REAL Women of Canada "Women Building a Better Society"

www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XLI | Issue No. 6 | June 2022

THE DOWNFALL OF DISNEY

For decades, Disney has captured the hearts of children (and their parents) with its charming delightful stories and music. Its theme parks have excited children, enthralled by the costumed presence of their favourite Disney characters in the Magic Kingdom. Because of its outstanding creativity and other talents, Disney has created a mountain of good will and has made billions of dollars for its shareholders.

Simply put, Disney was on top of the world, culturally and creatively, as well as being an astonishingly successful business enterprise.

What could possibly go wrong with such a business that never seemed to put forward a wrong step? Something did go seriously wrong. The Disney empire became part of the left wing culture, which attracted, among its 190,000 employees, homosexual activists and executives. They used their positions to promote their own agenda in a brazen attempt to change society to be woke and progressive.

This change was slowly and carefully introduced by slyly including, here and there, homosexual and transgendered characters. These changes were noted, however, and a petition of 1.4 million signatures was delivered to a shareholders' meeting in 2019. It was ignored. What was a mere million people when millions more were enjoying Disney productions and spending money at Disney theme parks? Money continued to pour in. As a result, the homosexual executives at Disney became louder and more bold.

A leaked video of an executive meeting indicated just how far Disney was planning to go. Disney's corporate president of Disney General Entertainment, Kathy Burke, stated she wanted to see 50% of characters as LGBTQ by the year's end. A Disney executive producer for Television Animation, Latoya Raveneau, stated she had complete freedom at Disney to promote her "not-at-all-secret gay agenda".

Another Disney executive production coordi-

nator, Allan March, said that he had "put together a tracker of our background characters to make sure we have the full breadth of expression". He specified gender non-conforming characters and "canonical" trans, asexual, and bisexual characters.

The executives bragged that Disney's beloved family movie series, Toy Story, would include homosexual kissing front and centre in this year's production, "Buzz Lightyear". In its wider streaming service, Disney+ has already included the popular show, "The Proud Family". It will be used to promote homosexuality, even though the show is designed and intended for children. One of the characters will now be transgendered and two dads will be featured.

DISNEY'S UNCONTROLLED ARROGANCE

Disney believed that its success gave it power and influence that could not be constrained, and was willing to prove it. Florida passed legislation that classroom sexual orientation or gender instruction identity for kindergarten to grade three, or teaching in a manner that is not age-appropriate or developmentally appropriate for students, would be prohibited. On March 11, 2022, Disney CEO Robert Chapek announced that Disney's goal was to repeal this legislation or have it struck down by a court. Further, he announced the establishment of a task force to make more LGBTQ-aware content for chil-

FORMER GOVERNOR-GENERAL PAYETTE STILL
SPENDING TAXPAYERS' MONEY......PAGE 7
PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE......PAGE 8

dren. He also announced that its corporate donations would be stopped for all politicians objecting to the homosexual agenda.

Florida Governor Ron DeSantis responded to Disney, a corporation based in Burbank, California. DeSantis made it clear that Disney was not going to tell the state of Florida what it may or may not do. On April 22, 2022, Governor DeSantis signed a bill to dissolve Walt Disney's self-governing status in Florida, which will cost the corporation millions of dollars in tax implications. Republicans in Congress have demanded that the no-fly zone over Disney parks be rescinded. Federal lawmakers have begun work to end Disney's copyright extensions of such characters as Mickey Mouse. On March 28, 2022 Disney's stock price began to fall, and by April 22, 2022 Disney had lost \$34 billion in value.

Disney's "woke" culture is clearly backfiring. A conservative billionaire, Peter Thiel (a homosexual himself), founder of PayPal, together with several other conservative/libertarian investors have announced plans to buy Disney stating, "this ... 'woke' has gone too far". There are several disgruntled conservative shareholders in Disney who would be willing for Thiel and his associates to acquire a controlling interest in Disney.

In the meantime, Disney needs families to keep it going and families, therefore, must object to Disney's indoctrination of children—no matter how charmingly done—by staying as far away from it as possible.

An impasse has occurred, with Disney suffering the immediate consequences for its blind support of the "woke" LGBTQ culture.

The Disney culture appears to be unravelling. •

WHY IS CANADA EUTHANIZING THE POOR?

EDITOR'S NOTE:

Over the past two centuries, we have been revolted by mankind's inhumanity to the less fortunate.

Slavery was practiced as a way of life for centuries with Arabs and Africans being the worst offenders. The practice of slavery in the U.S. in the 19th century, whereby the slave-owner could sell, rape, or kill his "property" with impunity was an abomination. (Canada, fortunately, under British law, abolished slavery in 1833.)

Two world wars caused unbearable suffering for soldiers terrorized, starved, frozen, and regarded, not as individuals, but as cannon fodder to satisfy the greed and desire for power of political leaders.

The Holocaust treated Jews as non-human to be tortured, starved and burned, based on the extremist, utilitarian ideology of Hitler's National Socialist Party.

The common link in these disgraceful episodes in history is the dehumanizing of groups of people for the purposes of greed and power. This common link also applies to our current abortion and euthanasia laws.

Abortion kills the helpless little human being, growing in the womb because he/she is an inconvenience. Recently, under Justin Trudeau, physicians may now kill their patients under the deceptively named, Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID). This law has taken hold in this country, whereby the disabled and poor are being killed

as a cost-benefit to society. Simply put, rather than providing for the needs of vulnerable people to live out their natural lives with dignity, MAID is being used to save money. In 2021, the net financial impact of providing MAID was as follows:

- Number of MAID Deaths (2021) 6,465.
- Net reduction in health care costs under the MAID \$36.9 million

Pro-life groups in Canada have tried desperately to expose the horror of the MAID legislation. However, the mainstream media and internet platforms have placed an impenetrable wall around the horror and ugly truth of this legislation. Consequently, it has taken a voice from outside Canada to expose the obscenity of this legislation.

The following article "Why is Canada Euthanizing the poor?" was published April 30, 2022, in the U.K. Spectator, written by Yuan Yi Zhu, a Senior Research Fellow at Policy Exchange's Judicial Power Project and a researcher based at Nuffield College, Oxford. (https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/why-is-canada-euthanizing-the-poor-)

It reveals that Justin Trudeau and his cold-blooded female clone, Chrystia Freeland, must be removed from office. They understand little and care less for humanity, in pursuit of their common, Godless agenda.

WHY IS CANADA EUTHANIZING THE POOR?

Yuan Yi Zhu

Since last year, Canadian law, in all its majesty, has allowed both the rich as well as the poor to kill themselves if they are too poor to continue living with dignity. In fact, the ever-generous Canadian state will even pay for their deaths. What it will not do is spend money to allow them to live instead of killing themselves.

As with most slippery slopes, it all began with a strongly worded denial that it exists. In 2015, the Supreme Court of Canada reversed 22 years of its own jurisprudence by striking down the country's ban on assisted suicide as unconstitutional, blithely dismissing fears that the ruling would 'initiate a descent down a slippery slope into homicide' against the vulnerable as founded on 'anecdotal examples'. The next year, Parliament duly enacted legislation allowing euthanasia, but only for those who suffer from a terminal illness whose natural death was 'reasonably foreseeable'.

Despite the government's insistence that assisted suicide is about individual autonomy, it has also kept an eye on the fiscal advantages.

It only took five years for the proverbial slope to come into view, when the Canadian parliament enacted Bill C-7, a sweeping euthanasia law which repealed the 'reasonably foreseeable' requirement—and the requirement that the condition should be 'terminal'. Now, as long as someone is suffering from an illness or disability which 'cannot be relieved <u>under conditions that you consider acceptable</u>', they can take advantage of what is now known euphemistically as 'medical assistance in dying' (MAID for short) for free.

Soon enough, Canadians from across the country discovered that although they would otherwise prefer to live, they were too poor to improve their conditions to a degree which was acceptable.

Not coincidentally, Canada has some of the <u>lowest</u> social care spending of any industrialised country, palliative care is only accessible to a minority, and waiting times in the public healthcare sector can be unbearable, to the point where the same Supreme Court which legalised euthanasia <u>declared</u> those waiting times to be a violation of the right to life back in 2005.

Many in the healthcare sector came to the same conclusion. Even before Bill C-7 was enacted, reports of abuse were rife. A man with a neurodegenerative disease testified to Parliament that nurses and a

medical ethicist at a hospital tried to coerce him into killing himself by threatening to bankrupt him with extra costs or by kicking him out of the hospital, and by withholding water from him for 20 days. Virtually every disability rights group in the country opposed the new law. To no effect: for once, the government found it convenient to ignore these otherwise impeccably progressive groups.

Since then, things have only gotten worse. A woman in Ontario was forced into euthanasia because her housing benefits did not allow her to get better housing which didn't aggravate her crippling allergies. Another disabled woman applied to die because she 'simply cannot afford to keep on living'. Another sought euthanasia because Covidrelated debt left her unable to pay for the treatment which kept her chronic pain bearable—under the present government, disabled Canadians got \$600 in additional financial assistance during Covid; university students got \$5,000.

When the family of a 35-year-old disabled man who resorted to euthanasia arrived at the care home where he lived, they encountered 'urine on the floor... spots where there was feces on the floor... spots where your feet were just sticking. Like, if you stood at his bedside and when you went to walk away, your foot was literally stuck.' According to the Canadian government, the assisted suicide law is about 'prioritis[ing] the individual autonomy of Canadians'; one may wonder how much autonomy a disabled man lying in his own filth had in weighing death over life.

Despite the Canadian government's insistence that assisted suicide is all about individual autonomy, it has also kept an eye on its fiscal advantages. Even before Bill C-7 entered into force, the country's Parliamentary Budget Officer published a report about the cost savings it would create: whereas the old MAID regime saved \$86.9 million per year—a 'net cost reduction', in the sterile words of the report-Bill C-7 would create additional net savings of \$62 million per year. Healthcare, particular for those suffering from chronic conditions, is expensive; but assisted suicide only costs the taxpayer \$2,327 per 'case'. And, of course, those who have to rely wholly on government-provided Medicare pose a far greater burden on the exchequer than those who have savings or private insurance.

And yet Canada's lavishly <u>subsidised</u> media, with some honourable exceptions, has expressed remarkably little curiosity about the open social murder of citizens in one of the world's wealthiest countries. Perhaps, like many doctors, journalists are afraid of being accused of being 'unprogressive' for questioning the new culture of death, a fatal accusation in polite circles. Canada's public broadcaster, which in 2020 reassured Canadians that there was 'no link between poverty, choosing medically assist-

<u>ed death</u>', has had little to say about any of the subsequent developments.

Next year, the floodgates will open even further when those suffering from mental illness—another disproportionately poor group—become eligible for assisted suicide, although enthusiastic doctors and nurses have already pre-empted the law. There is already talk of allowing 'mature minors' access to euthanasia too—just think of the lifetime savings. But remember, slippery slopes are always a fallacy. †

THE LAND OF THE ABSURD

No matter how kooky the woke culture idea is, there are some who abandon all rational thought to apply the idea.

This surrender of intellect to the crazy culture must be a compelling emotional need, as there is no other explanation for such foolishness.

This susceptibility has led to some respectable institutions and individuals becoming a laughingstock, dropping their institution and themselves into the land of the absurd where no sane person wants to follow. Examples of this peculiarity include:

Toronto District School Board

The Toronto District School Board (TDSB), Canada's largest school board has established specialty schools for talented children, whether in dance, music, filmmaking, advanced mathematics, science, athletics, or writing, etc. This model was implemented to encourage talented children to develop expertise in their chosen field. To gain admission to the specialized schools, the student had to audition, write a test, or indicate their particular talent in some specific way to demonstrate their potential.

The woke culture, however, has now decreed that this system is a haven of elitism and privilege for students and discriminates against students who are less talented.

Consequently, the TDSB has decided to permit all students to have a chance to be admitted to special schools providing that they "express an interest" in a subject. If so, their names will be placed in a lottery to be chosen at random—regardless of

aptitude, experience, or skill. The talented violinist or oboist, athlete, dancer, mathematician, etc., whose name is not pulled in the lottery will languish at home, with a less talented student replacing him/her in these specialty schools.

• Military Chaplains to Cease being Religious

The military life is hard on families. They are uprooted to new assignments so regularly that they cannot put down roots in any community. They are frequently separated for long periods of time when usually the father is ordered away on extended duty, leaving the mother to hold the family together by herself. A soldier dying on the battlefield wants the comfort and solace of a chaplain of his own faith kneeling beside him in his agonizing last moments. This is why military chaplains are so vital in military life. They are needed to provide pastoral and spiritual care to members of the armed forces and their families.

However, an advisory panel, established by the Department of National Defence, has recommended that Roman Catholic and other armed forces chaplains be replaced by those of other faiths.

The Department of National Defense set up the advisory panel, called Systemic Racism and Discrimination Panel, which released its report in January 2022. To describe this panel's recommendation on chaplains as idiotic is to be unduly polite. Lunacy would be a more accurate description.

Section 6 of the report, titled "Re-defining Chaplaincy", states that for some Canadians, "religion can be a source of suffering and generational trauma because the faith of some chaplains do not subscribe to diversity by excluding women from the priesthood because of sexist notions in their dogmas". Further, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and twospirit, as well as Indigenous peoples have suffered "generational trauma" at the hands of Christian religious leaders and must be protected from these chaplains. Presumably, these chaplains include Roman Catholic, Orthodox Jew, Muslim or Evangelical chaplains. The panel's solution was to recommend the rejection of chaplaincy applications whose "values" are not aligned with those of the National Defence. Who knew these individuals had the talent to assess the "values" of members of the armed service. let alone assess and replace Judeo-Christian and other traditions with their own moronic tinkering and misunderstanding of religion?

Fortunately, the office of the Minister of National Defence issued a statement that military chaplains from many faiths will continue as part of the Canadian armed forces. Somebody in the DND seems to have some common sense.

UNIVERSITIES IN KINDERGARTEN

Universities in Canada are so woke and immature that they can now fit very comfortably in kindergarten classes. Certainly, intellectual maturity has eluded them. They seem to be happy to play to the hilt the children's game "Simon Says", which kindergarten children love to play, whereby they imitate what the leader does and says. Great fun is had by all.

Some examples:

University of Waterloo, Ontario

The University of Waterloo has restricted three top tier research positions to exclude white, heterosexual males, and will employ in these positions only those who are Indigenous, female, (and those of course, who self-identify as such), or transgender, non-binary, or two-spirit.

One of the engineering positions is open only to First Nations, Metis, Inuit, and those from other indigenous communities across Turtle Island, which is what Indigenous people call Canada.

Universities seem to have assumed the false notion that discrimination can be good. It cannot.

One's colour or group affiliation is the least important characteristic, no more important that the colour of one's shoes! Jews were excluded from universities in Canada until the 1930s. Here we go again.

It is an indisputable fact that when characteristics, such as colour, become the bar by which someone is offered a job, unfortunately, too often, one is going to hire people who are not the best qualified. It also suggests that the person hired could not make it on his/her own merit, which is the height of bigotry.

• Laval University, Quebec City

Laval University policy specifies that only women, Indigenous people, people with disabilities, and visible minorities will be considered for employment.

A job offer, however, should be open to all candidates. According to Quebec's Minister of Indigenous Affairs, Ian Lafreniere, "it's borderline insulting for a candidate to be selected because of their gender or skin colour".

Bluntly stated, this policy prevents an individual with a certain profile from being evaluated on the merits of their skills. This is clearly discrimination.

Mount Royal University, Calgary, Alberta

Professor Frances Widdowson, a tenured professor at Mount Royal University since 2008 (fourteen years), was dismissed from her position in the Department of Economics. She, alas, had the temerity to say out loud that residential schools had educational benefits and was also critical of Black Lives Matter. Such unthinkable opinions openly stated, in university conversations, are not permitted. Intellectual exchanges are off limits at universities. It is rare for a tenured professor to be dismissed for political views, but that is what has occurred at Mount Royal University.

Professor Widdowson, however, is not quivering in fear over her firing, but has appealed the decision. She has dared to breach the 'Simon Says' rules required at universities. In the woke culture, universities are reduced to the level of kindergarten children. †

TRUDEAU'S EXTRAVAGANT SELF

Justin Trudeau has acquired a well-deserved reputation for extravagant spending. He has literally thrown billions of dollars to his favourite people, which includes himself.

This characteristic showed up when he first became Prime Minister in 2015. Despite his annual \$357,800 salary and a private family inheritance, he insisted that the Canadian taxpayer foot the bill of two nannies to care for his children. Deputy Prime Minister, Chrystia Freeland's three children however, were cared for by family (her aunts), while she was busy saving the world. (Freeland's husband lives and works in New York). Apparently, neither Trudeau nor Freeland, during their children's formative years, wanted them to mingle with the children of the common folk in a daycare centre. Instead, they made other arrangements for their children and, in Trudeau's case, at taxpayers' expense, yet they enthusiastically endorse other mothers putting their children in daycare centres as soon as possible.

TRAVELLING IN CANADA AT TAXPAYERS' EXPENSE

In the past year, between January 2021 and April 2022, Trudeau travelled 127,141 kilometres (equivalent to about three trips around the world), flying domestically on government aircraft. Most of the flying time was used to promote Liberal policies and the April 2022 budget. It also included two family trips – one to Tofino, B.C. on September 30, 2021 to walk on the beach and water ski on Canada's first ever National Day for Truth and Reconciliation. Trudeau's official itinerary for that day listed him as attending "private meetings" in Ottawa. Another family trip took place during the Easter holidays this year when the family visited Whistler, B.C.

The Trudeau family's Christmas excursion, in December 2016, at the Aga Khan's private estate in the Bahamas has recently become an issue again. The Aga Khan Foundation has been allocated over \$243 million dollars from the federal government since 2015. The Ethics Commissioner declared that the trip to the Aga Khan's estate, therefore, was a breach of ethics.

The Conservative Party recently received documentation on this trip under the Access to Information Act that stated the RCMP believed that Trudeau's family trip was "on reasonable grounds" to constitute fraud. The RCMP decided not to proceed with

charges, however, because it was not clear whether Trudeau had the power, under the *Ethics Act*, to grant himself the authority, i.e. to provide consent for himself to take the trip paid by a private donor.

One wonders why Trudeau thinks that his family needs holidays so urgently, when he has access to the Prime Minister's official country home on Harrington Lake in Gatineau, Quebec. The latter recently underwent an \$11 million renovation, which included a new kitchen, laundry and pantry facilities which alone cost \$735,000, and renovations to the guesthouse and caretaker's cottage, among other extensive updates.

The country retreat is owned and operated by the National Capital Commission (NCC), but Trudeau was consulted about these spectacular renovations. Relaxing in such splendour should satisfy most individuals, but apparently not Justin Trudeau.

TRAVELLING ABROAD AT TAXPAYERS' EXPENSE

In addition to domestic trips, Trudeau also bounded off, in March 2022, on government aircraft to photo opportunities in Europe, in an attempt to recover some of his faded lustre following the declaration of the disastrous *Emergencies Act*. Unfortunately, Trudeau is regarded in international circles as a fool and a fop, and officials find it difficult to engage in serious discussions with him. Nevertheless, Trudeau was still diligently photographed (by his personal photographer), maskless, meeting the Queen of England at Windsor Castle, in discussions with NATO officials, and addressing the European Union parliament (EU), where he was roundly criticized by some of its members for his hypocrisy in declaring the importance of democracy in other countries, when he, himself, dismantled a peaceful protest convoy in Ottawa.

Meanwhile, Canadians are told about a looming climate crisis, which requires the imposition of a carbon tax at a time when the inflation rate is 6.7%. According to the Bank of Canada, the inflation rate means that each of us will be paying an additional \$2,000 a year. Inflation soared to 6.7% in March, the highest in 30 years, and driven by a 40% increase in gas prices. The cost to the taxpayer for Trudeau's expensive travels, however, appears to be of no concern to him. •

FORMER GOVERNOR-GENERAL PAYETTE STILL **SPENDING TAXPAYERS' MONEY**

Julie Payette's tumultuous term as Governor-General, from July 2017 to January 2021, has led to still more costs for the taxpayers.

Payette was appointed Governor-General by Justin Trudeau. He looked at her resume, which included the fact that she was female, an engineer, astronaut, and bilingual. Perfect. What could possibly go wrong? Plenty it seems. In his enthusiasm to appoint her, Trudeau failed to look at her background. If he had, he would have learned that she has a toxic personality, unable to get along with people, and, therefore, totally unsuitable for the role of Governor-General.

As Governor-General, Payette avoided as many of her duties as possible and refused to live in the official residence at Rideau Hall (allegedly for privacy reasons). Soon the work environment around her deteriorated to such an extent that the government was forced to retain a consulting firm to investigate the toxic situation. In its review, the consulting firm interviewed more than ninety past and current employees at Rideau Hall and reported instances of "yelling, screaming, aggressive conduct, demeaning comments, and public humiliation". As a result of these findings, Payette resigned as Governor-General in January 2021.

However, this was not the end of her expenses. The Public Accounts' report was released in December 2021, and records that \$277,592 was paid out to five claimants employed at Rideau Hall. This amount is by far the highest paid out by the government in settlements over one year.

Despite resigning from her position, Payette is thriving on the taxpayers' money. Although she did not complete her term of office, she still qualifies for a lifetime pension of \$150,000 per year, and is eligi-

CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN FOR (REAL Women of Canada

OUR FUNDRAISING HAS GONE GLOBAL!

Find us on the LifeFunder platform. Check out the promotional video!

https://www.lifefunder.com/real18

SHARE ON YOUR SOCIAL MEDIA.









ble to claim "expenses" for the rest of her life in the amount of \$206,000 per year, for which she is not reguired to provide receipts. Former Governor-General, Adrienne Clarkson racked up more than \$1 million dollars in expenses after she left office in 2005. Her expenses included meals, plane tickets, and hotel rooms. Why is she being permitted to indulge herself by abusing taxpayers' money this way?

Instead, Payette's misadventures as Governor-General are just like those of former Governors-General, Adrienne Clarkson (1999-2005) and Michaelle Jean (2005-2010), They were both highly pretentious and were perceived to enjoy the money and prestige of the position, with little interest in actually serving the public.

This reprehensible abuse of taxpayers by the excessive funding to former Governors-General must be corrected. There is little likelihood, however, that Trudeau will have the backbone to do so. He lacks common sense and especially any sense about money.

In June 2021, during the previous Parliament, Conservative MP, Marilyn Gladu (Sarnia-Lambton) introduced a private member's bill that sought to ensure the Governors-General do not qualify for a lifetime pension and expense entitlement unless they have fully served their full term. The bill died when a new election was called in August 2021.

It's time that this matter be examined again and direct action taken against this abuse. •

MAKING A GIFT UNDER YOUR WILL TO NEAL WOMEN OF CANADA

Making a gift under your Will to REAL Women of Canada is a lasting gift, not just to REAL Women itself, but also to Canada as a whole. Canada needs strong families, especially now, when the fabric of society is being torn apart by materialism, selfish individualism, and disrespect for human life.

REAL Women's efforts on behalf of the traditional family have never waivered. Through turmoil and adversity, we have put forward our voice on behalf of the family in a clear and uncompromising manner. We can only continue this vital work for many generations to come with your help.

When preparing your Will, please consider assisting REAL Women by making a beguest to our organization so that we can continue with our crucial work. †

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Dear supporter of REAL Women of Canada:

Welcome to the June 2022 issue of e-REALity and the May-June 2022 issue of our bi-monthly edition.


We hope you will find this edition's articles informative. The news is not always that cheery, but it is news that is generally not reported elsewhere. We report to you on the deterioration of the Disney culture. Discerning parents now need to vet Disney movies for age-appropriate content. Who would have thought it would come to this? We have included an article from an Oxford researcher who laments the obscene Canadian euthanasia laws. You would not read anything like this in Canadian main stream media, which only presents euthanasia (MAID) in a positive light. We also summarize some very absurd developments in our "esteemed" Canadian institutions, including blatant discrimination and the cancel culture. There are also two articles on examples of extravagant spending by our federal government. One article reviews the lavish personal material demands of our Prime Minister, spending taxpayers' money with impunity. The second article recounts the continuing saga of the former Governor-General Julie Payette.

We have a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) page on our website (https://realwomenofcanada.ca/faqs/). If you have a question and it is not there, please e-mail us at our Ottawa office or phone it in to us. We will do our best to answer it and add it to our FAQ page, if suitable. We also now have a Commentary page on our website (https://realwomenofcanada.ca/commentary/). If you would like to contribute to the commentary section, please send us your submission. Commentaries will be accepted with the approval of REAL Women and will be subject to editing and length considerations. The Commentary article should not be more than 1000 words.

If you are receiving the bi-monthly hard copy edition of REALity, please contact our Ottawa office, if you would prefer to receive the monthly on-line version. The monthly version allows you to stay more current with the issues. As well, it saves REAL Women the cost of printing and mailing. If you wish to continue receiving the hard copy by ordinary mail, please keep us informed of any changes of address.

As always, we are ever so grateful for your support. We will not fail or flag in our efforts to share your commitment to our nation's families and the future of our country..

Warm regards,
Pauline Luzik
Pauline Guzik,
National President †



SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA
PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY
Membership \$30/year Groups \$50/year Donation
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.
Name
Address
City
Province Postal Code
TelEmail Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of REAL Women of Canada POBox 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203 www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca