



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION

The sexual revolution began in 1948 when an unstable and emotionally disturbed, sexually ambivalent zoologist, Alfred Kinsey, began studies on human sexuality with the object of liberalizing any restraints on sexual behaviour. Kinsey used questionable research methods, often focusing on homosexual communities, prostitutes, and sexual criminals as his research subjects. He then used the skewed results to overstate the prevalence of deviant and even criminal sexual behaviour, presenting them as normal. Further, he obtained information about the “sexual responses” of children from criminal interviewees who had been involved sexually with children. From this he declared that children were “sexual beings”. This theory has become the basis of modern sex education programmes.

Kinsey’s flawed studies were advanced as the reason why our traditional understanding of sexuality was wrong and must change. This new approach to sex was inflamed by the development of the contraceptive pill, which allowed women to participate in sexual activity without being concerned about pregnancy. Radical feminists promoted this approach, arguing that finally women could have sex lives as they perceived men did—casually and without emotional attachment. This notion of equality was incorrect, as women and men react differently to sexual activity, in that women, by their nature, find it much more challenging to detach sexuality from intimacy and emotional relationships.

The concept of sexual liberation held that any sexual aberration was normal and healthy. This transformed Western societies from a marriage culture into a relationship culture, where the focus was on sexual satisfaction above love, commitment, and progeny. This understanding of individual freedom has led to a sharp increase in broken families and children born out of wedlock, with a marked decrease in childbirths. This is because modern sexual freedom includes the concept that sex should be child-free, guilt free, and problem free. Should conception occur, abortion should be available as a backup, thus making sex a sterile activity.

SEXUAL REVOLUTION IS A PROCESS

The sexual revolution can be understood as a process because those who promote it are never satisfied with its present condition. They push the boundaries to the next aberration. We know that a new behaviour will soon be introduced when

one stage has reached societal acceptance and has received legal protection. In short, once the sexual revolutionaries achieve one objective, they move seamlessly on to the next one. Abortion, homosexuality, and same-sex marriage are examples of the “progressive” changes in recent years.

Formerly a criminal offence, abortion, which has legal protection, now comes with government funding, and punishment for doctors and nurses who refuse to perform or refer for the procedure. Freedom to marry anyone of any sex becomes a duty for all society to accept. Those who speak against it are scorned and ridiculed. Those in the wedding industry, such as bakers, limousine drivers, and florists are obliged to support same-sex marriage or face severe financial penalties. All these changes are gradual and thrive by wearing down resistance from moral structures, habits, and cultural practices.

TRANSGENDERISM — THE CURRENT STAGE

The present stage of the sexual revolution is the transgender agenda. This phase followed the imposition of same-sex marriage in Canada in 2005. In 2017, the law protecting transgenderism was passed (Bill C-16) by amending the federal Human Rights Act to prohibit discrimination against the transgendered on the basis of gender identity and expression.

The transgender agenda allows people to surgically and chemically attempt to change their sex. Penalties, such as imprisonment, can result for resisting this procedure (see April issue of REALity on Bill C-8, now named Bill C-6, which prohibits conversion therapy).

THE NEXT STAGE IN THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION

The next stage in the sexual revolution will likely be the legalization of consensual non-monogamous relationships, called “polyamory”. This permits open relationships, making any co-

CONTENTS

THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION.....	PAGE 1
SOME PEOPLE’S STRANGE ACTIONS.....	PAGE 3
JUSTIN TRUDEAU’S LIMITLESS GENEROSITY TO HIS FRIENDS.....	PAGE 4
OUR AGING POPULATION.....	PAGE 5
MEMBERSHIP FEE DUE.....	PAGE 6

habiting and sexual grouping acceptable. This includes three or more men and women living together, sharing living conditions and sexual intimacy. The polyamorous distinguish their lifestyle from cheating and adultery by claiming it hinges on the “consent” of the parties. Consensual non-monogamy is the emerging civil rights issue today. It demands that relationships be restructured to include “diversity”, which is referred to as “differing relationship structures” that must be given legal protection.

We know that this is the next step in the sexual revolution because:

1. In 2019, the American Psychological Association (APA) formed a task force to promote consensual, non-monogamous relationships. It is proposing that such relationships be accorded legally-protected class status. The APA, by setting policy for a “right” to polyamory, is not treating such behaviour as an illness, but rather as a civil right which must be accepted and legally protected. This follows the same process that the APA followed to “liberate” abortion, sexual permissiveness, homosexuality, and the transgender phases of the sexual revolution. Clearly, its goal is to make any sexual grouping mainstream. It is currently developing fact sheets, brochures, reading lists, and therapy recommendations for an aberration that was once considered seriously disordered, but which the APA is now describing as “finding love”. This process will end in shifting the onus from regarding those who participate in these relationships as deviants, to regarding those who are not inclusive enough to accept this behaviour as deviants. In short, the APA is laying the groundwork for the next domino to fall in the long line of dubious civil rights victories secured in recent years.
2. An academic foundation is being laid for polyamory in that there are already 600 academic papers published in support of it. This is to create a groundswell of civil rights victories by way of the courts, and to promote it in the media. In effect, this “research” creates a body of scholarship to put before the courts and the public in order to counteract ingrained social attitudes against polyamory.
3. The media have already begun to portray polyamory as a natural association, painting it in Norman Rockwell-like, picture-perfect colours. For example, on July 6, 2020, the BBC began a series on polyamory. The series promotes a gentle, loving picture of a polyamorous arrangement of three thirty-somethings living together in contemporary London.

The New York Times, which serves as a sentry for all other newspapers and media outlets in the U.S. has published within the past year a series of articles explicitly endorsing polyamory, claiming that “people should have more options”. No mention appears in the articles of heart-break, confusion in children’s lives, or the other health and emotional costs associated with such arrangements.

On August 12, 2020, the New York Times published an article claiming society no longer needs the traditional family structure to create children, but will inevitably use “poly-parenting—babies conceived by any number of parent donors of

every combination or biological sex by using the process *in vitro* gametogenesis (IVG)”. It is argued that this will dispense with the centuries-old understanding that procreative unions come only in pairs, but can include parental threesomes and foursomes from across the spectrum of gender identity.

4. Over a dozen local governments are working on anti-discrimination ordinances to protect “relationship structure” definitions in city by-laws. On June 29, 2020, the city council in Somerville, Massachusetts passed, and the mayor signed, a by-law recognizing domestic partnerships formed not by “two persons” but rather by “people”. This is the first city to recognize polyamorous domestic partnerships. This by-law will result in assisting unmarried couples living together with health insurance coverage, local licensing, health care and other supports.

CHILDREN CAUGHT IN POLYAMORY

Lost in the promotion of polyamory is the fact that it is not a stable family structure and increases the risk of abuse, violence, and most importantly, harm for children because of its innate instability. This also leaves children in the midst and care of unrelated adults who do not have the same sensitivity to the child as a natural parent.

We cannot overlook the fact that two individuals living together, such as a husband and wife, have disagreements and dissension over responsibilities and parenting. Imagine how much more challenging an arrangement of three or more adults living together, both physically and sexually, will be? The arrangements also inevitably raise the emotion of jealousy among the sexual partners, leading to anger and abuse.

In January 2012, the journal, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, published a paper entitled “[The Puzzle of Monogamous Marriage](#)”. This paper exposed the fact that polygamous relationships have an elevated risk of abuse, neglect, and homicide because members of these households have a lower level of relatedness. In effect, increased child abuse and neglect occur in polygamous homes because some of the adults are not related to the children in the household. Sociologist Bradford Wilcox, in a 2011 article, “Suffer the Little Children” published in the journal *Public Discourse* explained this problem as follows:

We know that children living with a mother and her boyfriend are eleven times more likely to be sexually, physically, or emotionally abused than children living with their married, biological parents. Likewise, children living with their mother and her boyfriend are six times more likely to be physically, emotionally, or educationally neglected than children living with his or her married biological parents.

Inevitably, some children born within polyamorous arrangements will ask, “Who is my father?” a question difficult to answer with any certainty in these arrangements. Moreover, even if the child does know who his or her father is, it is traumatic to witness the father being amorous and affectionate with a woman not their mother. It makes for a sad and difficult childhood. †

SOME PEOPLE'S STRANGE ACTIONS

It's difficult to understand the apparent unreasonable and irrational behaviour of some individuals who seem to live in a bubble, oblivious to the consequences of their actions on others.

A few examples of this peculiar behaviour are as follows:

1. JORDAN PETERSON'S CRITICS

A University of Toronto psychology professor, Jordan Peterson, made headlines in 2016 when he criticized federal legislation, which legalized transgenderism, by amending the federal *Human Rights Act* to provide that gender identity and expression be prohibited grounds for discrimination. He also refused to automatically address transgendered students by their preferred pronouns, describing this as "compelled speech".

Mr. Peterson wrote a book, published by Toronto's Penguin Random House, entitled *12 Rules for Life*. The book was a huge success worldwide, selling five million copies.

Mr. Peterson has now written another book, *Beyond Order*, to be published in March 2021 by the same publisher. When the news of this broke, some employees at the publishing establishment entered into a swoon of despair and demanded that Penguin Random House decline to publish the book. As a result of this uproar, Penguin Random House generously provided an online consultation for its employees to express their concerns. About two thirds of the employees participated in this consultation during which a few of them broke into tears, demanding that the publisher withdraw from the project. Penguin Random House was not about to withdraw from the goldmine it would tap into by publishing a book by the bestselling author, regardless of its employees' reactions. The employees, who are raising objections, are obviously part of the current push for progressive social justice issues. As one of the employees said, "By publishing this book, the publisher is supporting and fuelling conservative views and providing Mr. Peterson a platform for his views." Diversity of opinion is apparently abhorrent to these woke employees.

Their reaction is also morally confusing. After all, should the employees be working at all for a publisher if they don't believe in the publishing of material they don't agree with? If so, they should also be objecting to Hitler's *Mein Kampf* or Machiavelli's book *The Prince*, which can be politely described as the how-to manual on the art of getting and keeping power, even by murder. These books are also made available by Penguin Random House publishers.

As stated by National Post columnist, Rex Murphy (November 26, 2020), "It is degrading to adult dignity and intellectual integrity to allow a woke mob sway or say over anything, and most emphatically, what may or should be written or said." In a democracy, we are supposed to be free to express and publish diverse perspectives. Who are these extreme leftists who prevent the participation in the public square of those who have a different opinion from theirs?

2. BOY SCOUTS

An audit of Scouts Canada has revealed 460 incidents of sexual abuse of children, reported to the police over past decades. Unfortunately, there were additional abuse cases—65 instances about which Scouts Canada did not inform the police.

In 2011, the CBC program, *The Fifth Estate*, reported that, in recent years, Scouts Canada had also signed out-of-court confidentiality agreements with more than a dozen child sex abuse victims. Scouts Canada has acknowledged that this was the case. These settlements prevent victims from revealing the amount paid or even the fact there was a settlement. Scouts Canada has refused to disclose these details but, according to CBC News, these settlements cost Scouts Canada approximately \$200,000 for failing to protect the youth who were members of the organization. It is puzzling that Scouts Canada is not interested in admitting its responsibility, but only wants to minimize its financial costs and make sure nobody else finds out about the repeated child abuse occurring within its organization.

In 1989-1990, its peak, Scouts Canada had 197,508 members. In 2019-2020, it had 52,220 members.

Boy Scouts of America (BSA), which is separate from the Canadian organization, has had 90,000 sex abuse claims filed against it and, as a result, has filed for bankruptcy. At its peak, in the 1970s, BSA had four million members, but the number has decreased today to less than two million.

In view of the mind-boggling number of sex abuse cases, both in Canada and the U.S., one would think that responsible parents would not want their vulnerable children to be placed in harm's way by being members of the Boy Scouts. It is also disturbing to learn that the Boy Scouts Association does not want to accept responsibility for the abuse of children placed in its care by trusting parents.

3. PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE (EUTHANASIA)

Individuals are killed in Canada by assisted suicide with the same drug used in the lethal injections of criminals executed in the United States. Autopsies on the prisoners, who died by capital punishment, have revealed that the lungs of most of the executed prisoners examined after death were filled with fluid. This is a condition called pulmonary edema, which causes feelings of suffocation or drowning during the dying process: not a pleasant or easy death.

Yet, during the campaign to legalize assisted suicide in Canada, it was claimed that death by lethal injection was quick and painless. This is not the truth. Death by lethal injection is more consistent with a slow suffocation or drowning. In some cases, froth and foam were found in the airways of executed prisoners. This froth is the clue. It means the patients or prisoners are still alive and trying to breathe as their lungs fill with fluid, because froth forms only if air is still passing through the lungs. The Dutch government, which has had legalized physician-assisted suicide since 2001, recently implemented a new rule, allowing doctors to sedate

patients before administering the lethal injection. This paralyzes the patient and prevents him/her from resisting his/her own death by struggling or becoming violent because of the drowning sensation, as they struggle when the lethal dose is administered.

In view of this new understanding of the suffering of pa-

tients receiving the fatal injection, one would think that this barbaric practice of assisted suicide would be stopped immediately. Instead, in an effort to make the procedure easier and more convenient for the attending physician carrying out the grisly act, sedating the patient is recommended. And this barbaric act is described as “death with dignity”? †

JUSTIN TRUDEAU’S LIMITLESS GENEROSITY TO HIS FRIENDS

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau swaggers about, throwing taxpayers’ money to his friends. For example:

- Trudeau’s former chief of staff, Gerald Butts, a close friend since university, who resigned his position in the PMO over the SNC-Lavalin scandal, had previously been the CEO of the environmental lobby group, World Wildlife Fund (WWF), which opposes the oil and gas industry. Justin Trudeau’s government gave WWF \$4 million between 2016 and 2020.

Butts was also an adviser to former Ontario Premier Dalton McGuinty and his *Green Energy Act*, which led to a 70% increase in electricity rates for Ontario residents. After his resignation from the PMO, Butts returned to Trudeau’s inner circle in late July 2019 as part of Trudeau’s 2019 election campaign team. Butts was next appointed, in May 2020, as head of a Liberal taskforce called “Taskforce for Resilient Recovery” to recommend post-pandemic policies to reset the agenda on green energy and climate change. The taskforce issued its report in August 2020, calling upon the federal

government to spend \$55 billion over five years on clean energy, as well as measures to deal with climate change. The taskforce came up with “five bold moves” for a “green recovery”. The word “bold” is misleading as the taskforce’s recommendations could more accurately be described as “wasteful” and “futile”.

- In August 2020, Rob Silver, the husband of Trudeau’s current chief of staff, Katie Telford, lobbied for a change in the COVID wage subsidy that would have benefited his company. He was, at that time, not a registered lobbyist – which posed serious ethical questions as to whether he was using his connections through his wife to lobby government officials. Silver followed this up by lobbying for a new company he had established, which was awarded an \$80 million contract in 2020 to manage a Liberal government program to aid small businesses.
- Trudeau’s half-brother, Kyle Kemper, the son of his mother, Margaret Trudeau and her second husband, Fried Kemper, was given a \$12,430 contract in June 2019 by Global Affairs Canada to give a speech in Switzerland.
- In 2020, a contract was awarded to a Montreal firm, founded and operated by former Montreal Liberal MP, Frank Baylis, a long-time Liberal organizer and personal friend of Trudeau. This non-competitive contract, worth \$237 million, was granted to produce 10,000 medical ventilators for COVID-19 patients. An identical ventilator, produced by a Mississauga, Ontario firm, was sold around the world for half the price paid by the federal government for the ventilators produced by Mr. Baylis.
- Trudeau appointed 19 federal public servants to work part-time to advise former Finance Minister Bill Morneau, who resigned in August 2020 from his seat as a Toronto MP. These public servants aided his efforts to become Secretary General of the elite, Paris-based Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). This position has the attraction of coming with an astronomical expense account. So far, Global Affairs Canada has given Mr. Morneau \$6265.76 to spend on hospitality in Paris to lobby for the position. Global Affairs said it had no projections as to the final price tag



Cartoon: Graeme MacKay, *Niagara Falls Review*, July 22, 2020

for this undertaking, including the cost of the work done by the 19 public servants on Mr. Morneau's behalf.

- Trudeau, as our leader, believes in treating himself well. His government spent \$10,000 to fly him, during the pandemic, to Toronto and back in two hours for a photo-op at a school in connection with the closing of schools during the pandemic. Trudeau also spent tens of thousands of dollars, in the first two months of 2018, on luxury food items while flying aboard the government jet. This includes \$2000 seafood platters, \$285 for Belgian waffles and \$412 for hot towels.

Trudeau has authorized a \$9 million renovation upgrade at his Harrington Lake Quebec retreat, used exclusively by himself and his family. He spent \$28,629 at the retreat on electricity charges in the six-month period between October 2019 and March 2020, and the charges for four

of the months were in excess of \$5000. During the same time frame the cost of furnace oil exceeded \$18,000.

- Trudeau's unsuccessful attempt to obtain, for purposes of his own personal vanity and glory, an utterly useless seat on the UN Security Council has cost the taxpayer nearly \$330,000. This was used to wine and dine the world's dictators and oligarchs to try to convince them to vote for Canada on the Security Council.

TRUDEAU'S PROBLEM

The problem with Trudeau seems to be that he doesn't regard himself as just a prime minister, but rather, as an enlightened, progressive leader of both this country and the world. This allows him to ignore ethical, moral, and financial standards and to be completely unaccountable for lavish spending sprees on himself and his friends. †

OUR AGING POPULATION

"[T]he economic implications of Canada's aging population and its long term effects on federal finances continue to be steadfastly overlooked by the Liberal government."

It's the same old story year after year. Canada has a rapidly aging population, an astonishingly low birthrate (1.47 children per woman of childbearing age), and, as a result, is heading pell-mell towards disaster.

Yet, the economic implications of Canada's aging population and its long term effects on federal finances continue to be steadfastly overlooked by the Liberal government.

This jaw-dropping decrease in population is of incredible importance because it affects the economy, labour market, healthcare, pensions, regional development, and election results. Finance Minister Chrystia Freeland, in her economic statement on November 30, 2020, projects that Canada will post the largest single-year fiscal deficit in our history (at least \$381.6 billion) and an unbelievable federal debt of \$1.2 trillion in fiscal year 2020-2021.

Not only will our children and grandchildren have to grapple with this economic mess, but we can now expect that our great grandchildren will be dealing with the problem as well.

Canadians are living longer due to improved diets, better sanitation, medical advances, and greater prosperity, which is all to the good. Nevertheless, this means that seniors' extended life span will increase government expenditures for pensions, medical care, and long-term care requirements. At the same time, the decline in the birthrate results in a decrease in the labour force, which will slow economic growth and reduce government revenues because fewer individuals will be paying taxes.

DECREASING POPULATION IS GLOBAL IN SCOPE

A new study from researchers at the University of Washington's Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation predicts that almost every country will experience slowing population growth or outright decline by 2100, partly due to dropping fertility rates. The researchers estimate the global fertility rate is to drop below 1.7 by 2100, while the 2017 rate was about 2.4 children per woman of childbearing years.

Japan, Russia, Bulgaria, China, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Thailand, and South Korea are among 23 countries that are expected to see their population more than halved by the end of the century. This phenomenon is going to change the dynamics of the world. For example, the Balkans used to be the powder keg of Europe, a fault line between Christian Europe and the Ottoman Empire, but because of its rapidly declining population, plus migration of its population to greener pastures in the West, the Balkans are slowly emptying out and becoming an empty shell of its former self. The same situation is occurring in Romania. As the Balkans go, the rest of Europe will follow, together with the rest of the world.

THE UNITED NATIONS

Even the United Nations, which has spent years and billions of dollars trying to quell the supposedly terrible problem of overpopulation, for which it has enthusiastically dumped contraceptives and abortion into third world countries to satisfy supposed "unmet needs", has now been forced to admit that the real problem is the worldwide decrease in population. UN demographers are now grimly predicting that the world's population may stop growing by 2100 and will peak at approximately 9 billion people, compared with the roughly 7.8 billion today.

JUSTIN TRUDEAU'S SOLUTION TO OUR POPULATION DECLINE

Prime Minister Trudeau's answer to the pending economic disaster, as is his custom, is to promote a simplistic solution which fails to acknowledge the implications of his plans. He is suggesting that Canada's annual target of 350,000 immigrants per year must increase to 401,000 permanent residents in 2021, 411,000 in 2022 and 421,000 in 2023.

Canadians have always been supportive of immigration because that is what has built this country. Polls taken in October 2020 by the Leger and Nanos polling companies, however, indicate that Canadians emphatically do not agree with Trudeau's new immigration targets. The increased immigration suggested by Trudeau is going to create serious problems because of the COVID-19 lockdowns. Hundreds of thousands of Canadians are currently unemployed because of the shutdown of small businesses, restaurants, manufacturing, and other, so-called "non-essential" work. No matter how hardworking and determined the immigrant may be, there just won't be jobs available for him/her in the present economy. Increased immigration will create new demands for housing, social benefits, education, and medical care, which will overwhelm our already floundering economy. Increased population via immigration may be a worthwhile idea later, but until the pandemic ends and Canada is back on its feet economically, it is definitely not a good idea.

A further problem in increasing Canada's immigration is that other countries are also looking to increase their population by immigration, and this means that Canada will be competing for immigrants from a shrinking pool.

The only place where population is increasing is in sub-Saharan Africa, which is expected to treble in size to more than three billion people (a third of the world's population)

by 2100. Nigeria will be the second largest country in the world (behind India) with nearly 800 million people. Canada will have to draw from this region, competing for immigrants with many other countries.

It is time for Canada to realistically face the problem of our declining population. Pretending it is not a problem or hiding behind an increase in immigration is not acceptable and is endangering our country. †

MEMBERSHIP FEES FOR 2021 NOW DUE

This is a reminder that your **2021 membership** in REAL Women of Canada **is now due**.

The annual membership fee is \$30 and for a group is \$50.

If you received the hard copy of the 2020 November/December issue, you received a self-addressed envelope for your convenience in forwarding your annual membership renewal and fee.

It is your wonderful support that enables REAL Women to continue its work on behalf of the traditional family of mother, father, children and to promote values that sustain and protect society.

Thank you so much for your faith in us. In return, we promise to remain faithful and diligent in supporting the family and traditional values.

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203

www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca



Cartoon: Graeme MacKay, *The Hamilton Spectator*, September 18, 2020