



# REALity

REALISTIC | EQUAL | ACTIVE | for LIFE

## TRUDEAU’S PHONY REVISION OF THE SUMMER JOBS PROGRAM

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau has to believe that Canadians who hold religious beliefs are lacking in intelligence. How else can one explain his revision of the Summer Jobs Program?

The revision was supposed to “fix” the problem Trudeau created himself last year. He declared that summer jobs grant applications would be accepted only if the applicant signed an attestation that the core mandate of the organization seeking funding and the job created respected Charter “values”. These values included “reproductive rights [abortion] and the right to be free from discrimination on the basis of sex, religion, race, national or ethnic origin, colour, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation or gender identity or expression”. There is, of course, no “Charter right” for abortion but Trudeau has an obsession with the subject and does not let facts stand in his way.

Trudeau had mistakenly assumed that reasonable Canadians supported abortion as a woman’s natural entitlement, and that only a few eccentric individuals believed otherwise.

He was shocked, therefore, by the huge firestorm and backlash that this policy created. Even the mainstream, pro-abortion, liberal media condemned the policy as discriminatory and totalitarian.

With a federal election looming in no less than eleven months, in October, 2019, Trudeau had to do damage control in order to deflect any threat to the re-election of his Liberal Party caused by his Summer Jobs application policy.

Consequently, on December 6, 2018, Trudeau announced that the eligibility for grants would be re-tooled to provide that funding would be granted under the program if applicants did not “undermine” or “restrict” the exercise of “rights legally protected in Canada”. That is, the revisions include ambiguities and vague terms, which will inevitably be interpreted for Trudeau’s own purposes should he be re-elected in October, 2019. A few of the other ambiguities in his revised policy include that projects or activities will be ineligible for SJP funding if they “advocate intolerance, discrimination and/or prejudice”. Unfortunately, there is no guidance as to examples of activities that may “advocate” intolerance, discrimination and prejudice so there is no way of knowing how this will be interpreted. Another ambiguity in the revised policy is that funded projects must not “discriminate...on the basis of prohibited grounds such as gender

identity or expression, sexual orientation, etc.” How will this be interpreted? The program requires that funded projects may not “undermine” or “restrict” sexual and reproductive health services. How will this be interpreted? Another requirement, under the revised guidelines, is that the employer who receives funding must describe how he/she is providing an environment free of harassment and discrimination (e.g., hiring practices, policies, guidelines). What does this mean?

What has changed with this new policy? Nothing. Trudeau continues to proclaim that abortion is a “Charter” right, which it is not. Homosexual and transgender persons have legal protection under the *Canadian Human Rights Act*, but such laws do not reflect the views of millions of Canadians who do not regard the laws as moral even if they are “legal”. That is, homosexuals should and do have the rights of all other Canadians. They should not, however, have special rights or status. The human rights legislation giving special recognition to homosexuals was passed by reason of party whips, representing the majority in Parliament, who controlled individual MP’s votes. The conscience rights of individual MP’s and their constituents were ignored by these votes. Why should a federal job funding program force Canadians to agree to the narrow beliefs held by the government, especially when conscience and religious rights are supposedly protected by the Charter of Rights?

Trudeau’s so-called “revised” policy on the requirements for the Summer Jobs Program only re-arranges the wording of the policy, but leaves in place the substance of the original policy. He obviously hopes that faith-based individuals and

## CONTENTS

TRUDEAU’S PHONY REVISION OF THE SUMMER JOBS PROGRAM.....	PAGE 1
THE RIGGED SEX EDUCATION CURRICULUM CONSULTATION.....	PAGE 2
TRUDEAU’S LUNACY OVER THE CANADIAN LOONIE.....	PAGE 4
CANADIAN AND U.S. DRUG POLICIES: A CONTRAST.....	PAGE 4
MESSAGE BOARD.....	PAGE 5
THE HARM CAUSED TO HOMOSEXUALS BY THEIR CULTURE.....	PAGE 6

groups will be misled and will support his party in the 2019 election because of this supposed revision to the policy.

## THE REALITY OF TRUDEAU'S AMENDED SUMMER JOBS POLICY

The reality is that Trudeau and his lieutenant, Patricia A. Hajdu, Minister of Employment, Workforce Development and Labour, are standing guard over taxpayers' money, to ensure the expressions "weakening a woman's eligibility to access sexual and reproduction health services" and "providing a safe, inclusive environment" will conform to their own personal views. This means that:

1. Since there is no law protecting unborn children in Canada, there is no "crime" committed by killing them. Therefore, no pro-life group will be eligible for funding because their efforts will be undermining this status quo. Pregnancy Counselling Centres that help women through their pregnancy to bring the child to birth will not be eligible for job grants because they would be "interfering" with a woman's so-called right to abort the child. In this regard, pro-abortionists make the absurd claim that pregnancy centres spread "misinformation" under the guise of offering health services. On the contrary, it is pro-abortionists who use deceptive language and euphemisms, and do not provide options for women, but pressure them to have an abortion. The real reason for the objection to pregnancy centres is that the latter reduce the profits for abortion clinics.
2. Faith-based groups will be required to both hire pro-abortion,

homosexual and transgendered employees, if they receive funding under the Summer Jobs Program, and provide them with a "safe" environment. This will occur even though the lifestyles and ideologies of these employees are profoundly in opposition to the teachings of the faiths of Christians, Orthodox Jews, Muslims, Sikhs, Hindus, etc. That is, it will have the effect of preventing these faith groups from operating in accordance with their fundamental beliefs, despite the fact that they have protection to do so under the Charter and human rights legislation.

3. Organizations counselling and assisting homosexuals to change their orientation and lifestyle, using various types of therapy, will not be eligible for Summer Jobs grants.

It is important to note that, if re-elected, nothing will stop Trudeau from extending this controversial policy to require all those seeking federal funds in all government departments (not just the Summer Jobs Program) to attest and support the Liberal Party's "values". This issue is far from over. We know that Trudeau believes he is never wrong, and that any problems with his policies and programs are caused by others who are not as enlightened as he.

Taxpayers should regard Trudeau's revisions of the Summer Jobs Program with skepticism and mistrust.

We are living in difficult times. It is up to us to protect our individual faith from Trudeau's treacherous attempt to seize and control us in order to force his own personal ideology on this country. Trudeau may have control of our tax money, but he does not control our minds or our religious beliefs. †

## THE RIGGED SEX EDUCATION CURRICULUM CONSULTATION

During the Ontario 2018 provincial election campaign, Progressive Conservative leader Doug Ford promised "a public consultation" on a new sex education curriculum for the province.

This was necessary because of the intense controversy that arose about the sex education curriculum developed under former Liberal Premier Kathleen Wynne and her associate, Benjamin Levin, who was subsequently found guilty and imprisoned for child pornography. The Wynne curriculum included a variety of information on risky sexual practices and behaviours, including age inappropriate information on masturbation, anal and oral sex, gender identity, etc., and the promotion of sex as a purely recreational activity with no reference to it as being part of marriage.

It is apparent that this consultation has been sabotaged by the left wing progressives supporting the Wynne curriculum. It is possible this was done by bureaucrats sympathetic to Wynne and her curriculum, or it may have been done under the direction of the Minister of Education, Lisa Thompson, herself. It is always possible, too, that the consultation process may have been simply incompetently carried out. This is unlikely, however, because of the set patterns used in the consultations.

What we do know about the consultations is as follows:

1. The Ontario consultations lasted 77 days, from September 30, 2018 to December 15, 2018. There were 72,000 responses made during the full consultations. Approximately 1,600 submissions were made on the very first day of the consultations. An overwhelming number of the first day submissions supported the Wynne curriculum. This occurred because the start date of the consultations had not been announced to the general public until the full week after its commencement. The supporters of the Wynne curriculum, however, including teachers unions and the LGBTQ community, had been notified in advance. They then rushed to enter their submissions on that first day of the consultations.

This lopsided result of the supposed "consultation" on the first day was then highlighted by the media, which reported this information as an accurate reflection of the entire consultation process.

2. Town Hall consultations were supposed to be included in the consultation process. Someone in the Conservative

government decided that these town hall consultations should be by telephone only. These were monitored by call screeners who, in some cases (although not all), prevented many from making their views known before the session ended. Biased screeners filtered out opinions by those opposing the Wynne/Levin intransigence by keeping them “on hold”, thus permitting those supporting the Wynne curriculum to speak, in some instances, this happened several times. Further, the time set aside for telephone consultation was often cut short, which ensured that those patiently waiting to speak lost the opportunity to do so.

3. The Online Survey was also plagued by serious glitches. The translation of the survey was provided in Chinese, Urdu, Punjabi and Korean but not in Arabic. This excluded Muslim families, who were at the forefront of the opposition to Wynne’s curriculum, and for whom English is a second language, making it difficult for many to participate. Further, the format enabled the participants to choose anonymity as to residence, which opened the door to submissions from outside Ontario, Canada, and even North America. LGBTQI activists are known to rally their international networks to blitz processes which do not adhere to their narrow ideology. This glitch was facilitated by the Ontario Survey which provided the option of not having to divulge a postal code when making a submission.

### **MEDDLING BY THE MEDIA BY WAY OF FALSE NEWS**

The CBC ran a catchy headline announcing the results of the first day consultations, stating that the Wynne curriculum had “huge support among Ontario parents and students, consultations show”. The headline for the Canadian Press stated that “an overwhelming majority” opposed Premier Ford’s repeal of the modernized curriculum!

The Toronto Star published on December 20, 2018, what was, in reality, a rather hilarious editorial stating that the Ford government should cease pandering to social conservatives, specifically referring to the “right wing” REAL Women of Canada, among others, on the sex education curriculum, and urged it to support the views of the special interest groups, such as the teachers’ unions and the LGBT community. This was suggested, even though social conservatives constitute at least 40% of the province’s voters. That is, the editorial urged the Premier to ignore the remaining 76 days of submissions and to go ahead to reinstate the Wynne curriculum, in order to provide students with “safety”. It is hard to take the Toronto Star seriously with such a disjointed, incoherent analysis of the consultations.

### **THE OBJECTIVE OF THE MEDIA’S DISTORTED REPORTS**

The objective behind the mainstream media’s reports on the consultation, was to build on the false notion that support for the Wynne curriculum is overwhelming, and that social conservatives should be discouraged and cease to resist. However, in fact, social conservatives hold the upper hand in this contest of wills over the curriculum, as we have the votes, which the opposition does not. We also retain an unrelenting opposition to the Wynne curriculum. This will not change.

### **GOVERNMENT AWARE OF MEDDLING IN CONSULTATION**

It seems that Premier Ford is aware that there has been meddling in the consultation process. He stated in the Toronto Star (January 1, 2019) that “certain groups had overtaken the consultations...”.

The Minister of Education, Lisa Thompson, has indicated by her comments on the curriculum, that she prefers the Wynne curriculum. She has now stated that the government will be reviewing all 72,000 submissions and plans to release an update on the results within the next few months. She is undoubtedly confident that the results will support her position.

We do not know the result of the review of the remaining 72,000 consultations, but we do know that there was manipulation by the so-called “progressive” supporters of the Wynne curriculum.

Mr. Ford must be advised that social conservatives will not accept the Wynne curriculum - ever. If he tries to include it, this will absolutely wipe out his base of support in Ontario. The battle against a “progressive” sex education curriculum will be on again. Mr. Ford will be under constant pressure on this issue during the remaining years of his term, as was experienced by Liberal leader Wynne. We promise it will haunt his days in office.

Social conservatives will not permit their children to be used as tools to promote a “progressive” sex education curriculum. The die has been cast.

Please contact Mr. Ford objecting to the manipulation of the sex education curriculum consultation:

Premier Doug Ford  
Room 281  
Legislative Building, Queen’s Park  
Toronto, ON M7A 1A1  
Telephone: (416) 325-1941  
Fax: (416) 325-3745  
Email: [doug.ford@pc.ola.org](mailto:doug.ford@pc.ola.org) †

## **CROWDFUNDING CAMPAIGN**

**FOR  
REAL Women of Canada**

Our Fundraising has gone global!

Find our recently launched Crowdfunding  
Page on the WonderWe platform.

<https://www.wonderwe.com/real18>

Share on your social media.



# TRUDEAU'S LUNACY OVER THE CANADIAN LOONIE

There is no end to the lunacy of Justin Trudeau. He is determined to push his own personal "progressive" agenda on Canadians regardless of whether they want it or not.

His most recent lunacy is his decision on December 14, 2018, to order the Canadian Mint to release in 2019, a new \$1 coin (loonie) designed to commemorate the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of his father, Pierre Trudeau's, decriminalization of homosexuality on May 14, 1969. Trudeau Senior had amended the Criminal Code at that time to decriminalize homosexuality for those over 21 years of age (later reduced to 18 years of age). The same legislation also decriminalized abortion under certain conditions. During that same year, Trudeau had amended the *Divorce Act* to permit easier divorce.

Although the issues of homosexuality, abortion and divorce may have been made legal by Trudeau Senior, it did not make them moral or socially acceptable to many Christians, Muslims, Sikhs, Hindus, Orthodox Jews or Atheists.

It is intolerable, therefore, that Trudeau is using Canadian currency for the promotion of homosexuality, which many Canadians, whether religious or non-religious, regard as unnatural, unhealthy and abnormal. This coinage of the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of homosexuality is an insult to the independence, intelligence and integrity of Canadians.

It is significant that at the time Trudeau Senior amended the Criminal Code to decriminalize homosexuality, his Minister of Justice, John Turner, stated "I cannot emphasize too strongly, Parliament is not, by enacting these amendments, condoning this type of conduct".

Yet Justin Trudeau, by ordering the Mint to produce the special homosexual coin, is not only requiring Canadians to condone homosexuality, but also to celebrate it by way of the distribution of these coins. We are obliged to use them, thereby promoting the homosexual agenda against our own beliefs.

This decision by Trudeau is yet another example of his failure to understand and respect Canadians and their diversity of opinion. Instead, he is pushing down our throats his own state propaganda on homosexuality.

On November 28, 2017, Trudeau gave a public apology to homosexuals, which he proclaimed was on behalf of

all Canadians. Canadians then had to witness a tearful performance by him, a former drama teacher, in the House of Commons, apologizing to homosexuals for the alleged errors of the past. During this apology, Justin Trudeau failed to disclose that all the dismissals of homosexuals occurred under former Liberal governments, and that his own father had dismissed homosexuals from government employment, based on genuine concerns about national security. This was done because it was believed that enemy agents could blackmail homosexuals because homosexual activity was illegal under the Criminal Code at that time. In 1972, Trudeau's father, Pierre, refused to discontinue the policy of dismissing homosexuals from the public service and the military. His decision was not due to "homophobia", since, in 1969, he had decriminalized homosexuality, but was due to genuine concerns about national security.

Trudeau Junior further awarded in November, 2018, \$145 million of the taxpayers' dollars to promote homosexuality. This sum included \$110 million to homosexuals as their compensation for being dismissed from government service. These individuals, however, were able to obtain employment elsewhere, and were not deprived of any of their rights as Canadian citizens.

According to the CBC, the Mint is not releasing any images of the new coin, nor revealing the name of the artist who rendered it, claiming it wants to "maximize the impact" when people first see it sometime next year.

Justin Trudeau and his government must be held to account for forcing this propaganda piece on Canadians.

Please write to:

The Right Honourable Justin Trudeau  
House of Commons  
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6  
Tel: (613) 992-4211  
Fax: (613) 941-6900

and to your MP (whichever political party). They, too, must be made aware of how offensive this initiative is to the voters of the country. †

## CANADIAN AND U.S. DRUG POLICIES: A CONTRAST

Canada and the U.S. have very different policies in regard to the drug problems plaguing both countries.

### CANADIAN DRUG POLICY

The Trudeau government has adopted the approach to drug policies called "Harm Reduction". This policy is based on the notion that drug addicts are going to continue to use drugs anyway, so it is better to provide them with a so-called

"safe" environment, such as drug injection sites. This is naïve because only 5% of drug addicts actually use the sites, and even if they do use them for an injection, they still wander around the streets, parks, alleys and vacant buildings to take further injections during the course of their day, leaving their needles scattered around. In short, their addiction is not treated, and the drug addict continues with his addiction, ultimately resulting in his/her death.

Stopping illicit drug abuse is not Trudeau's objective. Rather, his policy is to limit the damage, such as death by overdose, medical side effects, and criminal violations caused by the addicts' need for money to pay for their illicit drugs, purchased from drug dealers.

The Trudeau government has also implemented a policy of providing heroin addicts with free prescription heroin. Doctors may now apply for access to heroin from the government, to provide it for severe heroin addicts, overturning a ban imposed by the previous Conservative government. The purpose of this is to prevent addicts from purchasing the drug from traffickers, who frequently contaminate the drugs with other dangerous drugs, such as fentanyl. In short, this drug policy is not dealing with the addiction, but is based on the concept that "people need access to safe drugs". According to Dr. Mark Tyndall, who proposed this heroin program to Health Canada, this free heroin program will be applied to heroin addicts "who have not responded to other treatment". Have these "experts" not considered the option of mandatory treatment for such addicts? This policy is pursued in Sweden, which has the lowest drug use in all of Europe. Instead, the Canadian government believes that free prescription heroin, administered in a supervised drug setting, is safe and effective. Such a government policy disregards the fact that it serves to deepen the addiction, not treat it, and leads to societal chaos and the probable death of the addict.

### LEGALIZING MARIJUANA

Trudeau legalized marijuana for recreational use, effective October 17, 2018. Canada is the only country, other than Uruguay, that has done so. In carrying out this policy, Canada violated three UN Drug conventions that it had previously ratified. These treaties prohibit the use and distribution of illicit drugs, including marijuana.

Despite this, on September 24, 2018, Trudeau signed on to U.S. President Donald Trump's Declaration against illicit drug use. The 130 countries that signed on to Mr. Trump's "Global Call to Action on the World Drug Problem" confirmed the primacy of the international UN "Narcotics Control" efforts, with an emphasis on criminalization and the role of law enforcement. The call to action did not mention harm reduction policies applied so diligently by the Trudeau government.

Trudeau has also, with great fanfare, provided pardons for those previously guilty of possession of marijuana. Pushers, traffickers, and growers are now being licensed by the Liberal government, which has essentially taken over the criminal drug enterprise and hopes to get in on the profits. Access to illicit cannabis has been opened up all across our country, making it easier than ever for people to access it, try it, and become addicts.

### THE U.S. DRUG POLICY

On October 24, 2018, U.S. President Donald Trump signed legislation to combat opioid abuse and the epidemic

of drug abuse in the U.S. by providing \$6 billion to fund SUPPORT for *Patients and Communities Act* (SUPPORT is an acronym for Substance Use-Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment), which provides opioid related measures to expand access to substance abuse treatment and improve oversight of opioid prescriptions handed out all too indiscriminately by physicians. The legislation provides:

- The requirement that the U.S. postal service share advanced electronic data with law enforcement on all international packages entering the U.S. in order to disallow the deadly drug, fentanyl, from entering the country from China and Mexico;
- That Medicare pay for medication-assisted treatment for U.S. addicts; and
- That the U.S. Food and Drug Administration expedite testing and approval for non-addictive pain treatment to increase patients' options.

Which of the two national leaders is carrying out policies that will actually curtail illicit drug use? It is certainly not Canada's Justin Trudeau. †

## MESSAGE BOARD

**Annual General Meeting:** The date of our Annual General Meeting is Saturday, June 8, 2019, in the Toronto area. The venue and speaker are still to be determined. Save the date. Lunch will be provided. The AGM is open to REAL Women members in good standing and to invited guests.

**Crowd-funding:** REAL Women of Canada has an on-going Crowdfunding Campaign on the Wonder WE platform, [www.WonderWe.com/REAL18](http://www.WonderWe.com/REAL18). Our goal is \$100,000 and we still have a long way to go to get there. These funds are vital to allow us to continue to publish our monthly REALity and to continue our important work of advocating for your pro-life, pro-family values, whether in the courts, in the media, or in the government. Please share the word often on Social Media, like Twitter, Facebook, Snapchat, YouTube, etc, especially with your contacts outside of Canada. Your generosity is very much appreciated.

**Membership Renewal:** Your annual membership fee is due the first of January. We do not send out individual reminders, in order to reduce our costs. Memberships can be renewed on-line (<http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca/about-us/become-a-member/>) or by mailing \$30 to our Ottawa National office. Group memberships are \$50. Please let us know if you prefer to receive REALity by e-mail (monthly) or by Canada Post (bi-monthly). Your up-to-date contact information is also greatly appreciated. †

# THE HARM CAUSED TO HOMOSEXUALS BY THEIR CULTURE

All across Canada, the so-called “gay villages” are emptying out. Many appear half vacant, even shabby and far from safe.

The explanations provided for this change are that gentrification is common in urban areas, and that the normalization of online dating and shopping has negated the need for specific homosexual communities.

These are not the only reasons. There is another factor that is behind the abandonment of homosexual communities in urban areas. It is not politically correct to mention the other reason, which is that far too many homosexuals have a methamphetamine (meth) addiction, which not only detrimentally affects their health, but also wipes out their homes, jobs and partners. The reason for this addiction is that meth has the ability to produce not only euphoria and confidence, but also sexual desire and enhanced sexual performance. Consequently, its use has become an integral part of the gay sex scene. In short, some homosexuals are placing their lives at risk in pursuit of sexual pleasures derived from meth use. Fortunately, not all homosexuals are so foolhardy. Nonetheless, according to the Ontario HIV Treatment Network, there is a disproportionate number of men in homosexual circles who use meth. The subculture’s use of meth ranges from 6% to 16%, compared to only 0.6% in the general population. Anecdotal evidence and lived experience support these numbers. The use of meth also has another downside in that users can experience impotence and other performance issues. This does not stop the homosexual culture, however, from viewing meth as an acceptable party drug.

This recklessness in the gay culture is hauntingly familiar: recall the HIV epidemic in the 1980’s and 1990’s. In 2016, new infections of HIV increased in Canada by 12% - with one new infection occurring every four hours. Approximately 14,000 individuals in Canada, diagnosed with HIV, are not benefitting from effective treatment, which can maintain an undetectable level of the virus in the blood and which does not sexually transmit HIV. Also, some active homosexuals, sadly, do not try to protect themselves by using condoms. Why is treatment not being sought or why is there a failure to use condoms? The failure to lead a healthy lifestyle by many in the homosexual culture is blamed by them on a Canadian society that fails to accept them. Yet, Canada is one of the safest countries in the world for homosexuals.

The real problem is that many in the homosexual culture deliberately decide not to protect themselves by taking care of their health, the opposite of what mature individuals do. Some homosexuals prefer to live dangerously, in pursuit of their personal sexual pleasure.

Something has to be done about the tragic scourge of meth in the homosexual culture. However, it is up to the homosexuals, themselves, to change this. They must help themselves, and not make excuses and blame others for this tragedy. The abuse of drugs in their culture has to stop. For example, why are their health risks not being publicly exposed by the healthcare community, as the risks of smokers are? Should homosexuals not be encouraged to curtail their high risk behaviour? †



**SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA**  
 PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK  
 TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year | Groups \$50/year | Donation \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address \_\_\_\_\_  
 City \_\_\_\_\_  
 Province \_\_\_\_\_ Postal Code \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tel \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

Send online at [www.realwomenofcanada.ca](http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca) or by mail. Thank you.

**REALity** is a publication of **REAL Women of Canada**  
 PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 | Tel 613-236-4001 | Fax 613-236-7203  
[www.realwomenofcanada.ca](http://www.realwomenofcanada.ca) | [info@realwomenofcanada.ca](mailto:info@realwomenofcanada.ca)