



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

THE LIBERAL-NDP AGREEMENT

The mainstream media has written much about the implications of NDP leader Jagmeet Singh’s agreement to support Trudeau’s Liberal minority government so that it can remain in power until the next federal election in 2025.

The mainstream media, which are on Trudeau’s payroll, have failed to mention in their many articles the most likely reason why this agreement was made. The reason for the agreement may be that Trudeau will step down as Prime Minister within the next year. The party wants to protect itself during this critical transition period. The mainstream media have not disclosed this reason because, typically, they cover up for Trudeau. They did not want Trudeau, their benefactor, to be seen as a “lame duck” Prime Minister. Better to remain silent as to what may be behind this provocative agreement.

An exception to this silence was an article written by Toronto Sun columnist, Warren Kinsella, published on March 23, 2022. Kinsella, a former advisor to Prime Minister Jean Chretien, heartily dislikes Trudeau. Therefore, he was willing to admit that Trudeau will likely be stepping down as Prime Minister and that was the reason behind the agreement.

THE REASONS TRUDEAU MAY RESIGN AS PRIME MINISTER

There are a number of reasons why Trudeau may resign as Prime Minister:

- The Liberal Party is aware that Trudeau only formed a minority government in the 2019 and 2021 elections because the Conservative Opposition leaders in both elections were weak and ineffectual. The Liberals know that this lucky break is unlikely to occur in the next election. The Conservatives may have a more dynamic and astute leader than the previous ones which will diminish Trudeau’s electoral chances.

- Trudeau is deeply disliked by large segments of the Canadian public. This was evident during the Freedom Convoy in January and February 2022, which had wide support from the public. Many Canadians have lost respect for Trudeau, even including some within his own caucus, who are unlikely to continue to remain silent about their discontent.
- Bay Street corporate leaders, as well as senior experienced Liberal party officials (who were pushed aside by Trudeau and his young progressive advisors), want the party to return to a more stable, rational, and pragmatic party, rather than continue with Trudeau’s unpopular, ideological agenda.
- Trudeau is regarded as a lightweight and unserious in international circles. Publications with long, global reach, such as the New York Times, the Wall Street Journal, the UK Financial Times, and The Economist, all had editorials objecting to Trudeau’s imposition of the *Emergencies Act*.
- Trudeau was criticized when he shamelessly addressed the European Parliament on March 23, 2022 during which he hypocritically discussed threats to democracy in today’s world.

The Croatian independent MEP, Mislav Kolakušić, in a video response to Trudeau’s speech, viewed 1.5 million times, and which garnered over 50,000 “likes” on Twitter, described Trudeau’s undemocratic reaction to the convoy as “dictatorship of the worst kind”.

CONTENTS

THE NDP-LIBERAL AGREEMENT.....	PAGE 1
NEW FACTS DISCREDIT THE TRC REPORT ON RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS.....	PAGE 2
A WIN FOR PALLIATIVE CARE, A WIN FOR LIFE.....	PAGE 5
ABORTION JUMPS OUT OF THE BAG.....	PAGE 7

Four other EU parliamentarians also criticized Trudeau directly after his speech, in his presence. A Romanian parliamentarian stated that he chose to be absent from Parliament when Trudeau spoke and he issued a letter accusing Trudeau of abandoning the true Western values of democracy. Trudeau remained expressionless during these harsh, public criticisms of him.

A parliamentarian in Ireland requested the condemnation of Canada for its suppression of the peaceful convoy protests. On February 22, 2022, an independent Irish senator, Sharon Keogan, spoke to the Irish Upper House, stating that a human rights abuse had occurred in Ottawa when the well-publicized, high profile, peaceful protest was violently suppressed by the Trudeau government. She further stated that such a reaction could be happening in Russia, but should not be in a supposedly liberal democracy like Canada. She called upon the leader of the Irish Upper House to write to the Canadian ambassador in Ireland to condemn the excessive force and overreach of the Trudeau government.

AFTER TRUDEAU, WHO?

If Trudeau steps down as Prime Minister, the important question is, who will succeed him? Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance, Chrystia Freeland, is the logical heir to Trudeau. However, she is a cold-blooded, dangerous politician carrying considerable unpleasant baggage. She is, in fact, a female clone of all that is despised about Trudeau.

Her loyalties are not with Canada, but with the World Economic Forum (WEF). She serves on its

board of trustees. Freeland and Trudeau are closely associated with George Soros. (See REALity article July 8, 2020, <https://realwomenofcanada.ca/canadian-connection-to-george-soros-revolution/>.) Soros is a U.S. multi-billionaire, who is using his vast fortune to change the world according to a leftist, socialist agenda. Freeland has written the authorized biography on Soros. Freeland can be described as an unblinking cobra hiding in the grass, ready to strike her vicious fangs into anyone who obstructs her agenda. This occurred under the *Emergencies Act*, when she was disinterested in the harm she had caused to individuals and their families when she ruthlessly froze their bank accounts.

If, because of her notorious history, Freeland is not selected as the next Liberal leader, there will be other Liberals waiting in the wings to jump into a leadership race.

CANADA'S POLITICAL FUTURE

Soon, Canada will likely be entering a period of realignment of its political leaders. The Conservatives are already undergoing a leadership race, the Liberals may well follow suit. (The Liberals are planning a Policy Convention in May 2023, at which anything can happen). NDP leader, Jagmeet Singh, blew all the goodwill he had because of this NDP-Trudeau agreement. He has separated the party from its roots of promoting the ordinary, working man and woman, and instead, as an elitist, has changed the NDP into an elitist party, out of touch with ordinary Canadians.

It seems Canada may be entering into an interesting, but chaotic, political future. †

NEW FACTS DISCREDIT THE TRC REPORT ON RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS

HIGHLIGHTS:

- Canadian experts provide substantial evidence to discredit the supposed “cover-ups” of deaths in residential schools.
- Easily accessible, official records demonstrate that children who died in residential schools were given proper burial, and most in his/her home reserve.
- Considerable damage has been caused to the Christian faith by mainstream media and indige-

nous advocates in Canada, by the steady stream of unfounded allegations of “genocide”.

In 2008, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) was established, chaired by indigenous lawyer and Senator, Murray Sinclair. The Commission was budgeted at \$60 million, but it ended costing \$70.6 million. The alarming findings of the TRC have had a strong impact on Canada and internationally.

The purpose of the TRC was “to reveal to Canadians the complete truth about the history and the ongoing legacy of the church-run residential schools in a manner that fully documents the individual and collective harms perpetrated against aboriginal peoples”. This was a sweeping mandate that presupposed that students had been “harmed” in the residential schools. The residential schools were built and operated by the federal Department of Indian Affairs and run by religious denominations, from 1883 until 1996, when they were finally closed.

The TRC interpreted the mandate as requiring it to collect anecdotal stories, that cannot be verified, of students’ experiences while attending the residential schools. It referred to the students, in its final report, as “victims” of the residential schools. The TRC did not collect any hard, verifiable data but only the anecdotal stories from former students.

The TRC’s report, released six years later, in 2015, did not provide any updated and comprehensive figures on the total number of students who attended the residential schools beyond its rough, unsourced estimate of 150,000 students. Senator Sinclair claimed that approximately 15,000 - 25,000 residential school students had died at the schools.

The report was based on the stories of the students, who claimed they experienced racism, sexual, and physical abuse, neglect, and that many children died and were buried in unmarked graves. The TRC further claimed that there were many “missing children” for whom there were no records. It described this situation at the residential schools as “genocide” of indigenous people.

UNMARKED GRAVES AT FORMER KAMLOOPS SCHOOL

On May 29, 2021, it was announced that 215 Kamloops residential school students had been “found” in unmarked mass graves in a cemetery located on the grounds of a former residential school, which was located on the reserves. This news was a major shock, not just in Canada, but also worldwide. It was a black mark against Canada.

NEW RESEARCH ON RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS

Since the horrifying charges outlined above were made public, fact-based research has been carried out by experts, who have provided verifiable facts on the residential schools as follows:

1. Research by Professor Jacques Rouillard, Professor Emeritus, Department of History, Université de Montréal. Report released January 11, 2022 (www.dorchesterreview.ca/blogs/news/in-kamloops-not-one-body-has-been-found).

According to Professor Rouillard, the NCTR (National Centre for Truth and Reconciliation, the successor of the TRC) had officially recorded the names of 51 children who died between 1915–1964, in the Kamloops residential school. He obtained information on these children from the Library and Archives Canada and death certificates held by the British Columbia Archives Genealogy Resource Online. These resources were not reviewed by the NCTR. The information revealed that at least 35 of the 49 students (two were duplicates), listed on the NCTR website for the Kamloops school, have been located.

It is noted that the NCTR reports only 51 students “missing” from the Kamloops school which contradicts the 215 missing children referred to in the May 29, 2021 press release. Seventeen of these students died in hospital and eight on their own reserves as a result of illness or accidents. Four were the subject of autopsies and seven of coroners’ inquests. As for burial sites, 24 are buried in their home Indian Reserve cemetery. The four “missing” children, he stated, still require death certificates be obtained from the B.C. Vital Statistics Agency.

Professor Rouillard stated:

This is a far cry from the unverified claim that authorities overlooked or somehow covered up their deaths, or that the parents were not informed, or the remains never returned home. Most were informed and most were returned home.

Further, Professor Rouillard noted that from 1935 onward, the federal Department of Indian Affairs had put protocols in place and procedures to be followed when a student resident of the school died.

The protocols required the principal of the residential school inform the departmental Indian agent, who then was required to establish a committee composed of himself, the principal, and the doctor who had certified the death. Parents were required to be informed of the investigation, and permitted to participate in the inquiry.

Professor Rouillard noted that death certificates of students attending any of the B.C. residential schools can be obtained by anyone at the British

Columbia Genealogical website, and that similar research is possible in other provinces as well.

Interview with Professor Rouillard

An interview with Professor Rouillard was posted on the Italian website, Union of Catholic Christian Rationalists (UCCR) on April 1, 2022. During the interview, Professor Rouillard stated that the Canadian media were the mouthpiece of the Indigenous communities, and provided no criticism of the claims of the TCR, but accepted its claims without investigation. Professor Rouillard further stated that the Canadian government has paid enormous sums of money to the “survivors” of the residential schools, who were now attempting to obtain the same from the Catholic Church, despite the fact that there was no evidence on which to base the compensation.

2. Frontier Centre for Public Policy release December 9, 2021 (fcpp.org/2021/12/09/we-are-finding-the-2800-missing-children). See also (fcpp.org/2022/02/09/note-to-americans-education-is-not-genocide)

The Frontier Centre’s research was carried out under the direction of retired judge, Brian Giesbrecht. The report stated:

... what we have examined to date suggest that the NCTR [National Centre for Truth and Reconciliation] claim of “missing children” is neither factual nor credible. Overwhelmingly, the available evidence of the provincial death certificates and the extant federal government inquiries into student deaths show that the death of every child who died while registered at a residential school was investigated and that each child was given a proper burial, usually on his or her home reserve. The “thousands of missing children” claim is totally false.

The report stated further that the death records for students who attended the B.C. residential schools disclosed the following:

Of the 2,800 names on the NCTR Memorial Register, 416 are the names of children who died while registered at BC’s 18 residential schools.

So far, death records have been found for 225 of these 416 former B.C. residential school students.

There are 51 names on the NCTR listed

specifically for the former Kamloops Indian Residential School.

Death records have been found for 35 of these 51 former students of the Kamloops Indian Residential School.

He stated that these death certificates prove that 220 of the children are not missing at all. Most did not die at residential schools and most are buried in their home reserves.

3. Research August 7, 2021 by Professor Hymie Rubenstein, Professor Emeritus of Anthropology, University of Manitoba (c2cjournal.ca/2021/08/digging-for-the-truth-in-canadas-residential-schools-graves-part-two). See also Professor Rubenstein’s website: (substack.com/profile/70014671-hymie-rubenstein), for further background information on residential schools

Professor Rubenstein taught indigenous issues at the University of Manitoba for 31 years. According to his research, residential schools constituted only a minority of schools—and sometimes a small minority of schools for indigenous children. Most children attended day schools on the reserves and they returned home to their families at the end of the school day. Professor Rubenstein stated, “... it is impossible to argue these numerically-dominant day schools constituted ‘forcible removal’ or any type of ‘genocide’ alleged by the TRC, given that the students lived with their parents while in school”.

Report, March 1, 2022 by Professor Tom Flanagan, Professor Emeritus, Political Science, University of Calgary and Brian Giesbrecht, retired judge of the Manitoba Provincial Court (www.dorchesterreview.ca/blogs/news/the-false-narrative-of-irs-burials)

This report stated:

There is no documentary evidence to support these stories. There is no record, for example, of a single student being murdered at a residential school—never mind thousands—in the 113-year history of residential schools. Nor—and this is key—are there any records of indigenous parents claiming that their children went to residential schools “never to be seen again”, as claimed by Truth and Reconciliation Commissioner, Marie Wilson.

In their report, the authors further stated that considerable damage has been caused to the Christian faith in Canada by the steady stream of allegations about residential schools:

This anti-Christian sentiment has been largely directed against the Catholic Church and the Catholic religious orders which operated and staffed many residential schools. Although Catholic-run institutions comprised only 43% of all Indian residential schools in Canada, Catholic residential schools occupy most of the media coverage of these alleged atrocities.

Please see also, an article titled, “Some Other Truths about Indian Residential Schools”, posted in the C2C Journal on May 15, 2019 (c2cjournal.ca/2015/05/some-other-truths-about-indian-residential-schools), written by Rodney Clifton, Professor Emeritus in the Faculty of Education at the University of Manitoba and co-editor of articles titled, *From Truth comes Reconciliation: Assessing the Truth and Reconciliation Commission Report*, July 2021. Professor Clifton worked as the Senior Boys’ supervisor in Stringer Hall, the Anglican residence in Inuvik, NWT, from 1966 to 1967 and resident at the Old Sun Anglican Residential School on the Blackfoot Reserve.

CONCLUSION

There is obviously much research still to be done on the residential schools. What is needed, however,

is an objective and impartial investigation by independent researchers.

The information on residential schools, referred to above, does not imply that there was no abuse of students in the residential schools. Sadly, there are always individuals who take advantage of children, exploit, and abuse them. This is true whether by physicians, lawyers, teachers, neighbours, friends or even families. It is unlikely, therefore, that the residential schools escaped this evil, as even children at expensive, private boarding schools have been abused. Students who were abused in these residential schools must be compensated and have their pain and suffering acknowledged. However, the claims that abuse was rampant, and that all the children who attended these schools suffered, is unreasonable. The current narrative does a grave disservice to those many dedicated teachers and caregivers in the residential schools, who, for over 100 years, dedicated their lives to these children. Their good work is evidenced by the many fine men and women who attended these schools and later made a contribution to this country in many fields, including the arts, politics, the professions, the business world, and as responsible parents. It is gratifying that these indigenous students were provided with the opportunity to be educated, whether in the residential schools or in the day schools located in their reserves.

The children’s experiences in these schools may not have been perfect, but the schools were not the centres of abuse, as contended by the TRC report. †

A WIN FOR PALLIATIVE CARE, A WIN FOR LIFE

There is a great need in Canada to fight for life until its natural end. This struggle includes providing palliative care rather than euthanasia to dying patients. Such a struggle occurred recently in B.C. when the NDP government tried to force a palliative care facility to provide some of its beds for euthanasia patients. This was based on the fact that the Trudeau government had passed legislation in 2016, called Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID). The MAID legislation established the right of physicians to be paid for services under the health care system, to “treat” a qualified patient by killing him with a lethal injection. In contrast, palliative care has not been similarly

recognized as a legal right or funded or protected by legislation. As a consequence, palliative care is only available, at best, for 30% of the population.

The MAID legislation is misleadingly called “Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID)” to cover up the horror of the procedure, which allows a physician to “treat” patients by killing them.

A STRUGGLE FOR LIFE

The NDP government insisted that the palliative care hospice in Delta BC, operated by the Delta Hospice Society, provide beds for euthanasia patients. The valiant and courageous society refused to do so

because assisted suicide is incompatible with palliative care. This refusal led to the NDP government confiscating the facility and its considerable assets, most of it provided by private donations.

Supporters of the pro-death organization, Death with Dignity, took advantage of the tense situation, by attempting a hostile takeover of the Delta Hospice Society. Before the attempted takeover, membership in the society consisted only of 160 members. By April 2020, the society had over 1400 members, many of whom were MAID activists. The latter had gone door to door in the community misinforming residents of the facts and the purpose of the society. Newspapers published articles and comments, which attempted to poison the community against the hospice. Threatening letters were sent to the pro-life board members, demanding that they not resist the takeover.

The Delta Hospice Society refused to be destroyed by this offensive behaviour, and courageously continued to provide palliative care in accordance with its principles of protecting the dignity of human life until its natural end. It was fortunate that, despite the confiscation of its building and contents by the BC government, the society had other assets including a thrift store on the property that it owned, and had health programs that could continue to be delivered.

Death with Dignity continued to stalk the hospice. In June 2021, Death with Dignity demanded that the facility hold an in-person annual meeting, rather than an electronic annual meeting of its members. The euthanasia death group wanted to prevent the electronic general meeting, which would accommodate

the many pro-life members from across Canada, who would not be physically able to attend in BC. The Delta Hospice Society appealed the matter of the AGM to the Supreme Court of BC, which, on July 13th, 2021, ruled that an electronic special general meeting was acceptable if approved by its members.

THE ALL IMPORTANT GENERAL MEETING


On April 2nd, 2022 an electronic annual meeting was held by the Delta Hospice Society. Thanks to the many dedicated, loyal, pro-life members of the society from across Canada (over five thousand participated in the meeting) a pro-life Board of Directors was elected and a new constitution and by-laws were passed, which protected the society from having to accept pro-death members. These measures were passed by a significant majority of 75% of the participants.

The decision by the Delta Hospice Society to protect the principles of palliative care is of outmost significance. It has prevented not only this facility from providing beds for assisted suicide, but also has prevented other palliative care facilities from having to do the same. If the vote had gone the other way, palliative care would eventually have been extinguished in Canada, as pro-death advocates, together with the government, would have overtaken the system.

The advocates for death now know that any attempt to take over palliative care facilities will be met with fierce resistance. Our deepest thanks to the Delta Hospice Society for its courage and determination to save palliative care. Both resulted in an outstanding victory for life and human dignity. †

SAVE
the
DATE

AGM
06.18.22

 REAL Women of Canada's Annual General Meeting will take place on Saturday, June 18, 1:00 pm to 4:30 pm, at:

**Novotel Toronto North York: Stage Door meeting room
3 Park Home Avenue, North York, ON M2N 6L3**

Guest Speaker: Andrea Mrozek, Senior Fellow, Cardus
on
“Trudeau’s Impractical and Illogical National Daycare Program”

Please keep the date open. We look forward to a good turnout of our members so that we can have the opportunity to meet many of you in person and chat informally. †

ABORTION JUMPS OUT OF THE BAG

On May 2, 2022, there was a leak of a pending U.S. Supreme Court opinion to reverse Roe v. Wade, in which the Supreme Court had, instead of interpreting the law, decided to move into public policy on abortion. The Court set out the circumstance under which abortion could henceforth be obtained. The decision was not based on sound legal reasoning as there was no provision in the U.S. Constitution to allow abortion. Consequently, the judges based their decision on an heretofore unknown “right to privacy”. The judges just arbitrarily decided that such a right existed.

A dissenting judge in that case, Justice Byron White, called out the judges for what they had done, stating that the decision represented “the exercise of raw judicial power”.

High profile constitutional scholars in the U.S., who are personally pro-abortion, have also found the Roe decision to be unacceptable and “not constitutional law” at all. No less than the idolized feminist judge, the late Ruth Bader Ginsburg, a strong supporter of abortion, objected to the court’s heavy-handed approach to abortion in Roe v. Wade, which decision she called “extreme” and “a mistake”, and which led to the rise of the pro-life movement.

In essence, the Roe v. Wade decision was legally unstable. That it survived as long as it has, is surprising. Far from settling the issue forever, as was predicted at that time, the decision inflamed the debate and greatly affected the U.S. political culture for half a century.

If the leaked decision on Roe v. Wade holds, it will result in returning the decision on abortion to state legislatures rather than remaining a constitutional right decided at the federal level. Already, according to the former research arm of Planned Parenthood, the Guttmacher Institute in New York, 26 states have passed trigger legislation that will ban or heavily restrict abortion once Roe v. Wade is overturned. California and New York, on the other hand, have “codified” Roe v. Wade in their legislation so that these states will be an abortion free-for-all.

ABORTION INDUSTRY INFURIATED

The multi-billion dollar U.S. abortion industry is infuriated that the overturning of Roe v. Wade will vastly reduce its profits. All their energies have been primarily directed at keeping the industry flourishing in order to keep the money flowing. That

is why it has demanded abortion bubble zone laws to keep away those who want to reach out to pregnant women to help them avoid abortion. Abortion activists repeatedly lie about pregnancy counselling centres, claiming they provide women with “misinformation”. To ensure the continuation of its business, the industry intensely promotes sex education programs in schools to encourage sexual activity. Increased sexual activity increases the chances of pregnancy and profits for them.

With the possible overturning of Roe v. Wade, the U.S. abortion industry announced it will be spending \$150 million in the U.S. mid-term elections in November, to ensure the election of pro-abortion candidates who will fight to preserve their industry.

The mainstream media, which never has a thought independent of the woke culture, does what it can to whip up pro-abortion sentiment, and cover up the horror of abortion and its detrimental effect on society and women.

REACTION IN CANADA

Liberals and NDP – One Party

Justin Trudeau, as usual, lacks any understanding of the abortion issue (as with most other issues) and thinks that the decision of the U.S. Supreme Court may apply here, and that women in Canada might lose their “right” to abortion if Roe v. Wade is overturned. This is absurd. As a result, Trudeau has put on his tin hat, jumped on his little bandwagon, and bravely brandished his tin sword claiming that he will fight for a woman’s “right” to abortion. When asked what he would do, he said he didn’t rightly know just yet, but would look into it. Should he try to codify abortion, this will stir up a vigorous long-overdue debate on the issue in this country.

NDP leader Jagmeet Singh (a lawyer), at least had the intelligence to know that the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Roe v. Wade did not apply to Canada, but dutifully stated that access to abortion was unequal in Canada and abortion must be expanded. As Trudeau’s political support staff, he was robotically doing his job backing Trudeau.

Bloc Quebecois

A female Bloc Quebecois MP, Christine Normandin, put forward a motion in the House of Commons to reaffirm support for a woman’s right

to abortion. Some very clear “no’s” were heard very loudly in the chamber from the Conservative benches, thereby denying the unanimous consent necessary to carry the motion.

The Conservative Party

The interim leader of the Conservative Party, MP Candice Bergen, (Portage—Lisgar, Manitoba), who is pro-life, advised her caucus to keep silent on the U.S. Supreme Court leak on Roe v. Wade. There are at least 39 members of the conservative caucus who are solidly pro-life.

THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY HAS CHANGED

The party has changed since the removal of its last leader Erin O’Toole, who belligerently proclaimed on all possible occasions, that he was, naturally, “pro-choice”. The leader who preceded him, Andrew Sheer, who was pro-life, was incoherent on the subject when questioned by the media. To be explicitly pro-life under the Red Tories was a definite “no-no”.

O’Toole’s blatant support for abortion aroused the ire of social conservatives, and was a major consideration in his removal as leader.


Consequently, the conservative candidates in the current leadership race have been, all but Leslyn Lewis, pro-abortion, while being very very careful on the abortion issue. They act as though they are walk-

ing on a floor of marbles when the issue arises, slipping and sliding very carefully.

An exception in this leadership race is old time politician, Jean Charest, a voice from the past. He seems to be bewildered and confused by the new Conservative Party. When abortion was raised, he replied monotonously with the old standard response that it was a “woman’s choice” (he hasn’t thought about the issue for years). Previously, as a political elite, everyone listened to Charest, but today, nobody listens to him. That the Red Tories brought Jean Charest out of moth balls to represent them in the leadership race is a telling sign of their loss of influence and control over the party.

One thing is certain, the abortion issue is not done yet, either in Canada or the U.S. †

CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN
 FOR  **REAL Women of Canada**
<https://www.lifefunder.com/REAL18>

MAKING A GIFT UNDER YOUR WILL TO  REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

Making a gift under your Will to REAL Women of Canada is a lasting gift, not just to REAL Women itself, but also to Canada as a whole. Canada needs strong families, especially now, when the fabric of society is being torn apart by materialism, selfish individualism, and disrespect for human life.

REAL Women’s efforts on behalf of the traditional family have never waived. Through turmoil and adversity, we have put forward our voice on behalf of the family in a clear and uncompromising manner. We can only continue this vital work for many generations to come with your help.

When preparing your Will, please consider assisting REAL Women by making a bequest to our organization so that we can continue with our crucial work. †



SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA
 PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
 Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____
 Address _____
 City _____
 Province _____ Postal Code _____
 Tel _____ Email _____
 Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**
 PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca