



REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

CORRUPTION BY PRIME MINISTER JUSTIN TRUDEAU

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, his staff, the clerk of the Privy Council, senior ministers and their staff, have all shown themselves to be corrupt, and operating under a mantle of entitlement.

This was exposed in the report by the Ethics Commissioner, Mario Dion, who investigated Trudeau's attempts to politically pressure Attorney General Jody Wilson-Raybould, to defer the corruption charges against the Montreal engineering firm, SNC-Lavalin. On numerous occasions, the latter had bribed government officials in foreign countries to obtain construction contracts. Significantly, SNC-Lavalin is a generous financial contributor to the Liberal Party of Canada.

This is the second ethics complaint with which Trudeau has been investigated and found to have broken the federal Conflict of Interest Act. The previous offence occurred in 2016, when Trudeau took a free trip, with family and friends,

to the Aga Khan's private island in the Bahamas. Since 2012, the Aga Khan Foundation has been the recipient of \$277 million in federal government grants.

During his four years as Prime Minister, Trudeau's actions have been disturbing. He seems to be under the impression that his election as Prime Minister entitles him to ignore the legal and ethical standards that apply to all other Canadians. Further, he assumes that his power includes the right to ignore the views of the Canadian voter.

National Post columnist, John Ivison, who recently wrote a book on Trudeau, *Trudeau: The Education of a Prime Minister*, gives a possible explanation for Trudeau's arrogance. He suggests that Trudeau and his staff have a fundamental misunderstanding of Canada, in that they believe that Canadians share their left-liberalism crusade. Trudeau and his staff also dismiss anyone who disagrees with them, as uninformed, irresponsible, or motivated by unworthy motives. As a result of this belief, Prime Minister Trudeau's devotion to a left-wing progressive agenda, Ivison says, "borders on dogmatism," and imposes policies, regardless of the views of Canadians. Neither Trudeau's caucus nor his cabinet ministers have any impact on him. Policies come directly from the Prime Minister's Office (PMO), where Trudeau has centralized all principles and powers.

THE FUTURE OF CANADA UNDER ANOTHER TRUDEAU GOVERNMENT

When Trudeau was running in the 2015 federal election, he promised that there would be a balanced budget, except for the first two years in office when the deficit would be less than \$10 billion each year. The reality is that Ottawa has acknowledged a deficit in the 2018-2019 fiscal year of

CONTENTS

CORRUPTION BY PRIME MINISTER TRUDEAU	PAGE 1
RETIRED SUPREME COURT JUDGES' LEGAL OPINIONS FOR SALE	PAGE 3
THE MADNESS OF AND CRUELTY TO THE TRANSGENDERED	PAGE 4
HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVISTS HATE TRANSITION TO NORMALITY	PAGE 5
MESSAGE BOARD	PAGE 6



Editorial Cartoon from *The Chronicle Herald* on April 5, 2019 by Bruce MacKinnon .

\$14 billion. In 2018 Long-term Fiscal and Economic Projections estimated the federal government will run deficits until 2040, assuming no recession. Trudeau's undisciplined spending is the same as his father's, whose government repeatedly ran deficits. This caused a near crisis in the mid-1990s. It took nearly 20 years for Trudeau Senior's deficit to be controlled. The government of his son, Justin, has also failed to recognize that increased spending and deficits are a serious problem and a risk to Canada's future. As a result, according to the Vancouver-based economic think-tank, the Fraser Institute, the government's spending has grown to be such a burden on Canada that, in 2019, the average Canadian family pays significantly more in taxes than on basic necessities. In total, the average Canadian family spends 44.2% of its income exclusively on taxes, while their basic necessities—food, shelter, clothing, etc.—cost 36.3% of their income. In short, with Trudeau's continued running of deficit budgets, we can expect an increased tax rate, if he is re-elected.

Lavish Spending Continues

Despite the already huge drain that Trudeau has put on the taxpayers, he is obviously not prepared to cut down on his lavish spending. Since the House of Commons adjourned on June 21, 2019, Trudeau has handed over \$12.8 billion in funding to individual organizations across Canada. The purpose of this funding, it is assumed, is to encourage voters to support his party in the forthcoming election. For the same reason, more summer jobs program grants were awarded, in 2019, in ridings with Liberal MPs than in ridings represented by Conservative and NDP MPs. Last December Trudeau claimed he had "fixed" the summer jobs program to remove its discrimination against those who are opposed to abortion. Yet in 2019, twenty-six organizations were denied grants over this issue. Liberal ridings in Ontario and the Atlantic Provinces received the largest share of military spending outside of the Ottawa region.

FUNDING FOR TRUDEAU'S SPECIAL FRIENDS

Trudeau is also ramping up financial support for his special friends in the LBGTQ community and the feminist movement.

Trudeau's Homosexual Friends

In July 2019, Trudeau announced that his government would be paying compensation, between \$50–110 million, to 718 homosexual "victims" who lost their jobs in the civil service in past decades, for security reasons: as they had access to officials' secrets, they could be blackmailed. Those eligible for this funding are expected to receive between \$5,000–\$175,000 each, depending on the "gravity" of their case. This funding is in addition to the many millions Trudeau has given to LGBTQ groups since the 2015 election. As an example, in May 2019, Trudeau made a \$43 million donation to a Toronto shelter for LGBTQ youth. This was the largest single contribution to an organization serving LGBTQ youth in federal government history.

Gay Pride Parades

In May 2019, Trudeau awarded \$1 million each to the Toronto, Montreal and Vancouver Pride Parades to supposedly increase Canada's reputation as a "gay-friendly" tourist destination. The government claimed that this funding was not just to generate tourist dollars, but was to obtain "justice" for homosexual and transgendered individuals. In addition, Trudeau gave \$100,000 to help pay for other local Pride events across the country.

LGBTQ Expansion

Finance Minister Morneau's 2019 budget included \$20 million over two years to fund "LGBTQ service organizations", and an additional \$1.2 million in 2020–21 is proposed to support the ongoing establishment of the LGBTQ "Secretariat". As part of Canada's international aid, the Liberals also earmarked \$30 million over five years and \$10 million every year after that to promote the LGBTQ agenda in developing countries.

Health Risks and Public Safety

Trudeau has also stated that, if re-elected, he will be providing the LGBTQ community with further "goodies". These include decriminalizing anal sex for 16-year-old, the legal age for such activity is to be reduced from the current 18 years of age, despite medical evidence indicating psychological and physical harm attached to this type of activity for young people; amending the Criminal Code to prohibit any counselling of homosexuals to change them to normality, i.e. banning "change therapy"; banning HIV-related prosecution of those who do not disclose their HIV status to their sexual partners (despite the harm, even death, that non-disclosure may cause the innocent partner). Further, in May 2019, regulations were changed to permit homosexuals to give blood donations if their last homosexual encounter was more than 3 months previously. In 2016, there was a three year waiting period before homosexuals could donate blood. Trudeau is now contemplating blood donations from homosexuals with no waiting period, and instead stated that their eligibility to donate blood may be based on their "behaviour"—whatever that means. Public safety is apparently not a concern for Trudeau.

Feminist Friends

Trudeau hasn't forgotten his feminist friends. In June 2019, at an international feminist conference held in Vancouver, Trudeau announced a commitment of \$1.4 billion annually until 2030 towards funding feminist advocacy, including comprehensive sex education and \$700 million for international abortions, commencing in 2023. This new fund expands the Liberals' previous 2017 commitment of \$650 million over three years to promote abortions in the developing world. In addition, the Trudeau government announced a further \$300 million for the Equality Fund, specifically for women in the developing world in order to continue their "great work" of gender equality. The government also pledged to match donations for domestic programs of up

to \$10 million in other countries, with the aim to reach \$1 billion over the next 15 years, to focus on women leaders internationally to help them make changes at their local levels, such as encouraging women to run in local elections.

In addition, the government has ramped up funding to feminist organizations within Canada. For example, it has given \$2 million this year to the legal arm of the feminist movement, the Women's Legal Education and Action Fund (LEAF). This will enable LEAF to further the feminist ideology, by way of the courts, which will inevitably conclude that

the feminist demands are henceforth constitutional rights.

Status of Women (SOW) Canada has now been invited by the Trudeau government via WAGE (Women and Gender Equality), to add diversity, inclusion and growing the economy into the mandate. This comes with \$350 million in new funding over five years. SOW / WAGE's annual funding is now projected to be \$103 million, an increase of 234% in annual funding since Trudeau took office.

The specter that the re-election of Justin Trudeau creates is ominous. †

RETIRED SUPREME COURT JUDGES' LEGAL OPINIONS FOR SALE

Retired judges are different from sitting judges. They are not bound by the same principles, such as abstention from political activities and partisan advocacy. This has created a problem and concern about the integrity of the administration of justice in Canada, in that retired Supreme Court judges are now providing legal opinions for sale. This was revealed when Ethics Commissioner Mario Dion investigated Justin Trudeau's pressure on former Attorney General Jody Wilson-Raybould and found it to be unethical and a breach of trust. The report also revealed disturbing information: that three retired Supreme Court judges, Frank Iacobucci, John Major and Beverley McLachlin, were involved in this political controversy. According to Mr. Dion, Frank Iacobucci, who retired from the court in 2004, was retained as legal counsel for SNC-Lavalin, the Montreal engineering company that lobbied the Trudeau government to defer corruption charges against it. In his position as legal counsel, Iacobucci provided the corporation with a legal opinion that would allow the Attorney General to stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin's corruption charges. Iacobucci also requested that another former Supreme Court colleague, John Major, provide a legal opinion on this matter. Major did so, and his legal opinion happily coincided with that of Iacobucci. Copies of these two legal opinions were then promptly hand delivered to the chief of staff of Finance Minister Bill Morneau and the Prime Minister's office, as well as to cabinet ministers. Iacobucci next contacted retired Chief Justice Beverley McLachlin to review the SNC-Lavalin file, which she did. She was further approached by officials from the Prime Minister's office to provide a legal opinion on this case without the knowledge of the Attorney General. It is revealing that the Prime Minister's office subsequently suggested to the Attorney General "that someone like McLachlin" could help her in getting SNC-Lavalin off the hook. Ms. Wilson-Raybould declined to receive McLachlin's legal opinion.

In the words of Mr. Dion's report:

The fact that senior staff in the Prime Minister's office pressed Ms. Wilson-Raybould on the idea of seeking external

advice on the matter—all the while knowing the advice that would be given and selectively withholding other material information from Ms. Wilson-Raybould—was, in my view, a third attempt to bend the will of the Attorney General.

Although there is nothing directly illegal about these retired Supreme Court judges providing legal opinions in a complicated political situation, it nonetheless raises the perception that retired Supreme Court judges are providing legal opinions for sale. In short, it is very questionable that someone whose prestige comes from his/her experience as a Supreme Court judge should be engaged in blatant advocacy for a corporate interest. These judges were in the middle of the pressure on the Attorney General to reverse her decision on the SNC-Lavalin case and, in fact, were paid advocates, who devoted their talents and prestige to advance a corrupt corporation's interests. In short, the actions of these three retired Supreme Court judges indicate that they were lobbyists for SNC-Lavalin. Their legal opinions were being used as weapons by Justin Trudeau to put further pressure on the Attorney General. Judges are supposed to be independent and objective when deciding legal disputes, not acting as partisan legal advocates. In effect, these three retired Supreme Court judges were using their past, elevated positions for financial gain for a deep-pocketed, ethically challenged client. Less affluent litigants would not be able to afford these legal opinions, which provided SNC-Lavalin with a considerable advantage in the dispute.

To be appointed a judge is to be at the pinnacle of one's legal career. Supreme Court judges are very well-paid. A chief justice receives an annual salary of \$424, 200 and the remaining eight judges on the court receive an annual salary of \$392, 700. Upon retirement, at age 75, these judges are entitled to a pension equivalent to two-thirds of their salary. This should provide them, unlike most Canadians, with a very comfortable retirement.

Retired judges who go back to legal practice in any form are simply abusing the office by providing a "name," for financial return, for an affluent client or law firm. This is unacceptable. †

THE MADNESS OF AND CRUELTY TO THE TRANSGENDERED

The madness of transsexualism has come home to roost. The notion that an individual can change his / her sex because of being “born into the wrong sex” has been exposed for its utter foolishness. This has occurred because men, pretending to be women, are now being permitted to participate in women’s sports competitions. Such men are now gleefully winning most, if not all, of women’s sports competitions, which were created specifically for women. What did we expect? It is only common sense that biological males would be the winners since they have a huge edge over women in sports competitions. In addition to their high testosterone levels, men have much more muscle mass, longer and denser bones, larger heart capacity, with more oxygen-carrying red blood cells, stronger and thicker ligaments, and are, on average, nearly 10% taller than women. It was sheer stupidity not to foresee the consequences of men competing with women and how unfair it is to female athletes who, as a result, are being denied opportunities, such as sports scholarships.

As one female athlete, who has competed against males who identify as female, stated: “In all competitions now, the outcome of a race is known before it even starts.” The politically correct desire to accommodate the LGBTQ community by accepting males in female sports competitions reduces women athletes to being mere spectators at their own competitions.

In the US Connecticut Interscholastic Athletic Conference, which implemented a transgender policy, female athletes are losing out on qualifying for championship teams, where they would have otherwise succeeded. The repercussions are not merely losing in a competition, but are also compounded negatively for anyone who dares to oppose biological males competing against female athletes. For example, former lesbian tennis great, Martina Navratilova, called this transgender policy “insane” and “cheating” because of the tremendous physical advantages males have over women. She has recently been expelled from an LGBT advocacy group for her honesty.

MEDICAL RESEARCH ON TRANSGENDERISM

Transgendered activists proclaim that transitioning to another gender is a blissful event bringing them much joy and happiness. This is not the truth. Medical research tells a far different story.

The transgendered experience an ongoing turbulent mental condition, with symptoms of aggression towards the self and one’s own body. This results, ultimately, in a maelstrom of sadness, hatred and compulsive self-harm ending with extensive surgery and hormone injections to “become” another sex. In effect, this genuine health issue, a mental illness, has been turned into a human rights issue, removed from the realm of evidence. This is political correctness gone berserk.

CRUELTY TOWARDS THE TRANSGENDERED

It is beyond cruel to allow these troubled individuals to undertake radical medical treatments, which will likely result in physical and psychiatric consequences, including an early death. The medical consequences include an elevated risk of suicide, as reported in the 2014 report of the [American Foundation for Suicide Prevention \(AFSP\)](#) and [The Williams Institute](#), an LGBT think-tank at the University of California in Los Angeles (UCLA), which conducted a [survey](#) of suicide among gender-confused persons. This very important document has been ignored by homosexual and transgender activists, the mainstream media and the American Psychiatric Association, perhaps because its findings are staggering. It is cruel and callous towards the transgendered to ignore this study.

The report pointed out that persons who wish to identify as “transgender” have a rate of lifetime suicide attempt that is at least 9 times higher than others. The actual figures may be even higher since many US states do not record gender or sexual orientation.

A Canadian meta-study, published in 2017, “[Varied Reports of Adult Transgender Suicidality](#)”, in *Transgender Health*, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2017), has revealed that the rate of suicide attempt within the last year by the transgendered is 22 times higher than that of the general population. One can conclude from this study that suicidal behaviour is the norm and not the exception for transsexuals.

A study published in 2011 in a [Public Library of Science](#) journal, based on Swedish data, reported that 10 years after transition, mortality from suicide was found to be strikingly high among sex-reassigned persons. It reported that trans-women—men that “transformed” themselves to women—who have undergone surgery and hormone treatment have a 20-fold higher suicide rate than that of natural women.

Further, a study published in the [British Medical Journal](#), of gender-confused women taking testosterone to transition to men, conducted in the Netherlands, on 2260 gender-confused men and 1229 gender-confused women, led the authors to recommend that trans-women and trans-men, who have not undergone a mastectomy, should obtain regular mammograms from the age of 50 years if they have been using hormone treatments for more than five years.

In the Journal, [Annals of Internal Medicine](#) (August 2018), a study reported that transgendered “women” (who are, in fact, men) on hormone therapy were 80–90 % more likely to experience a stroke or heart attack than natural women. This study also found that men “transgendered into women” were twice as likely to have a blood clot condition, venous thromboembolism.

The tragedy is that the mental condition of the gender confused, if properly treated, leads to an 85–98% chance of recovery. Why are we ignoring this fact?

Why are we allowing these sad individuals, who have a

mental condition, to believe they were born in the wrong sex and encourage them to undergo frightful “treatment” leading to terrible medical consequences? Yet, some medical authorities working in this field are encouraging “transitioning”. Is this perhaps because this field is so lucrative for these physicians? One hopes this is not the case, but it is difficult to believe that an informed physician is not aware of the medical implications

of attempting to transgender a patient.

This cruelty to transsexuals must stop. They need proper care to help them overcome their tragic fixation and mental delusions. They must be provided compassionate and proper treatment. Telling them the truth about their delusions, in order to prevent their future suffering, is the humane and proper approach to their problems. †

HOMOSEXUAL ACTIVISTS HATE TRANSITION TO NORMALITY

“[T]he Liberal Party believes that the more ‘progressive’ their policies, the better it is for them, as it encourages voters on the left to vote for them rather than the NDP or Green Party.”

Homosexual activists cannot bear the transition of homosexuals to normality. This is because it undermines one of their most cherished falsehoods, namely, that sexual orientation is a personal characteristic that is unchangeable. This is an absolute lie. There are many thousands of homosexuals who have left homosexuality and many of these have entered into long-term, successful, traditional marriages and have become devoted parents of children.

It is critical to homosexual activists, however, that this falsehood continue to flourish, as most of their political gains—in public opinion, legislation and in the law - have rested on this lie. The heart of their argument is that it is inherently unjust to deny homosexuals disadvantages in marriage, sexual activity, employment discrimination, etc., because their sexual orientation is—just like race, place of birth, ethnicity - unchangeable, since it is determined at (or before) birth.

The lie that homosexuality is unchangeable played a central role in the 2015 decision by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, to strike down all state laws that define marriage as a union of one man and one woman in order to legalize same-sex marriage. Mr. Justice Anthony Kennedy, the swing vote on the court, writing for the slim 5-4 majority, asserted that the unchangeability of sexual orientation was the basis of his decision for support of same-sex marriage. He asserted the immutable homosexual nature dictates that same-sex marriage is the only real path to commitment for homosexuals.

Because of the enormous importance of this myth to the LGBT community, it goes to great lengths to ruthlessly stamp out any objections to it. These activists narcissistically ignore the harm their actions may cause others. In this case, homosexuals who want to change their orientation, and have consented to the treatment, are being abused by the demand that everyone support homosexuality as immutable. This prohibits a health practitioner from providing

sexual altering services for a consenting adult. This will inevitably run into a Charter challenge, based on the denial of the patient’s freedom of religion, expression and opinion—not to mention, choice.

Homosexual activists persist in arguing that attempting to change the orientation of a homosexual, which they call “conversion therapy”, is dangerous and harmful, since it allegedly poses 28 critical health risks to lesbian, gay and bi-sexual people. This long list of suggested risks includes depression, guilt, shame, social withdrawal, suicide, substance abuse, anger, betrayal, etc., etc. None of this is based on any factual evidence. The American Psychological Association (APA), nevertheless, supports these 28 health risks, even though none has been documented in scientific peer-reviewed literature. It is noted that the APA is currently studying the issue of making polyamory legal. Polyamory is the practice of, or desire for, emotionally intimate relationships with more than one partner, of either sex, with the consent of all partners involved. Unfortunately, the APA has long ago abandoned all respect for fact-based research and science and has replaced science with ideology. The APA is not a credible source of information.

JUSTIN TRUDEAU JUMPS INTO THE CONTROVERSY

There is nothing that Justin Trudeau will not do for the LGBT community. Any demand they make is his command to obey. Also, the Liberal Party believes that the more “progressive” their policies, the better it is for them, as it encourages voters on the left to vote for them rather than the NDP or Green Party.

Not surprisingly, in June 2019, the Liberal government sent letters to all of the provincial and territorial Ministers of Health and Justice across the country urging them to ban the “shameful” and “cruel” practice of homosexuals being counselled to change their sexual orientation. The letter states that the party is also considering amendments to the Criminal Code to better crack down on these traumatic programs. The letter reads, in part, “We are concerned about the harmful effect of the message that someone’s sexual orientation is abnormal, and that it can and should be changed.” To emphasize the importance of the letter, it was signed by Justice Minister David Lametti, Health Minister Ginette Pe-

tipas Taylor, and special advisor to the Prime Minister on LGBTQ issues, homosexual MP Randy Boissonnault.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION CAN BE CHANGED

Many scholars—including those who self-identify as homosexual or lesbian, or are openly supportive of the homosexual movement—have provided well-researched, scientific evidence that sexual orientation can change over time. One of the most significant of these studies is the 2016 one, conducted by lesbian professor, Lisa Diamond, and Clifford Rosky in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) PubMed, called “Scrutinizing Immutability.”

In this study, they cited key studies on sexual orientation and concluded, “Given the consistency of these findings, it is not scientifically accurate to describe same-sex orientation as a uniformly immutable trait.”

Diamond and Rosky were also critical of Justice Kennedy’s remarks in the *Obergefell* same-sex marriage decision, describing his statement as “casual, scientifically inaccurate, as it relates to immutability of sexual orientation” and, further, that “the Supreme Court has a view of sexual orientation that is several decades out of date.”

This truth, however, has not stopped the LGBT community from trying to keep the immutability myth of sexual orientation alive. For example, it has recently convinced Amazon to censor all books, audio books, and Kindle material relating to conversion or reparative therapy from its stock. This is a shocking example of censorship in view of the fact that, at Amazon, one can buy any book, including Adolf Hitler’s “Mein Kampf” or books glorifying pedophilia and suicide.

This move by Amazon has deeply angered ex-homosexuals, who have launched a petition to pressure Amazon to reverse its decision. (<https://www.change.org/p/amazon-com-reverse-amazon-s-decision-to-censor-alternative-views-on-homosexuality>)

These individuals claim that the books banned have been “life-saving” instruments for those who have been struggling with their homosexuality and have been in the dark space of suicide risk and are trying to return to normality.

It is clear that the LGBT activists are totalitarian and the homosexual rainbow flag is not an emblem of freedom, but is an offensive symbol of bondage, to which everyone is expected to pay homage. †

MESSAGE BOARD

- **Fall Fundraising Campaign:** Please support our newly [launched Fall 2019 Fundraising Campaign](#). Funds are urgently needed by January 1, 2020, to meet all our many expenses. See more details in this issue. We thank you so much for your generosity.
- **Federal Election:** Please refer to our [2019 Federal Election Pamphlet](#), published in August 2019 REALity, to help you make an informed decision on the candidate in your riding who best represents the traditional family values that we believe in and on which our great Canadian nation was founded. More copies are available from our Ottawa Office (613-236-4001). You may also print copies from our website.
- **Membership campaign:** Membership packages, at no charge, are available to members of REAL Women who wish to extend a personal invitation to a friend or relative to join REAL Women of Canada. Word of mouth is the best way to increase membership. Please let our Ottawa office know how many packages you would like. They contain a letter of invitation, a membership form, a return envelope addressed to REAL Women, a REAL Women introduction pamphlet and a business envelope. Add your own personal note of endorsement when sending out a package. †

**\$100,000 CAN BUY
COFFEE FOR EVERYONE
AT A BLUE JAYS GAME.**



It can also make sure REALity maintains its top-quality coverage of important topics.



Your donation will ensure that REAL Women can continue to defend and promote the family in Parliament, in the courts and in the media.

**HELP US MEET OUR GOAL OF \$100,000
BY JANUARY 1, 2020.**

Donate online or mail your donation to our Ottawa office (Find our address on the bottom right).

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK
TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership **\$30/year** | Groups **\$50/year** | Donation _____
Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____

Province _____ Postal Code _____

Tel _____ Email _____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203
www.realwomenofcanada.ca | info@realwomenofcanada.ca