REAL Women of Canada "Women Building a Better Society"

www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XLI | Issue No. 2 | February 2022

ERIN O'TOOLE REMOVED AS LEADER OF THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY


On February 2nd, 2022, the caucus of the federal Conservative Party voted to remove Erin O'Toole as leader. The vote was 73 to 45 in favor of his removal.

The decision by the caucus was no surprise. The party was disintegrating under O'Toole's leadership. He failed not only as a politician, losing seats and with a lower popular vote in the September 2021 election. He also was a failure as a leader of men and women.

Instead of building a united, cohesive Conservative party, O'Toole recklessly wielded a cleaver to slash at the caucus, leaving its members both resentful and deeply divided.

O'TOOLE'S IDEOLOGY

O'Toole's inadequacy as leader stemmed from the fact that he tried to change the party from one upholding genuine conservative principles to a party that was left of centre. In effect, under O'Toole, the party became a Liberal-lite party, contrary to the views of millions of Canadians who would traditionally be sympathetic to a principled conservative party.



Cartoon by Michael de Adder from the Toronto Star, January 24, 2022.

O'Toole displayed a dislike, bordering on contempt, for members of his own caucus who were unwilling to follow his policies and those of his Red Tory advisors. He vindictively shut them all out of his shadow cabinet and silenced them.

In addition, O'Toole continuously flip-flopped on major political issues without bothering to consult the caucus.

FAST TRACKING CONVERSION THERAPY (BILL C-4)

This immense dissatisfaction about the Conservative leadership came to a head after Conservative MP Rob Moore (Fundy Royal) presented, on December 1, 2021, a Motion in the House of Commons to send the highly controversial Bill C-4 (Conversion Therapy) directly to the Senate for approval without debate, committee review or vote. Such an action is completely contrary to standard parliamentary procedures. The conversion therapy bill made it a criminal offence to help anyone, at any age, to undergo conversion therapy to change their sexual orientation, or to accept their sex at birth, even with their consent. The definition of conversion therapy is so broad that it will ban any attempt at counselling by parents, experienced professionals, or even by pastors who pray to change an unwanted orientation, or to welcome one's natural sex.


According to information obtained from some Conservative MPs, many were blindsided by Moore's motion. Although the issue of fast-tracking the conversion therapy legislation had been discussed in caucus, there was no consensus on the matter. In addition, the motion was timed to take place just when MPs were in the process of leaving the

CONTENTS

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE......PAGE 6

floor of the House of Commons after Question Period. They were caught off guard and were unable to raise objections to the motion in the few seconds following its introduction.

This betrayal of the caucus by O'Toole was another example of his personal left-wing policies. He brought his watering can and fertilizer to left-wing policies in order to ensure that they became firmly embedded in Canadian soil, to flourish and thrive, regardless of the views of a more discerning public.



O'Toole removed as leader of the Conservative Party. Candice Bergen named interim head.

LESSON LEARNED

The major lesson to be learned from the O'Toole disaster is that the party can succeed only if it takes into consideration the opinions of its natural supporters. If the party fails to learn this lesson, and elects yet another leader who ignores its supporters, the party will fail again. It was O'Toole's constant pursuit of the left of centre votes and his disregard for those right of centre, the natural foundation of the party, which

led to the conservative loss in the last election. Many of its traditional supporters placed their vote with Maxime Bernier's People's Party. This gave the Liberals their razor thin win in many ridings and the minority government. A new Conservative Party leader must bring unity to the party. This is where real leadership comes in. The Party needs a leader who checks his/her ego at the door and understands the value of consensus in leading, and that consensus once reached, is by definition, open to refinement, re-examination, and restatement based upon new facts and data.

The political right united before with the Reform/Alliance/Conservative mergers of the late 1990s and early 2000s. The time is now right to do it again. If the party fails again to elect a leader who has genuine conservative roots and beliefs, it will not be able to stop the dangerous policies of the Left that are bankrupting Canada economically, socially, and politically. Its future is grim. All that it needed is a leader who understands and is respectful of the views of its supporters, consisting of millions of Canadians, many of whom would be relieved to be rid of the incompetent, divisive Justin Trudeau Liberal government.

Canada today has changed. No longer are Canadians willing to follow the tone deaf political elites who believe it is their right to command us. †

WHITHER THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY?

The Red Tories who run the Conservative Party, as well as countless newspaper columnists, have long insisted that the only way the Conservative Party of Canada can win an election is for the party's policies to mirror the left-wing policies of the media, especially on social issues. This has proven to be poor advice.

The Conservative Party is dependent on the donations and support of ordinary Canadians such as hockey/ soccer parents, housewives, small business owners, etc., not wealthy politically progressive elites. Additionally, the party ignored the multicultural communities, faith based institutions and individuals, and just ordinary hard-working taxpayers. They have all been marginalized, as well as the members voting in nominations and grass roots organizers, who may not necessarily share a left of centre perspective. These groups have all been ignored by the party. This may explain why donations to the party fell 68% in the past quarter (the Liberals' fell 50% and the NDP's fell 36%, possibly because of the pandemic). In previous years, Conservative Party donations far exceeded that of the other parties.

PRENATAL TESTS ARE WRONG UP TO 85% OF THE TIME

The New York Times (NYT) published a shocking and horrifying article on January 1, 2022, stating that prenatal screening tests are wrong up to 85% of the time. Tragically, because of this wrong information, thousands of babies have been aborted. Although the NYT is a strong supporter of abortion, it realizes that this is a serious problem.

These inaccurate prenatal screening tests are non-invasive blood tests measuring indicators of genetic disorders. Women receiving these test results may have the test confirmed via invasive tests, such as amniocentesis (sample of amniotic fluid removed for DNA testing).

This latter test, however, comes with the risk of miscarriage and can be expensive. One study showed that 6% of parents only rely on the early, unreliable screening results and abort their baby, who, in many cases, if not most, is perfectly normal.

CULTURE DECLINE

The willingness to abort a baby because of supposed "defects" is based on two contemporary cultural declines. The first is that abortions are readily accessible at no cost (paid by provincial medical insurance). This is cou-

pled with an indifference to the innate value and dignity of the baby's life, an indifference which has made that life seem readily disposable. The second reason is that prenatal testing, promoted as "reliable" and "accurate", is heavily marketed and has become a lucrative source of income for physicians. As a result, the procedure is now a routine part of prenatal care. This is entwined with the current, fashionable notion of eugenics, that parents are entitled to have a "perfect" child. Consequently, if the child in utero is found to be (often inaccurately) imperfect, the parents, as reasonable individuals, should abort the baby and try again.

VULNERABLE BABIES

Babies with Down syndrome are specially targeted in prenatal tests. In some countries most of these babies are aborted. In the US, it is 67%, in France 77%, in Denmark

98%, and, Iceland 100%. Canadian statistics are unreliable.

Every child brings joy as well as worry. However, they are our treasures for the future. It's a risky business raising children, but the rewards are many, not just for ourselves but for our country, that needs our children to maintain a viable future. Also, even if physically perfect, it does not follow that this "perfect" child will be intellectually, emotionally and psychologically "perfect". Every child is different and should be valued for whatever he or she is or becomes. Killing children because of an unreliable, prenatal blood test is madness, even in a decadent society such as ours.

Both Adolf Hitler and Margaret Sanger, founder of Planned Parenthood (who described "defectives" as human weeds to be eliminated), would be proud of contemporary society, and pleased that their theories have found a home here. †

TRUDEAU INSULTS AND SECRETLY TRACKS CANADIANS

One wonders what manner of madness has struck Trudeau. He seems to be even more undisciplined, erratic and irresponsible than usual.

He called those who refuse to take the COVID-19 vaccinations "bigots", "racists" and "misogynists". What is the connection among these three insulting descriptions? Only Trudeau knows.

The message, however, is clear. Any individual who doesn't comply with Trudeau's agenda is unworthy and may be dehumanized, insulted, ridiculed, and marginalized. In short, according to Trudeau, such individuals do not have human dignity and deserve no respect.

SPYING ON CANADIANS

The federal government under Trudeau undertook secret tracking of Canadians during the COVID-19 pandemic. This actually may become permanent as the government has put out a notice tender for further contracts to continue this tracking.

Canadians are not aware of the extent to which information about their physical location and what they do online is sold and re-sold by a wide range of insurance, credit companies, banks, and firms that conduct data analysis, as well as by artificial intelligence organizations. They are collecting data every single day and are not being scrutinized. There is also some question as to the extent to which the data is gathered, stored, and sold on a regular basis, without any safeguards as to privacy.

This collection of data has been dubbed "surveillance capitalism", where people's data have become a prized currency for anything from big technology firms

to marketing agencies and government.

Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC)

The Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) claims that the tracking of Canada's 33 million cellphones was necessary to understand the public's responsiveness (i.e. the population's movement) during the pandemic. This information was acquired by accessing cell tower locations of individual cell phones, and, according to PHAC, the information obtained was without personal identification and for the public good. However, there is no information available as to what protections were put in place to protect the privacy of Canadians in accessing this data.

Unfortunately, Canada's privacy laws deal only with personal, identifiable information, not the de-identified or anonymized data that the PHAC has acquired. It is obvious that the *Privacy Act* needs to be updated. It was created in the 1980s and has not received meaningful amendments since that time. It's important that transparency, oversight, and governance of personal information be implemented.

Opposition Parties Raise Objections

The three Opposition parties—Conservative, NDP, and the Bloc Quebecois—have asked that the House of Commons Ethics Committee review the program to determine who has access to this data and whether the privacy of Canadian citizens is being adequately protected under it.

Trudeau has never indicated that he is restricted in any way by standard ethical concerns. This data tracking by his government, without the knowledge of Canadians, is one more alarming trend. •

THE UNRAVELING OF THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS' NARRATIVE

Editor's Note: The mainstream media has reported non-stop, the narrative that the Christian Churches which ran the Indigenous chronically underfunded residential schools on behalf of the federal Department of Indian Affairs, had physically and sexually abused children residing in these schools and had buried them secretly in abandoned cemeteries.

This narrative received world-wide coverage and led to the 48 Christian Churches in Canada being either damaged or burned down.

The Liberal government under Justin Trudeau has provided 30 Million dollars to indigenous leaders to uncover the children's bodies allegedly buried in these cemeteries.

Nothing, however, has been done to find evidence of these children's bodies. No one has looked for them, and it may be that nothing will ever be done to determine the actual facts. The media, however, has not apologized for leaping to conclusions about these residential schools without evidence to support it.

Below is an article unraveling the residential schools narra-

tive published in Britain's The Spectator, January 21, 2022.

Also, please refer to other articles which have reached the same conclusion as The Spectator:

- 1. <u>In Kamloops, Not One Body Has Been Found</u> The Dorchester Review, Professor Jacques Rouillard, January 11, 2022
- 2. Not One Corpse Has Been Found In The 'Mass Grave' Of Indigenous Children In Canada, The Federalist, John Daniel Davidson. January 19, 2022.
- 3. More Disclosure and Investigation Urged Regarding Residential School Graves Lee Harding, The Epoch Times, February 3–9, 2022
- Did The Canadian Government Build Schools to Murder <u>Children?</u> Lloyd Hawkeye Robertson, President of the New Enlightenment Project: A Canadian Humanist Initiative. Published by Humanist Perspectives, December 15, 2021
- 5. <u>Professor of Anthropology (retired) at University of Manito-ba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada</u> Published by C2C Journal

THE INDIGENOUS MASS GRAVE THAT WASN'T

The Spectator, UK | Jane Stannus | January 21, 2022

Why didn't the Canadian government wait for proof before sending the country into a tailspin of anger and anti-Christian violence?

When a young anthropologist claimed in late May 2021 that she had discovered 215 unmarked graves near the Kamloops Residential School in British Columbia, a wave of horror swept across Canada.

Local First Nations chief Roseanne Casimir said that her community had "knowledge" that Indigenous children who had died at the school were secretly buried in the nearby orchard. In the late 1990s a child's rib was apparently found by a tourist in the area, and a tooth in a subsequent dig in the early 2000s.

The anthropologist, Sarah Beaulieu, had scanned the orchard using ground-penetrating radar. She found 215 areas showing soil disturbance that could be indicative of graves (or other excavations). Later on she revised the number down to 200 because stones, metal content and roots indicated other possible causes. However, she admitted nothing could be concluded until excavations and forensic investigation were carried out.

Yet no excavations were carried out. And none are planned, according to a devastatingly thorough debunking of the event written by professor of history Jacques Rouillard for the Dorchester Review.

A single bone and a tooth do indeed point to the possibility of a terrible crime. But they do not substantiate an alleged 200 crimes.

Nonetheless, on the strength of Beaulieu's unconfirmed theory, media and government chose to unleash a wave of violence, anti-Catholic sentiment and national shaming that lasted from the beginning of June through fall of 2021, damaging the reputations of both Canada and the Catholic Church.

Both the government and the media took for granted that the soil disturbances picked up by Beaulieu's radar were graves. They assumed the potential graves contained the bodies of children. They assumed that these children had been buried in a clandestine manner, they assumed their deaths were caused by abuse or other criminal behavior, and they concluded—without any evidence whatsoever—that the Oblates of Mary Immaculate, who had run the school since 1893, were complicit in 200 deaths and covered them up.

What followed was completely irrational. Media began describing the site as a "mass grave", evoking horrific images of heaped bodies. But Beaulieu said she had detected 200 separate "targets," indicating individual excavations!

A Manitoba politician who said the residential schools were founded with good intentions triggered a meltdown. Not only did he apologize, but two weeks later he said, with a straight face, that the residential school system was part of a genocidal plan devised by John A. MacDonald, Canada's first prime minister, to kill off Indigenous peoples. Nobody dared to object.

Sixty-five churches were vandalized, burned or dese-

crated in what many applauded outright as acts of justified protest—"Burn them all!"—while politicians shrugged off the anti-Christian violence as "understandable." China wagged its finger, calling for an investigation into Canada's human rights violations. The flag over Canada's Parliament flew at half-mast for five months. Canadians were urged not to celebrate Canada Day or wear national colors; instead they were encouraged to dress in orange, symbolizing shame at their nation's past.

Big money entered the picture when Ottawa pledged \$320 million to aid in the search for more graves and to support survivors and their communities. A new federal holiday was established, "National Day for Truth and Reconciliation," though its effect was somewhat marred when Trudeau commemorated the day by going surfing in Tofino.

Trudeau demanded that the Pope come to Canada to apologize in person for the Church's role in the (government-organized) residential school system (and the Pope eventually agreed to come, though he didn't promise to apologize). Canadian bishops groveled, and spoke about sacrilegious church burnings in the most moderate language—"sad," "saddened" and "very sad, according to Fr Raymond de Souza in the National Post. A priest who dared to say that some good things had come out of the residential schools (an enormous system that existed for nearly a hundred years, garnering in many instances the support and gratitude of Indigenous people otherwise lacking access to education) was forced to resign from his parish. His archdiocese apologized to anyone who was offended.

After the "discovery" in Kamloops, ground-penetrating radar indicated at least thirty-four similar soil disturbances near Camsell Hospital in Edmonton, where stories of undocumented burials abounded. Excavations over the course of several months found nothing and the investigation was eventually closed.

The Cowessess First Nation said they found unmarked graves near the residential school of Marieval, Saskatchewan. But the site turned out to be an ordinary community cemetery from which the stone markers had, for some reason, been removed in the 1960s.

Sensational reports likewise came out about unmarked graves near the residential school of Cranbrook, British Columbia. But what initial reports failed to mention was that the remains were in a cemetery still used today—and that the original markers could simply have rotted away, as wooden crosses were often used. A former chief from the area dismissed claims of suspicious activity, saying locals knew perfectly well that the graves were there.

The Canadian government and the media have some serious questions to face. Why didn't they wait for proof before demoralizing the nation and sending it into a tailspin of anger and anti-Christian violence? Will there be an apology for that?

And why, after all this, are there still no plans to excavate in Kamloops? After the dramatic fallout for so many ordinary Canadians and Catholics, doesn't the whole country deserve some answers?

[Original article can be found here: https://spectatorworld.com/topic/native-mass-grave-wasnt-kamloops-canada/]

PEDOPHILIA'S UGLY PRESENCE

The objective of the sexual revolution was to remove all restraints and taboos that prevent an individual from exercising complete sexual license—whatever it takes.

This concept has caused enormous collateral damage to society and also has caused much human tragedy. Its effects continue to crash around us. Most recently, it is being directed at normalizing pedophilia, i.e., adult sex with children.

It's not that this ugly issue has not been seething in the background for years, but today it has intensified. In 1996, when the then Attorney General Allan Rock amended the Human Rights Act to provide protection for sexual orientation, REAL Women warned that the expression, "sexual orientation", must be narrowly defined so that the courts would not later interpret it to include pedophilia. Pro-life Liberal MP Tom Wappel expressed a similar concern about the expression "sexual orientation", that, if left undefined, it could be interpreted by the courts to include pedophilia. These concerns based on real facts were ignored.

BACKGROUND PEDOPHILIA ACTIVITY

Homosexual activist, Gerald Hannon, wrote an article in 1977 in the now defunct Toronto homosexual paper "The Body Politic", supporting child/adult sex. He further wrote on this subject, in an article published in July 1994, in the homosexual newspaper, Xtra, in which he stated:

"I could never understand before how children's hockey differed from an organized child/sex ring. Both involved children and adults. Both involved strenuous physical activity (adult coaches taking the role of the adult lover). Both involved danger. Both involved pleasure. Yet we approve of children's hockey and deplore child-sex rings."

The demand to normalize pedophilia has been assisted by the so-called "intellectual" elites, i.e., misguided professors. For example, in February 2011, a professor from the University of Montreal, psychologist, Hubert Van Gijseghem, testified before the House of Commons Justice Committee that pedophilia is merely another sexual

orientation and should be regarded as normal and acceptable since it is an orientation which cannot be changed.

Also in 2011, a group of pedophile activists held a meeting in Baltimore, Maryland, with prominent speakers from Harvard Medical School as well as several other US Medical Schools, and the UK London School of Economics. The theme of the conference was that pedophiles are unfairly stigmatized and demonized and that the majority of pedophiles are gentle and normal.

Currently, the large circulation newspaper, "USA Today", ran an article, on January 10, 2022, that presented pedophilia as "among the most misunderstood condition in American Society", and called for its destigmatization, stating that it is not a chosen attraction and that pedophiles are simply born that way.

This is the same argument that was successfully used by homosexual activists to push the LGBTQ agenda.

The article in USA Today, did not suddenly pop up from nowhere. The foundations for the support of pedophilia have been steadily building. For example, an assistant professor of the US Old Dominion University in Norfolk, Virginia, Allyn Walker has written a book that challenges the assumptions that persons who are "minor-attracted persons" are predators.

State University of New York philosophy professor Stephen Kershnar, was a part of a widely shared video podcast in which he claimed that adult-child sex is permissible even with a one-year-old child.

Although the Criminal Code supposedly protects children from adults sexually preying on them, this protection may be overturned by the courts. Pedophiles are arguing that the words "sexual orientation" (undefined both in the Criminal Code and Human Rights Act), include their particular orientation, and, therefore, they are being denied their orientation, i.e., sex with children.

With more and more woke institutions and professions, such as those in the medical profession and universities, as well as the media supporting pedophilia, the struggle for reason and decency to protect young children from adults preying on them has now entered a new stage. •

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE



Dear supporter of REAL Women of Canada:

Welcome to the February 2022 issue of e-REALity and the January/February 2022 issue of our bimonthly hard copy edition. We have a date for our 2022 Annual General Meeting, Saturday, June 18, 2022, in Toronto, at the Liberty Suites Hotel. Please mark this date on your calendar.

We sincerely apologize to all our wonderful donors who may have donated in the last two months, but whose cheques have not yet been deposited. Our Ottawa head office which processes our donations was closed over the Christmas break. Then our bookkeeper in Ottawa was on sick leave for quite some time. Then, the Freedom Convoy descended upon downtown Ottawa where our office is located. The situation is resolving itself slowly but surely, and cheques are now being processed.

Since our January 2022 REALity, REAL Women published two ALERTS on the political situation in Ottawa and one MEDIA RELEASE on the Conservative Party Leadership: <u>ALERT - How O'Toole and the Conservative Party are Failing</u>, <u>ALERT - Freedom Convoy 2022</u>, and MEDIA RELEASE - The Next Conservative Leader.

Our sincere gratitude goes out to all our supporters. Thank you so very much.

Warm regards,
Pauline Buzik
Pauline Guzik, National President †



"True North Strong and Free," A.F. Branco, Flag and Cross, Feb. 9, 2022, https://flagandcross.com/true-north-strong-and-free/

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year Groups \$50/year Donation Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.
Name
Address
City
Province Postal Code
Tel Email Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of REAL Women of Canada PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203 www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca