



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

WHO IS RUNNING CANADA?

With its decision in the Trinity Western University case, the Supreme Court of Canada (SCC) took its gloves off, removing any pretense of objectivity in reaching its decision. In doing so, it exposed itself as intent on changing Canada to suit the personal outlook of the majority of judges, since they choose to ignore the law and legal precedent in reaching their conclusion. This is a clear example of raw judicial power.

The judges also exposed their indifference to the historic and proper role of the judiciary and the division of responsibilities that separate it from the executive and legislative branches of government. Instead, the Court has assumed the role of Parliament and is now determining public policy. The judges on the SCC have shown themselves to be contemptuous of the clear, fundamental rights clearly written into the Charter, by concluding that these rights can be trumped by other considerations. The judges apparently have little concern for the impact that their decisions have on the innocent hapless public, believing only that their decisions are in the "best interests" of the public. Such elitism, such arrogance.

The Trinity Western judgment decided that administrative bodies, such as law societies, medical associations and Human Rights Tribunals etc., may infringe on the religious rights of faith-based organizations if such a decision is in the "public interest" and based on a Charter "value". This is a ludicrous conclusion. These two, invented and undefined concepts, are totally subjective, and depend on their interpretation by the various tribunals and societies, as well as on the personal views of the judges as to their meaning. In short, religious institutions are now vulnerable to attack on the conduct of their private affairs, at the whim of others, who hold different and secular views. Observant Christians' so-called fundamental right of religious freedom, written into S.2 of the Charter, has been whisked away by appointed, unaccountable judges, with only two dissenting judges raising reasoned objections to their preposterous conclusion.

This is not the first time the courts have changed the social fabric of Canada based on the judges' own policy preferences.

Examples of other such decisions, include the legalizing of prostitution, the striking down of the abortion law, the prohibitions against physician-assisted suicide, the right to strike granted to essential services, such as firemen, policemen, ambulance workers (which puts public safety at risk), legalization

of drug injection sites, the redefinition and narrowing of the interpretation of pornography, and that sex clubs for couples and single individuals, meeting each other for group sex, were not illegal nor indecent. There have been many other decisions as well that have profoundly changed Canadian society.

Whether one agrees with any or all of these decisions is not the point. There is a much deeper and more profound aspect to these decisions. It is whether nine appointed, unaccountable judges should be making such decisions isolated from the public—the latter having no input whatever into the formation of policy decisions.

The reality is that many judges are primarily well-connected lawyers, who have the political clout and contacts to secure an appointment to the Bench. They have no special or esoteric knowledge or ability to make these decisions, which are based on narrow arguments of individuals or organizations, which have the financial clout to bring costly litigation. Unlike Parliament, judges do not have access to all the social facts relevant to the issues before them. They do not have the luxury of time or the facilities to adequately reflect or publicly debate issues; they do not have free access to research facilities available to parliamentarians; nor do they have the powers or institutional competence to make full and public inquiries as do parliamentary committees. They are not knowledgeable about alternative policy options.

CONTENTS

WHO IS RUNNING CANADA?.....	PAGE 1
THE BOY SCOUTS ARE LOST.....	PAGE 2
WHAT WE NOW KNOW ABOUT PHYSICIAN ASSISTED SUICIDE.....	PAGE 3
REAL WOMEN UPDATE ON RELIGIOUS FREEDOM PETITION.....	PAGE 4
ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES (OAS) REJECTS ABORTION AND HOMOSEXUALITY.....	PAGE 4
BABY GIRLS KILLED BY ABORTION IN CANADA.....	PAGE 5
MESSAGE BOARD.....	PAGE 5
PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.....	PAGE 6

Significantly, they do not have access to the practical experience and views of the public and elected representatives on issues which are increasingly complex, economically, socially and scientifically. In short, although judges are well educated and well connected, they do not necessarily have the insight, background, understanding or skill to make public policy decisions which so deeply affect the individual lives of Canadians.

SUPREME COURT'S UNJUSTIFIED ASSUMPTION OF POWER

Under what authority do the nine justices have the jurisdiction to determine “what’s best for Canadian society”? It is

the responsibility of Parliament to do so. The SCC has used the Charter as a tool to usurp the role of Parliament. It has done so by interpreting the Charter to continually broaden its jurisdiction until it is now at the apex of its power and has become the absolute authority in Canada. This is not acceptable in a democratic nation, as the public has been reduced to be an impotent bystander in the formation of the country’s laws. This was not the intent according to documents released during the Charter debate.

Who is running Canada? It is the appointed judges. This must change in order to restore democracy in Canada. †

THE BOY SCOUTS ARE LOST

The Boy Scout Movement began in 1908 when British Boer War hero, Robert Baden-Powell, published a book called “Scouting for Boys”. It was a handbook about camping, tracking nature trails, woodcraft, boating, life-saving, patriotism and chivalry. It also emphasized the importance of morality and good deeds. Its motto was “Be Prepared”. Baden-Powell organized the Girl Guides in 1910 as a separate organization.

The Scout Movement was an instant success and quickly spread around the world. Unfortunately, the Scout Movement has strayed a long, long way from its roots.

In the U.S., because of homosexual pressure, aided by pressure from U.S. corporations sympathetic to homosexuality, which were funding the organization, the Boy Scouts of America (BSA) agreed in 2013 to accept youth of any sexual orientation as members. This occurred even though the U.S. Supreme Court in *Boy Scouts of America vs. Dale* in 2000 held that the Boy Scouts had the right to exclude homosexual youth from its membership owing to its constitutional right to freedom of association, and that BSA was a private organization, entitled to make its own rules.

In 2015, the BSA agreed to change its policy to allow homosexuals to become scout leaders. This occurred despite the fact that the BSA had been required to pay many times over the years, millions of dollars to scouts who had been sexually abused by scout leaders. Notorious Canadian pedophile and former scout leader, Richard Turley, stated that “Scouting is a ... flawed movement. If I were a parent, I would never put my kids in Scouts” (CBC News, October 21, 2011). Homosexuals vigorously try to deny that the incidence of pedophilia is much higher among homosexuals than among heterosexuals on a *per capita* basis. However, research confirms that homosexuals molest children at a higher rate than heterosexuals. This is a fact that the mainstream media rarely disclose. Consequently, the decision to allow homosexual scout leaders was not in the best interest of its young members.

Moving right along, however, in January 2017, the BSA next announced that transgender girls would be allowed to enroll in “boys only” programs. Previously, the sex listed on an applicant’s birth certificate determined eligibility for this program. Also in 2017, the BSA welcomed girls to become members.

Finally, in May 2018, the BSA announced that it was dropping the horrible word “boy” from its name.

This was all too much for the Church of Latter Day Saints (Mormons) who decided to cut their ties with Boy Scout programs both in Canada and the U.S. The Mormon participation in the Boy Scouts amounted to 610,000 boys. This loss of scouts from the BSA reduced its membership by 20%. In the 1960’s, the Boy Scouts in the U.S. consisted of 4 million boys. The organization has nose-dived to 2.5 million scouts today.

SCOUTS CANADA

Scouts Canada is separate and distinct from the U.S. Boy Scouts. Scouts Canada, however, has led other countries in departing from its Christian roots by abandoning its history. In 1998, Scouts Canada allowed females, atheists, agnostics, homosexuals, bisexuals and trans-sexuals to join the organization. In 1999, Scouts Canada approved the establishment of an all-homosexual scout troop to march in Canada’s gay pride parades. In 2004, within five years of its last controversial decision, scouting membership in Canada had decreased over 50%. Many scouting camps and offices were closed and staff were laid off. In 1965, Scouts Canada had 288,084 members, but its membership was 61,438 in 2015–2016.

WORLD SCOUT JAMBOREE, WEST VIRGINIA, AUGUST 2019

The Scout Movement in Canada, U.S. and Mexico is hosting the world scouting Jamboree to be held in West Virginia in August, 2019. The theme of this Jamboree is “Unlock a New World”. That is an accurate theme because, according to a 2016 handbook provided by the World Scout Committee, it demands that condoms be made readily available at Jamborees. The three country Planning Committee for the Jamboree has consequently declared it will guarantee that “condoms are readily and easily available for all participants”. The Scouts’ motto of “Be Prepared” has taken on an entirely new meaning. Since the Jamboree consists of 12–17 year old scouts, it would appear that the Scout Movement now encourages and accepts non-marital sex, since it is both anticipating and facilitating it. That is, sexual conduct between minors is now acceptable to the Scout Movement, providing,

of course, that condoms are used. The Jamborees seem to have degenerated into a free for all sex party.

No responsible parent will ever want their child, male or female, to be a member of the Scout Movement today. One cannot be surprised, therefore, that another youth movement has been organized in the U.S. called "Trail Life USA".

Trail Life USA was organized in 2013, and has today a membership of 26,000 boys. The organization provides youth membership and character development. All charters of Trail

Life USA must pledge to follow a "Statement of Faith"; but the organization admits non-Christians as members. Those who affirm homosexuality are not eligible to lead or charter the group. Trail Life USA partnered with American Heritage Girls in 2014. Organizers of Trail Life USA consisted of parents, Scoutmasters, Eagle Scouts and other scouting leaders. After the first year of operation (January 2015), Trail Life USA had 524 troops in 48 states with another 300 troops in the process of becoming members. It appears to be a growing, thriving organization. †

WHAT WE NOW KNOW ABOUT PHYSICIAN ASSISTED SUICIDE

When the assisted suicide law was passed by Parliament in 2016, it was explained to us that it would only be used in rare cases when required to relieve the unbearable suffering of the terminally ill.

Health Canada issued an interim report in June, 2018 which indicates that the number of deaths by lethal drug injection has increased by 29.3% in the second half of 2017, compared to the assisted deaths in the first half of 2017. This indicates that the application of the law has widened at an alarming rate. It is obviously far more than the lives of "rare" cases being terminated. According to this report, 3,714 Canadians have received assisted suicide in the past two years - 1525 occurring in the last six months of 2017. It also reveals that 90% of patients who sought assisted suicide obtained it. The report further reveals that 40.4% of the physician assisted suicides occurred in a hospital setting, while 43.3% occurred in the patient's home. There were no cases of self-administered assisted deaths.

What we now know is that assisted suicide is rapidly becoming normalized. We also know that supporters of assisted suicide are not content with the present law which limits it to cases of intolerable suffering and imminent death, but are now pressuring to extend assisted suicide for other reasons, such as for those who are mentally ill and children under 18. Also, we now know that although assisted suicide was supposed to be an option, it is now in some cases, the only option available. Quebec Medical College President, Dr. Charles Bernard, recently published a letter to the Quebec Health Minister stating that palliative care services are being denied patients because of the redirection of already scarce health resources from palliative care to physician assisted suicide, which is being prioritized by health institutions.

In British Columbia, the Fraser Valley Health Authority, a large BC hospital system, has ordered those palliative care hospices that receive 50% or more of their funding from tax dollars, to provide the option of physician assisted suicide to patients. This torpedoed the 40-year struggle of palliative care institutions trying to convince often fearful patients that palliative care is not about hastening death. Palliative care hospices should not be bullied into providing assisted suicide, yet this is occurring just two years after the assisted suicide law was passed.

It has become clear that assisted suicide is fast becoming the only option available. For example, a young man who was

stuck in a London, Ontario hospital for two years because of an incurable neurological disease, was refused assisted home care of his choosing. Instead, he was offered medically assisted death. Refusing the death option, the young man was then threatened with a \$1,800 per day hospital bill. He has now commenced a legal action against the federal and provincial governments for failing to provide him with proper health care of his own choosing. Where is his autonomy and dignity?

The effect of all this is that patients are being frightened away from palliative care, leaving the "choice" of physician assisted suicide as their only real option.

LEGISLATION TO PROVIDE PALLIATIVE CARE

Conservative MP Marilyn Gladu (Sarnia-Lambton) brought forward a private member's bill in December 2017, which was adopted unanimously by both the House of Commons and the Senate. It was supposed to bind the Liberal government to put in place a framework for palliative care by December, 2018.

Curiously, it took six months for the Health Minister to open a nation-wide consultation on this legislation. It is unknown whether she has even consulted with her provincial colleagues.

It is a concern too that the word "palliative" was mysteriously absent from the 2018 federal budget. The Minister of Health, however, claims that the federal government is committed to giving the provinces \$6 billion over ten years for home care and palliative care. Why then hasn't this been acted on?

We know that physicians in both the US and Canada are now writing prescriptions for lethal drugs instead of encouraging patients to seek a second opinion for potentially treatable diseases. What they are providing is a heartless endorsement of hopelessness and despair using the language of "autonomy". They are killing the person, not their pain and suffering. A new industry is being created that allows physicians, who do not offer any medical care or treatment, to simply carry out the assisted suicide. These physicians have a "messiah complex" since they think that they are in a position to decide whether a patient should continue living. They apply an elastic interpretation of the current physician assisted suicide legislation. Where is the "autonomy" of patients that this legislation was supposed to provide? Patient dignity and autonomy are predictably, quickly receding from the Canadian scene. †

REAL WOMEN UPDATE ON RELIGIOUS FREEDOM PETITION

In the fall of 2016, REAL Women of Canada drafted and launched our own federal petition on religious freedoms in Canada.

Thank you to all members and supporters who have sent us signed petitions on Religious Freedom. To date, 5800 signatures have been sent to the House of Commons.

We have been successful in obtaining signatures from all provinces. MP Michael Cooper ([St. Albert-Edmonton](#)) received 2000+ signatures from across Canada. Afterwards, we reduced the number to an average of 150 signatures in each package of petitions sent to individual MPs, trying to include signatures from the riding of the MPs. A minimum of 25 signatures is required.

Twenty-five packages of petitions have been sent to different MPs asking them to return them if they do not wish to table them. It takes about 2 months for the petitions to be certified by the Petitions Clerk. After this, the petitions can be left with the House of Commons clerk without a public statement or the MP can announce the petition in the House of Commons with a short comment of support. Both methods result in an official record in Hansard.

Unfortunately, we have not had a positive response from Liberal and NDP MPs. No petition from these //1AA

groups has been tabled. There is no obligation to table a petition. The MP can wait as long as he or she wants before tabling the signatures.

Eight Conservative MPs read the petitions in the House of Commons: Michael Cooper ([St. Albert-Edmonton, AB](#)), Diane Finley ([Haldimand-Norfolk, ON](#)), David Anderson ([Cypress Hills-Grasslands, SK](#)), Dave van Kesteren ([Chatham-Kent-Leamington, ON](#)), Brad Trost ([Saskatoon-University, SK](#)), Cathay Wagantall ([Yorkton-Melville, SK](#)), Dave MacKenzie ([Oxford, ON](#)) and Ted Falk ([Provencher, MB](#)).

There are 10 other Conservative MPs who received petitions and should hopefully be interested in tabling them. In some cases, the petitions are in the process of being certified or tabled. We are still receiving petitions. Due to time constraints we have not been able to process all of them yet.

There is no time limit, so if you have not signed the petition please print it out from our website and send it to us with signatures. All signatures must be on the page containing the petition, not a separate page nor on the back of the page. Link to Religious Freedom Petition:

<http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca/petition-to-the-house-of-commons-in-parliament-assembled/> †

ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES REJECTS ABORTION AND HOMOSEXUALITY

Since the UN conference on Population and Development held in Cairo in 1994, the feminists have tried mightily to make abortion an international human right. They have raised this constantly at every single UN meeting since that time. However, with a few minor exceptions, (“sexual and reproductive rights” i.e. abortion, has been inserted in a few documents), they have been singularly unsuccessful in achieving their objective. This has been due mainly to the outstanding work of pro-life/pro-family groups working at the UN. Their commitment, determination, and understanding of the UN procedures have prevented the disastrous abortion/homosexual policies being foisted on the world through the UN.

REAL Women has done everything within its means to assist our pro-family friends at the UN. We have sent REAL Women representatives to these UN meetings many times, as well as cooperating in other ways with this work.

It is significant that there are only about 30 of the 193 members of the UN who are a part of the pro-abortion, anti-family coalition pushing their views on the UN. The coalition includes Germany, France, the United Kingdom, the Nordic Countries, Japan, Australia and, of course, Canada under Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. In fact, Canada has taken a prominent role in pushing for this offensive agenda.

Frustrated and embittered feminists, aided especially

by Canada and Mexico, are now trying, instead, to use the Organization of American States (OAS) as the tool by which they can hammer the UN on the abortion/homosexual issues. That is, if the 35 OAS countries accept these controversial policies, then the push by the pro-abortion coalition at the UN will be greatly strengthened.

THE OAS

The OAS consists of 35 member states in North and South America. Most of these countries have pro-life/pro-family policies. There are exceptions, such as Argentina, Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela. The feminist extremists are trying to turn the OAS into a pro-abortion/homosexual organization. However, in doing so, they are experiencing the same difficulty that they are experiencing at the UN as their efforts are being met with a solid wall of resistance from pro-life/family representatives and countries.

For example, on June 12, 2014, at an OAS meeting in Paraguay, the majority of member countries stated that it was impossible for them to support a resolution repealing sodomy laws. Furthermore, only four countries in North and South America have adopted same-sex marriage nationwide, Canada, Uruguay, Argentina and Brazil. The majority of OAS countries have rejected this and have refused to accept it as an OAS policy.

At the OAS meeting, held in Washington, D.C. in June, 2018, at which Canada and the United States were the most influential countries, they were at odds over a draft resolution accepting “sexual and reproductive health”. Canada tried to make this resolution more explicit in favour of abortion, but the United States insisted on deletion of this controversial phrase altogether. By the end of the conference, “sexual and reproductive health” was deleted. Also deleted at this meeting was a reference to “sexual orientation and gender identity” as a category of non-discrimination. The majority of OAS members again remained firm against nations, including Canada, which promoted this policy. The defeat of this resolution was due to the influence of the United States, which absolutely refused to accept it. This represented a set-back for the anti-life, anti-family core group of nations at the OAS.

This occurred despite the fact that reproductive rights activists had organized a panel during that meeting attempting to link the democracy deficit in Latin America to the lack of abortion rights there. This panel was organized by the feminist New York based law firm, “Centre for Reproductive Rights”, which was assisted in this undertaking by International Planned Parenthood.

The panel’s agenda promoting abortion rights was

undermined by the harsh reality presented by one of its panel members from the National Endowment for Democracy which apparently failed to get the memo that the panel was supposed to be about the lack of abortion in Latin America. Instead, she raised the plight of women in Venezuela. She said: “It is not a lack of reproductive health in Venezuela, but rather, a lack of maternal health care” that is the problem there. She referred to the fact that the mother-to-child HIV transmission rate has skyrocketed due to the lack of C-section capabilities. Babies are born severely malnourished to malnourished mothers as maternal death rates increase, and six women die every day from curable breast cancer. Thirty percent (30%) of households are apparently led by women, who are left to take care of children and the elderly. A reported 57% say they are not able to feed the seniors in their care. This information caused a noticeable stir at the conference. The horror of the truly tragic plight of women in Venezuela completely overshadowed the panel’s phony attempt to promote abortion rights in Latin America.

Many thanks should also be given to the US Delegation for its solid leadership at the OAS meeting in support of life and family. Its presence was the leading factor in preserving the OAS from adopting disastrous anti-life/family policies. †

BABY GIRLS KILLED BY ABORTION IN CANADA

Even the second generation of women of South Asian descent, living in Canada, have a preference for sons over daughters.

In 2014, a study published in the *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, conducted by researchers at Toronto’s St. Michael’s Hospital, found that women of South Asian descent have a cultural preference for sons even though these women were born and raised in Canada. The study found that gender selection through abortion is being carried out by second generation Canadian raised mothers who are prepared to abort their daughters in order to obtain a preferred son.

The study compared more than 10,000 births to second generation mothers of South Asian ethnicity against 36,000 births to first generation mothers from South Asian countries of babies born between 1993 and 2014.

Among the second generation mothers who had two previous daughters and at least one prior abortion, an astonishing 280 boys were born for every 100 girls. This was similar to the male-to-female ratio that occurred among first generation mothers of Asian descent.

These findings are alarming, and indicate that quick action is necessary to tackle this cultural preference in South Asian families for sons. Intervention should include education in these communities that females have equal value to males and that a woman’s contribution to society is as important as that of males. This is a self-evident truth that is obvious to most Canadians, but apparently it hasn’t reached the South Asian community.

Significantly, feminists have not raised the slightest murmur against this devastating killing of female babies by abortion. Feminists’ rigid ideology insists that abortion is a woman’s “choice” regardless of the circumstances – even the tragic killing of innocent girl babies. Where is their compassion and common sense? It is blocked by their narrow ideology, which prevents them from giving an inch of their belief that a woman is entitled to have an abortion without any interference for any reason. †

MESSAGE BOARD

- **Action Item:** Continue to collect signatures for our Religious Freedom Petition. This is an on-going issue. An [update](#) is provided in this month’s REALity.
- **Action Item:** Please write your premier, MPP/MLA, voicing your objection to the opening of more drug injection sites across the country. We issued an [ALERT](#) on July 30, 2018. This dangerous trend applies to all provinces, not just Ontario.
- **Action Item:** Ontario residents, please write or phone [your MPP](#), Minister of Education [Lisa Thompson](#), and [Premier Doug Ford](#), urging them to repeal the controversial Wynne 2015 Sex-Ed Curriculum for JK to Grade 12. This was an election promise of Mr. Ford and we must hold him and his party accountable. In the media and in the Legislature, there has been much publicity to keep this curriculum. The pro-family side needs to be heard from, loud and clear and soon, as the new school year is only a few weeks away. †

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE



Dear REAL Women of Canada supporter:

Welcome to the August 2018 issue of REALity.

REAL Women is the only movement in Canada that advocates for the family and for life, from a woman's perspective. We are unique. We are the counter weight to the radical feminist groups out there, who claim to speak for all Canadian women, as if we were all cut from the same cloth. I don't know of any men's movement that claims to speak for all Canadian men, do you? We believe that whatever is good for women should also be good for families. If an issue, legislation, policy or trend is not good for the family, then it isn't good for women either. With the family being the most important unit in society, strong families inevitably build strong nations.

REALity is the signature piece of REAL Women. We are in our 35th year of publication. It is a lifeline to our members and supporters containing news, commentary, announcements, and action items relevant to the pro-life, pro-family movement. Producing REALity continues to be our most time-consuming and most resource-demanding activity. The articles are thoroughly researched, and edited. The news that is in REALity will not be found in mainstream media, for the most part.

Since our July 2018 REALity was published, we have released two ALERTS. ALERTS differ from Media Releases in that ALERTS contain an action item that requires some type of activism, for example, signing a petition, writing a letter, or attending a rally. On July 30, we released an [ALERT](#) on harmful drug injection sites. REAL Women has published extensively on this subject and intervened in a high profile court case regarding InSite, a supervised drug injection site in Vancouver. On August 8, we released an [ALERT](#) on the

sex-ed curriculum in Ontario and on August 9 an [UPDATE](#). This ALERT involved signing a petition initiated by PAFE (Parents as First Educators) and collecting more signatures. There has been a lot of hype, fake news and fear-mongering on social media claiming that repealing the Wynne sex-ed curriculum (introduced in 2015) will be detrimental to our children. The pro-family side is claiming that to NOT repeal the curriculum will be harmful. The petition was to urge Ontario Premier Doug Ford to hold true to his election promises of a full repeal of Wynne sex-ed curriculum. Thank you to all of you who were able to collect signatures and return them to PAFE in such a short time frame.

Thank you for being women and men doing your very best to build a better society. Never give up hope.

With much gratitude for your financial and moral support,

Pauline Guzik
Pauline Guzik, National President



Terrorists need love published October 23, 2014 by courtesy of politicalcartoons.com

ALERT ABORTION IN CANADA

Canada has no law whatsoever on abortion.

Our country is a free-for-all nation which permits the abortion of any unborn child at the mother's discretion, for any reason.

Conservative MP Brad Trost (Saskatoon-University) has sponsored a petition to initiate a debate on abortion in the House of Commons by way of an all-party committee which would be required to draft such a bill. Consideration of this would be by a free vote in the House of Commons.

Opened for signature May 17, 2018 @ 4:27 p.m. (EDT)
Closed for signature September 14, 2018 @ 4:27 p.m. (EDT)

SIGN THE PETITION

Please sign the petition and share it with your friends and acquaintances.

Thank you.



SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA
PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK
TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca