANADIANS AT RISK BY CHANGES TO THE ASSISTED SUICIDE LAW

In 2015, the Supreme Court of Canada decreed that the law prohibiting assisted suicide should be amended to allow physicians to kill their patients under certain circumstances.

In doing so, the Court dismissed any concerns about how this law could be a slippery slope by airily stating, "Whereas robust safeguards, reflecting the irrevocable nature of ending a life, are essential to prevent errors and abuse in the provision of medical assistance in dying..."

So what safeguards were written into the law? Not many. In fact, the federal law on assisted suicide, which passed in June 2016 by the majority Liberal government, was a permissive law that has resulted, as of December 31 2018, in 7949 assisted deaths in Canada. The number of assisted deaths increased by more than 184.5% in 2018 from 2794 reported in 2017.

As if this permissive law was not bad enough, a single judge on the lower court in Quebec, Madam Justice Christine Baudouin, of the Quebec Superior Court, on September 11 2019, struck down even a marginal safeguard in the federal and Quebec legislation. This provision stated that a patient's natural death had to be "reasonably foreseeable". According to Judge Baudouin, denying patients who are not terminally ill assisted dying was "forcing them to endure harsh, physical and psychological suffering."

Dr. Michel Racicot, who represents the Collective of Physicians against Euthanasia in Quebec, had a different opinion. He stated the deletion of the provision that the patient's natural death had to be "reasonably foreseeable" was ominous: "If we remove this criterion [to be terminally ill], we do not transform medical aid in dying into help for the dying person; rather, it becomes almost death on demand for people who are suffering, but who may still have a long life ahead of them."

Unfortunately, the deletion of this safeguard means that people with disabilities or chronic illness, who are not dying or near the end of life, may now be considered eligible for euthanasia and assisted suicide. In effect, this provision removed by Judge Baudouin served at least as a limited safeguard for vulnerable individuals, such as those with intellectual disability, mental health disability or the vulnerable aged, who can now be easily coerced by individuals or circumstances into assisted dying. Powerful negative stereotypes already abound, that such people are a burden to their families or even to society at large, and that their death would be beneficial to all. The decision by Judge Baudouin feeds into this stereotype. She also failed to guestion why pain management was inadequate in this case or whether palliative care was offered as an option. Apparently, death was the only choice.

It is frequently not the disability itself that is the problem, but rather the lack of support, which would enable disabled people to live independently and flourish. This would be less expensive than institutional care, but is not being provided.

In other words, in all too many instances, the disability

is not the problem but rather the policies that favour institutional care over the patients' desire for community based services to give them human dignity and choice in living out their lives.

There are already many accounts, in Canada, of people with disabilities choosing death because they are not able to receive adequate care and support. Unfortunately, too, it is not only undue influence by physicians that is of concern, but also that of family members. Elder abuse is a real and burgeoning problem in Canada.

Even though the Quebec lower court decision did not apply to the rest of Canada, this did not prevent Alan Nicholas, a physically healthy man in British Columbia from being euthanized based solely on his being depressed. Mental illness, such as depression, requires medical <u>treatment</u>, not the killing of the patient.

The effect of the removal of the safeguard that patients receiving assisted suicide be terminally ill has dramatically changed the intent of the law and has alarming implications for Canadians.

It is unreasonable in a democracy that a single judge in a lower court should single-handedly change this all-important law on assisted suicide in the absence of careful and substantial debate by Canadians.

The six month deadline granted by the judge to amend the legislation will expire in March 2020. This is far too limited a time to provide for an adequate debate.

In a televised leaders' debate on French TV Network TVA, Prime Minister Trudeau stated he would not appeal the Quebec court decision, but would craft a more permissive regime in the six months allotted by the court.

By not appealing this Quebec court decision, the Liberals, in fact, arbitrarily decided to expand the scope of Canada's euthanasia law. Mr. Scheer stated, during that same debate, that if he were elected prime minister, he would appeal the court decision and craft a revised law (hopefully to tighten its provisions). However, the time for the appeal expired on October 11, 2019.

After the federal election, Prime Minister Trudeau announced that one of his first priorities when Parliament resumes sitting on December 5, 2019 will be to amend the physician-assisted suicide law. Recent data from Quebec indicates that there were 1331 euthanasia deaths between April 1, 2018–March 31, 2019. The data also indicates that 13 of the deaths did not fulfill the qualifications of the law, including three euthanasia deaths for hip fractures.

In 95% of countries around the world and in 45 U.S. states, assisted suicide and euthanasia remain prohibited. Because of concerns about abuse, Finland, Guernsey, and Portugal have recently rejected such schemes. Indeed, in the previous year, 26 of the states in the U.S.A. did likewise.

There is no reason why Canada should be on a death march ordered by Trudeau to loosen the law on assisted suicide. •

U.S. PRESIDENT TRUMP HAS MADE A DIFFERENCE FOR THE BETTER

Despite the incredible resistance put up by the Democratic-controlled House of Representatives, U.S. President Donald Trump has made a significant difference in the U.S. for the better.

ABORTION CLINICS

In May 2019, the Trump administration published new regulations for funding Title X, Department of Health and Human Services. Title X funds were set up under former U.S. President, Ronald Reagan, to be used to help low-income women and men receive birth control, cancer screenings and other healthcare services. Although the Title X funds were not supposed to be used for abortions, Democratic President Clinton changed the policy to allow clinics to provide abortion referrals. The funds, in fact, helped Planned Parenthood's vast abortion business as well as other abortion clinics. President Trump's new regulations on Title X requires that these clinics no longer refer for abortion and that they must separate any abortion business from taxpayer funded services. This means that family planning services must be in separate buildings, with separate staff, from the abortion business or they will be refused funding.

Planned Parenthood immediately brought legal challenges before lower federal courts in Oregon and Washington State to obtain an injunction to prevent the regulations from taking effect. The injunction was overturned by a U.S. Circuit Court of Appeal. As a result, the new rule on Title X now prohibits "the use of Title X funds to perform, promote, refer for, or support abortion as a method of family planning."

As a consequence of Trump's policy, Planned Parenthood and other abortion clinics chose to give up \$60 million U.S. in order to retain abortion referral as part of their services.

According to a pro-abortion organization, Power to Decide, 876 clinics from across the U.S. have now lost funding. These clinics, by opting out of funding, have placed a higher

priority on abortion than the provision of a broad range of acceptable family health services to help the poor.

JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS

When President Trump was running in the 2016 US national election, he promised that, if he were elected, he would make, as his priority, the appointment of pro-life judges to the courts. This he has done. Since he took office, 161 pro-life judges have been appointed to U.S. courts. President Trump has said that within the next two months he expects to have appointed a total of 182 judges.

These appointments have far surpassed the number of appointments made by previous presidents. He has achieved this remarkable number of appointments despite fierce resistance from the Democrats who control the House of Representatives. The latter have used many devious tactics, including lies and character assassination, and religious bigotry to attempt to stop these appointments. The disgraceful scenes surrounding the appointment of U.S. Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh serve as an example of the depth to which pro-abortion Democrats will sink to stop pro-life appointments.

The Trump judicial confirmations are reversing a decades-long trend of liberal activists controlling the judiciary. Trump's appointments have mostly been bright young men and women who will be sitting on the Bench for years to come, and who seriously believe that their role is to follow the law, not to make new law according to their whims.

This is a significant change from the unelected, left-wing, liberal judges previously appointed by Presidents Clinton and Obama, who took the powers of an elected government and gave it to themselves. This has been the situation in Canada as well, where judges are imposing, by judicial decree, laws which the left-wing could never win at the ballot box. †

THE PRESSURE ON CHILDREN TO 'SAVE THE PLANET'

There is overwhelming pressure on our children and youth to "save the planet" and to do so "NOW!" The recent phenomenal rise of celebrity of Greta Thunberg, the 16 year old Swedish activist, has become the political face of climate activism promoted especially by left-leaning media. She gave the coordinators of September's U.N. Climate Action Summit the emotional drama they wanted by stating, "I want you to panic." They were delighted to give this unhappy child a platform from which to blast world governments for being too slow to adopt the U.N.'s grand strategy, when she stated, "how dare you." What a travesty it was that self-serving U.N. bureaucrats were willing to terrorize innocent children with lurid scare stories to cynically use them as emotional leverage to promote their grand scheme. It is tantamount to child abuse. It seems no one can escape the incessant

bombardment of alarmist messages, such as New York Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's that "The world is going to end in 12 years if we don't address climate change," or UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres's call to youth to "rescue the planet" because "my generation has failed to respond properly to the dramatic challenge of climate change."

It seems that everyone, from former Archbishop Desmond Tutu of South Africa to former U.S. Democratic presidential candidate Al Gore, from teachers and journalists to parents and peers, are putting the pressure on full force, aimed directly at our children. And how are our children handling this onslaught? Not well, as you would expect, and as mental health statistics prove.

Childhood should be a time of innocence, play, discovery,

and feeling safe and secure in order to thrive in a big, unknown world. The developing mind is not even wired to perform abstract reasoning until adolescence. Yet, here we are, in the modern world, shoving this unbearable burden to "save the planet" upon them. Some of these young people believe they can't even turn to their parents for support and comfort because they are also being told that adults don't care and don't understand; they can't be trusted. In Greta's words, speaking in Vancouver on October 25, 2019, "If the adults really loved us they would at least do everything they possibly could to make sure we have a safe future." (CTV News Vancouver)

This may strike a chord in the rebellious hearts of teens, who naturally begin to develop a sense of morality, identity and independence at this age. Most of the worldwide climate strikes of the last year have been aimed at adolescents, who psychologically feel a heightened need to fit in, to be part of the group, and to want "social justice." They want to be "cool" and feel empowered. Perhaps many youth today feel unsupported, misunderstood, unloved or neglected at home and so find their psychological needs are better met by becoming an "activist."

Younger children, who do not have the development or experience to understand the "climate emergency" in context, are simply being manipulated by climate activists, who regard these children as useful tools to achieve their agenda.

The effects of "doomed planet" hysteria are obvious: stress, anxiety, depression, confusion, fear, even mood disorders, hopelessness, panic and suicide. All this so-called "eco-anxiety" is felt by young people too, and it is harming them in ways we may not even be aware of. Instead of age-appropriate concerns like, "I wonder if my best friend still likes me," kids now wonder how many months or years until the earth will be annihilated. Can you imagine growing up in this context? It's intolerable for many fully functional, mature, experienced adults. How must children be feeling and coping with it?

Even if everything the climate change extremists claim is true, is traumatizing our kids with eco-anxiety fair, sound or appropriate? Is it the solution? Clearly not. Dealing rationally and effectively with the various threats and ills of our societies must be handled without epidemic hysteria and manipulating our kids. How will psychologically disabling the upcoming generation of leaders help solve global problems?

Any objective search online, particularly in reputable sources out of the left-leaning mainstream media, of how climate change hysteria started and why it's spreading will quickly uncover the leftist agendas largely driving it; how Al Gore, one of the movement's founding fathers, made a personal fortune from it; or how Greta's mother, opera singer, Malena Ernman (not exactly a "stay-at-home mom") pushes it (using her daughter, who has anxiety-induced disabilities, including obsessive compulsive disorder, selective mutism, eating disorder and depression, and has been diagnosed with Asperger's Syndrome) to promote her "anti-patriarchy" ideology. She claims that the so-called global climate crisis is really a socio-economic crisis as explained in the book, *Scenes from the Heart*, written by the Thunberg family.

Read how the idea for Greta to encourage other adolescents to go on strike was suggested to her by Swedish climate lobbyist Bo Thorén and promulgated by financier, Ingmar Rentzhog, who is personally connected to "green" corporations and think-tanks, on the cusp of making "colossal profits" from the "greening of the Western economies," according to England's *Standpoint Magazine*.

Once you have seen for yourself how the "climate emergency" fuels the economic, political and ideological agendas of self-interested parties, and how they target kids to promote their agenda do so—no wonder "they" want to lower the voting age to 16 in some Western countries—your own fear and confusion may well be quelled, and then you can better support your children.

Reading counter-arguments to the movement, supported by science, may help put things in perspective. Try Joe Bastardi's April 1, 2019 opinion, "Climate change agenda is being driven by hysteria, not facts," published by Fox News, or Mark Hendrickson's October 23, 2019 "Who Stole Greta's Childhood?" published in the Epoch Times, which demonstrate humankind has never lived in better times.

Whether our young people suffer from diagnosable ecoanxiety or not, it seems all Western kids are being burdened beyond what is healthy, reasonable or appropriate, via the pervasive pressure to "save the planet." This movement places undue fear and doubt in the hearts and minds of the vulnerable young generation. This, in turn, contributes to the erosion of their innocence and sense of security, conditions that, as good parents know, all children need for healthy development. †

WHY GIVE GAY PRIDE PARADES PRIORITY OVER OTHER CULTURAL EVENTS?

Federal Conservative Leader Andrew Scheer has stated publicly that he will never march in a gay Pride parade. This has led to vicious attacks by the mainstream media, which argue that marching in the controversial parades, organized by the LGBTQ community, is essential to show acceptance of diversity in Canada.

Why is marching in the gay Pride parade more important than marching, for example, in the Toronto Caribbean Carnival's parade, called "Caribana", which takes place every

summer in Toronto? Both parades celebrate minority cultures, yet the media does not appear to be offended by the absence of Conservative Leader Andrew Scheer in the latter parade. Nor is it offended by Mr. Scheer not attending the national March for Life in Ottawa. Why is the Pride parade more important, with priority over all the others?

Politicians are not obliged to march in any parade. Their job is significantly more multi-faceted than that. It is up to the politi-

cian to decide what events to attend, and choosing not to attend a particular event should not be regarded as an act of discrimination.

Just because the LGBTQ community is more politically

active and demanding than other minority groups, this does not give it priority or make its demands more justified than those of the others. •

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Dear supporter of REAL Women of Canada:

Welcome to the December 2019 issue of e-REALity and the November/December 2019 hard copy issue.

On behalf of our National Board of Directors and our dedicated administration staff, I extend to you and your families all the blessings of this joyous Christmas season. We hope that 2020 will be a year of much health and happiness for you.

For those of you who receive the hard copy of REALity, you will notice that there is an addressed envelope inside the issue. This is for your convenience for mailing in your annual membership of \$30 which is due every January 1 (\$50, if you are an organization) Due to the costs involved, we do not mail out separate annual membership reminders. If you are receiving REALity by Canada Post bi-monthly, your membership expiry date is printed on your address label, and looks like this: 31-Dec-19 or 122019. If you are uncertain if your membership is up-to-date, please call our Ottawa office, 613-236-4001.

Memberships can be renewed by sending a cheque to our Ottawa office, made payable to REAL Women of Canada, or, they can be renewed on-line, http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca/donate/. The DONATE button is multi-purpose, and can be used for membership renewals, new memberships, or a donation. If you make a donation and your membership is not up to date, then the first \$30 of the donation will be used for updating your membership. If you have any questions using our on-line option, please call our Ottawa office.

If you are presently receiving REALity by Canada Post, we would be pleased to start sending it to you by e-mail. This saves REAL Women printing and mailing costs. Simply call our Ottawa office to ask them to switch you to the on-line edition, if that is your choice. The on-line edition and the hard copy edition are identical, with the same articles and the same president's message. The on-line edition always comes with a PDF version, which is easy to print in black and white, if you prefer reading REALity on paper.

Our Fundraising Campaign is still underway. We had hoped to achieve our goal of \$100,000 by January 1, 2020, but we are not even halfway there yet. Therefore, we are still asking you to help us meet this goal, which is urgently needed in order for us to continue our important work of defending our pro-family values in the courts, in the media and in the government.

We are very thankful to you for your generosity.

Regards,

Pauline Guzik
Pauline Guzik,
National President

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN
PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION



REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca

NOT EVEN A TRUDEAU APOLOGY.