REAL Women of Canada + www.realwomenofcanada.ca

Volume XXXIV Issue No. 2 February 2015

CANADA'S GREAT ACHIEVEMENT: SAVING LIVES

The opposition parties in Canada continually complain about Prime Minister Harper no matter what he does. There is one exception to this, however, which is a blinding beam of light that PM Harper has created, and which the opposition cannot deny.

PM Harper has changed the world on maternal and health care. His initiative began in June 2010 at the G8 meeting held in Muskoka, Ontario when he first drew the world's attention to the importance of maternal, newborn and child health. Too many mothers and children were dying from preventable causes, and Mr. Harper aimed to stop this. This issue soon became Canada's top international development priority.

At the Muskoka Summit, Mr. Harper pledged \$285 million for maternal and child health care. When the money ran out in 2014, Mr. Harper pledged a further \$3.5 million for the period 2015-2020. This was announced at the Summit on Maternal Health that he had organized in Toronto in May 2014. The latter was attended by many of the world's movers and shakers, who all agreed to sign on to this magnificent project.

And what results! The number of women now dying each year during pregnancy or childbirth has dropped substantially, as have the deaths of children from disease and malnutrition. Immunization, training for health workers, and food supplements have worked miracles in the developing countries.

On November 15th 2014, Canada launched a request for proposals from Canadian non-government organizations (NGO's) working in the maternal and child care field. The successful organizations' costs for their initiatives would be covered in the amount of \$370 million. That is, Canadian expertise and innovation to improve maternal and child health is to be leveraged in pursuing their objective. This money is in addition to funds allocated to provide lifesaving vaccines and nutrition for children. The Canadian initiatives must be completed by March 31, 2020.

CANADA'S INITIATIVE HAS PREVENTED ABORTIONS

When questioned why abortion services were not included in his initiative at the Summit on Maternal, Newborn and Child Health last May, Mr. Harper stated that abortion was too divisive an issue, and that many recipient countries of

such funding do not accept abortion.

One happy result of the decision to exclude abortion from the maternal health care initiative, however, has saved the lives of mothers and has actually led to the <u>plummeting</u> of illegal abortions. It is no coincidence that Chile, for example, which bans abortion, has the second lowest mortality rate in the Americas, including the US, which has experienced abortion on demand since 1973.

Malta and the Republic of Ireland (until recently) also prohibited abortion, and have much lower maternal mortality rates than the US. In Africa, where 56% of all maternal mortalities occur, the maternal mortality rate is half of what it is in developed countries, as most African countries have severe restrictions on abortion.

So what's the deal? Why is this happening? The answer is that money, in countries restricting abortions, is spent on educating women about health care. This has resulted in the greater ability of women to access health care, which prioritizes skilled attendants for pregnancy and delivery. This has also led to a decline in women seeking abortions. Money not used for abortion services has also been freed up to support programs directed at vulnerable women during their pregnancies. This has prevented illegal abortions and has increased the number of live births.

Canada's initiative on maternal and child health care has turned out to be a God-send on several levels – thanks to Mr. Harper. \$

CONTENTS

CANADA'S GREAT ACHIEVEMENT: SAVING LIVES	.PAGE 1
AN MP'S DECEPTION RE: TRANSGENDER BILL C- 279	.PAGE 2
THE DISGRACEFUL BIAS OF CANADA'S MEDIA	.PAGE 3
FOUNDER OF VENOMOUS HOMOSEXUAL GROU CHARGED WITH SEXUAL OFFENCE	
WITH A MINOR	.PAGE 4
THE FRAUD OF GAY-STRAIGHT ALLIANCE CLUBS	PAGE 5
WE ARE ALL LOSERS BECAUSE OF THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION	. PAGE 6

AN MP'S DECEPTION RE: TRANSGENDER BILL C-279

Following the successful insertion of sexual orientation and same-sex marriage into Canadian legislation, homosexual activists are now seeking legal protection for the transgendered. They are doing so by promoting the unscientific notion that gender is not determined at birth, as indicated by the DNA, genetics, genitalia and the reproductive system etc., but rather, that gender is fluid so that the normal biological model of male and female is not settled at birth, but changeable. They are pushing this strange idea by way of Bill C-279 (the Transgender Bill).

Because this notion is without any scientific basis, only ideology, the homosexual NDP MP Randall Garrison (Esquimalt—Juan de Fuca, B.C.), who introduced this bill, has resorted to evasion, misleading statements, and out and out lies, to deceive the MPs and Senators. The bill, which passed the House of Commons in June 2012, seems stalled in the Senate.

NDP MP Randall Garrison

In an attempt to provide credibility for his bill, Mr. Garrison has claimed that the definition of "gender identity" in his bill has been accepted and upheld by international law as set out in the so-called "Yogyakarta Principles".

The Yogyakarta Principles (YP), however, have never been accepted by the United Nations and have repeatedly been rejected by the UN General Assembly, the UN Human Rights Council and other UN bodies.

Mr. Garrison also told the Senate Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs on October 2, 2014, that the vast majority of people present in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, where these so-called principles were drafted, "were judges" which is completely untrue. That meeting was chaired and advised by gay activists and the document was endorsed by 29 signatories, who were homosexual, lesbian, transgender, AIDS and feminist activists, as a reaction to the United Nation's rejection of sexual orientation and gender identity in international treaties. Other signatories of the YP were United Nations human rights bureaucrats and UN rapporteurs.

Yet Mr. Garrison stated, before the Senate Committee that was studying his transgender bill, that the Yogyakarta meeting was "convened under the auspices of the United Nations I believe". A shocking untruth.

WHAT EXACTLY ARE THESE CONTROVERSIAL YOGYAKARTA PRINCIPLES?

A group of activists on homosexual issues met in Yogyakarta, Indonesia from 6th to the 9th of November 2006 in order to make sexual orientation and gender identity an international human right. Their recommendations became known as the "Yogyakarta Principles", which were intended to influence and change the international judicial and legal standards on homosexuality. They are to be "revised" at

regular intervals since the Yogyakarta Principles are "open and evolving" according to the self-described "expert" activists who drafted the document.

The principal author of these "Yogyakarta Principles" was homosexual activist, Michael O'Flaherty, from Ireland, with support from John Fisher from Canada, who was a chief advocate for same-sex marriage in Canada when the legislation was going through Parliament. He was also head of the Canadian homosexual organization EGALE. One can have little confidence in the integrity of these two activists pushing their own agenda.

The reason that the Yogyakarta Principles have not been accepted is due to the fact they are extremely controversial, giving special rights and privileges to individuals on the basis of their sexual orientation and gender identity. These proposed rights under the Yogyakarta Principles include:

- · same-sex marriage,
- · adoption of children by same-sex couples,
- repealing all criminal and legal provisions that prohibit all sexual activity among same-sex individuals,
- requiring special funding for homosexual groups and individuals and providing special programs for them,
- requiring governments to establish a right to change one's gender at society's cost,
- seeking to prohibit medical or psychological therapy for sexual disorders,
- requiring information opposed to homosexuality be prohibited, but providing for the exercise of freedom of opinion and expression by homosexuals/lesbians,
- requiring that law enforcement authorities provide training and awareness programs on behalf of homosexuality, and
- requiring that all religious organizations conform to the Yogyakarta Principles.
- violations of the Yogyakarta Principles to be addressed by way of "restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction...and/or any other means as appropriate", and financial aid must be provided to those who are unable to afford the cost of securing such redress.

By taking the definition of "gender identity" in his proposed bill from the Yogyakarta Principles, Mr. Garrison is slyly playing a double game. On the one hand, he is trying to give credibility to the extremist document. That is, if Canada accepted the definition of "gender identity" taken from the Yogyakarta Principles, then the LGBT (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgendered) Community will heartily trumpet that Canada has accepted the Yogyakarta Principles! Hardly! Truth is one of the first casualties in the revolution promoted by the homosexual movement.

Secondly, Mr. Garrison hopes to achieve special protection

in law for the transgendered, who are deeply troubled. The transgendered require compassion and counselling to enable them to dispel their delusions about their gender, not medical intervention by way of surgery and hormone treatment. Tragically, studies indicate that these troubled souls, once medical intervention is achieved, have a high suicide rate

since they usually experience little satisfaction and happiness by their attempt to change their birth gender.

NDP MP Garrison's promoting the homosexual agenda by his Bill C-279 is ideological, not factual. To pass such a bill is to fail not only the transgendered, themselves, but also the whole of society. Laws cannot be passed based on deceit. ‡

THE DISGRACEFUL BIAS OF CANADA'S MEDIA



The mainstream media's bias is so apparent that even those on the left openly acknowledge it as an indisputable fact.

Social conservatives in Canada, including REAL Women of Canada, have long complained about the disgraceful bias of the mainstream media. This bias is so apparent that even those on the left openly acknowledge it as an indisputable fact. For example, Robin Sears, the former national director and campaign manager for the NDP, in an article published in the Toronto Star on December 29th, 2014 stated that the Canadian media has a "pro-Liberal tilt" and that, "Many working journalists do have a pro-Liberal tilt, but they detest also Harper. They will promote whoever lands the most damaging blows, [against him]". The voluminous and favourable media coverage of the inexperienced Liberal leader, Justin Trudeau, is proof of his statement.

CBC BIAS

One of the worst examples of bias in the mainstream media is the CBC. REAL Women has appeared numerous times before Parliamentary Committees and the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) presenting our concerns about the bias of the CBC, which never seems to lose an opportunity to either discredit or misrepresent a conservative spokesperson. Our efforts over the years have been consistently ignored. The CBC is not concerned about the public's disdain. Instead, it continues on, assured that its license will always be reissued with abundant tax dollars to support it (currently \$1.3 billion annually).

A recent example of the disdain the CBC holds for the public is its endorsement of a fund raising event held in Vancouver on January 31, 2015, by the radical feminist organization Women's Legal and Education and Action Fund (LEAF). Who else, but the CBC, supports these passé radical feminists?

An opinion piece about the CBC was published in the Financial Post on December 11, 2014, which precisely sets out what's wrong with CBC. The article states that the CBC churns out "a constant stream of intellectual bigotry that alienates its listeners". The article also stated that "the CBC is not about Canadian programming, but programming

Canadians to its enlightened view of how the world should work". The article goes on to say there is a common thread among the in-house CBC stars: they share the "Toronto elite's disdain for everything outside its avant-garde view of Canada". Well said. Below is this commentary on the CBC, written by Philip Cross, former Chief Economic Analyst at Statistics Canada. He is currently a senior fellow at the Macdonald-Laurier Institute and the C. D. Howe Institute.

His commentary is as follows:

CBC: not the public's broadcaster

With the CBC's TV ratings down 40% to a specialty channel-like 5% share of viewers even before it lost its NHL contract, according to Canadian Media Research, it's worth asking again what has gone wrong with the Mother Corp and what should be done about it? The answer to the first question is that it no longer represents ordinary Canadians to themselves in a way they like or even recognize. So when its funding comes under scrutiny, it is not surprising that most Canadians collectively yawn while watching any of the myriad of other channels available to them on various media platforms.

Someone recently observed that the CBC is not about Canadian programming but programming Canadians to its enlightened view of how the world should work. Look at the litany of in-house CBC stars and ask if any are representative of ordinary Canadians and their values? Carol Off lets As It Happens serve as a platform for endless cries for social justice here and around the world. Recent events revealed the sulphurous Jian Ghomeshi as a sexual wolf dressed in progressive clothing (his photo still graces Q's Podcast, a thoughtless oversight by the CBC bureaucracy). Peter Mansbridge championed Naomi Klein's latest rants about the evils of capitalism as the book of the year; try and imagine the host of CTV News taking such a position. Michael Enright on Sunday Morning took a break from advocating tax increases to question why no bankers had been jailed after the financial crisis. The answer is easy — here they did nothing but manage their affairs in a quintessentially prudent Canadian manner. Canada's inherent conservatism constantly mystifies the CBC's elite. (If Enright meant U.S. bankers, he should have said so and then noted how Canadian bankers behaved differently; that would be real Canadian content.)

The common thread tying together all these people

is a Toronto elite's disdain for everything outside its avant-garde view of Canada. As noted by the Hoover Institute's Thomas Sowell in The Vision of the Anointed, elites are contemptuous of ordinary people, especially businesspeople with their single-minded focus on delivering the best possible product to their market. The contempt for ordinary people extends to ordinary sex, as demonstrated by Ghomeshi's self-confessed fondness for rough sex or DNTO's Sook-Yin Lee's engaging in explicit sex for the film Bus Stop. Such trailblazing practices help separate the elite from the prudish and unwashed masses, just as Bloomsbury group members such as the famous economist Maynard Keynes used a bewildering array of casual liaisons to emphasize their specialness (since the various couplings and love triangles were not gender-specific, one presumes the CBC elites would approve).

The result is a chorus of CBC reporters and producers affirming their assumed superiority by churning out a constant stream of intellectual bigotry that alienates its listeners. The latter are migrating in droves to the proliferation of media available on the Internet, beyond the captive audience that used to be delivered to the CBC by CRTC regulations.

Ultimately, the bias in CBC programming has only hurt itself. It has not slowed the growing influence of conservative ideas, as shown by the Harper government's 10 years in power, the re-election of Christy Clark over the NDP in BC, the continuing conservative hegemony in Alberta and Saskatchewan, and the dominance in Quebec

of parties that want to address its fundamental economic problems instead of separatism. The only major win for liberals recently was in Ontario, and that was a case of a selfdestructive Conservative campaign overcoming the strong sense of a majority of Ontarians that the province is on the wrong track. At some point, even the most tone-deaf CBC managers have to notice that the message of conservative politicians is resonating with the Canadian public in ways that the CBC should be studying instead of dismissing.

The response to the CBC's problems is clear enough, given its inability to acknowledge let alone correct its ideological bias. End its \$1-billion of government subsidies. If the loyal band of Friends of Canadian Broadcasting wants to contribute enough money to keep it going, fine. People are free to support causes they deeply believe in. They should not be free to compel other people to spend their money supporting causes or views they don't support or identify with. The CBC does not present an accurate face of Canada to Canadians. The two don't recognize each other anymore.

There are lessons from the CBC's downfall for other national institutions that rely on government funding. Serve all Canadians equally, instead of allowing yourself to be captured by a strongly-motivated ideological minority. In the short-run, it feels rewarding to satisfy what you come to think of as your core base of supporters. But in the longrun, alienating the broader public-your real base when it comes to the political support that drives funding—creates irrelevance and risks obsolescence. •

FOUNDER OF VENOMOUS HOMOSEXUAL GROUP CHARGED WITH SEXUAL OFFENCE WITH A MINOR

A co-founder and board member of the venomous US homosexual Human Rights Campaign (HRC), 66 year old real estate mogul, Terrence Bean, of Portland Oregon was charged in November, 2014 with sodomy and sexual abuse of a 15 year old boy. Mr. Bean's former partner, Kiah Lawson, was also charged. They allegedly had sex with the 15 year old in a hotel room rented by Bean on September 13, 2013.

The pair had met the youth through a homosexual web site and enticed him to the hotel room. Mr. Bean and Mr. Lawson subsequently ended their relationship when Mr. Lawson discovered Mr. Bean had been secretly recording their homosexual acts and homosexual relations between Mr. Bean and other young men, with a hidden video camera in his bedroom.

One will not learn of this sordid story from the mainstream media. The latter have maintained a stony silence about it. Why? Because Terry Bean is one of the most influential gay activists in the US, and a close associate of President Barack Obama.

Mr. Bean gave \$500,000 to Obama's campaign in 2012 and has flown on Air Force One with the President. He is also a Democratic Party "kingmaker". This is why the mainstream media, including three national networks, the Associated Press, and the New York Times, have all chosen to ignore the story. This is because the story could have potential harmful and cultural implications for the homosexual movement.

Why do we call Bean's organization, the Human Rights Campaign (HRC), a venomous organization? Let's count the ways:

- · Carried out an attack on nine US Catholic bishops who upheld traditional Catholic teaching on the family and homosexuality at the Synod on the Family held in Rome in October 2014.
- · Commenced a campaign of hate targeting and harassing pro-family leaders and their families. The campaign includes crudely drawn "wanted posters" of pro-life leaders accompanied by absurd lies about them. It posted information encouraging homosexual activists to contact and harass pro-family leaders and their families and, if possible, get them fired from their jobs.
- · Created a sophisticated program for the schools to

normalize homosexuality and transgenderism. It does so by instructing children that homosexuality is normal and natural and by telling them that their parents or friends, who portray homosexuality in a less than positive way, are bad people, intolerant, bigoted etc. The program is called "Welcoming Schools". The program includes the requirement of gay-straight alliance clubs in the school, which has little to do with legitimate anti-bullying behaviour, but, instead, leads to punishment for anti-gay opinions or discussions.

- Funded the enforcement of oppressive laws against Christian businesses by instigating expensive lawsuits against them, as well as funding and lobbying for laws to criminalize Christian beliefs.
- Funded federal court cases for "gay marriage" and conducted expensive public propaganda campaigns in the media leading up to the court hearings, to sway the judges.
- Targeted the World Congress of Families (WCF) by planting vicious articles against it in the media, as well as picketing WCF events world-wide. HRC claims that WCF is responsible for the "discriminatory" policies against homosexuals in Africa, Eastern Europe and especially Russia. This is absurd, as the WCF only serves as support and encouragement to pro-family groups around the world. It does not get involved directly in the activities of these pro-family groups.
- The HRC describes WCF as "one of the most influential groups in America, promoting and coordinating the

- exportation of anti-LGBT bigotry, ideology and legislation abroad".
- In August 2014, HRC demanded that the US Treasury Board remove the charitable tax status from WCF, alleging it had broken US economic sanctions against Russia by furthering the ongoing conflicts in eastern Ukraine by its presence at the Conference on the Family held in Moscow in September 2014.

WHO IS FUNDING THE HRC?

The HRC is the world's largest and wealthiest homosexual advocacy group. It has a multi-million dollar annual budget which it uses to force its agenda on society. According to its tax return, it had an income of \$38,538,422 in 2013-2014. This funding comes from major US corporations. These include Starbucks, Staples, Liberty Mutual Insurance, Sun Life Financial, Morgan Stanley Investments, Diageo Vodka, and the US affiliate of the Toronto-Dominion Bank among others

The Corporation Sponsorship of HRC fundraising dinner, held in Boston on November 22, 2014, included: American Airlines, Apple, Microsoft, Diageo Vodka, Bank of America, Chevron, The Coca-Cola Company, Prudential Insurance, Lexus Auto, Google, IBM, Nike, Goldman Sachs, Hershey, JP Morgan Chase & Co, Dell, Shell Oil, Starbucks and TD Bank (US).

Obviously, all these corporations believe that supporting the homosexual cause is good for business. This is a measure of the media's power and influence by way of the stories they choose to publish or fail to publish. ‡

THE FRAUD OF GAY-STRAIGHT ALLIANCE CLUBS

Homosexual activists insist that every school, whether public, Catholic, Christian or private, must establish a gay-straight alliance club. They base this demand on the supposed need to protect homosexual students from being bullied because of their sexual orientation. This problem, they claim, can be alleviated by providing homosexual students with a "safe" place in the schools where they can be acknowledged and accepted. These clubs, also completely supported by the mainstream media, supposedly protect at-risk homosexual students from alcohol and drug abuse, as well as from suicide.

This argument, however, is a fraud. The <u>real</u> reason for the demand for these clubs, is to establish in each school a centre for the promotion and normalization of homosexuality by providing "diversity training" on homosexuality and to mete out punishment for "anti-gay" opinions or discussion, regardless of the beliefs and values of parents and students.

The hypocrisy of the activists' arguments to establish gay-straight alliance clubs was exposed in an article published in the "Canadian Journal of Psychiatry" (December 2014 Volume 59 no.12 pages 632-638).

According to this article, researchers from Toronto's Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre determined, from charts

of the Office of the Chief Coroner of Ontario for youth who died by suicide between 1998 and 2011, that bullying alone is a rare cause of suicide. According to this study, suicide in youths arises because of a complex interplay of various biological, psychological, and social factors of which bullying is only one. It challenges simple cause-and-effect models that may suggest that suicide arises from any one factor, such as bullying. Rather, depression (detected in 40% of suicides), conflicts with parents (21%), problems with girlfriends or boyfriends (17%), problems in school (11%) and criminal or legal problems (11%) were the main causes of youth suicide. In fact, according to this study, bullying appears to be a "relatively rare" cause of death by suicide.

This study is similar to a study on suicide published in the April 2, 2014 issue of <u>Asia-Pacific Psychiatry</u> which concluded that suicide among homosexuals is not due to discrimination or family rejection, etc., but is, in fact, mainly due to conflicts arising from the homosexuals' own relationships with their romantic partners.

Bullying is a fraud, and a pretense used by homosexual activists to establish a centre to promote homosexuality to our children in the schools. ‡

WE ARE ALL LOSERS BECAUSE OF THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION

The sexual revolution has brought sexually transmitted disease, unplanned pregnancies, abortion, fragmented relationships, broken families, alcohol and drug abuse, depression and many other such personal tragedies.

Society is in a terrible mess because of the sexual revolution. No one has been more harmed by it than women. No longer do women have society's protection and respect. They are no longer treated with equal dignity. Instead, far too many people regard them as disposable commodities for whom no one, especially men, bear any personal responsibility.

One reason for this is because women have been told that they can enjoy sex without commitment, just like men, and walk away with no after-effects. Women today are constantly pressured to provide sex. When they do, they frequently feel used, rejected and devalued. Their sense of self-worth and self-confidence is diminished. Why else has the number of women admitted to hospitals for "cutting" injuries nearly doubled in the past few years; deaths from eating disorders risen to 1500 each year; and hospitalization for eating disorders increased by 42% in the past two years? These are not indications of happy, fulfilled women.

Why are so many men failing to mature and accept the responsibility of a husband and father, not to mention that of a provider? Why has living together, rather than marriage, become the norm for young people?

In the past few months, the media have been fretting over the harm done to women by this casual attitude to sex. The argument is that the problem can be remedied by the simple requirement that sex only occurs when a woman has consented to it. That is supposed to make promiscuous activity acceptable. But how is "consent" determined? Was there a lack of consent, even though the woman went along with the act—i.e. didn't resist? Or, was there a failure of consent when the woman was intoxicated and did not know what was going on? And how does a man make this determination at the time in question, as to the level of the woman's intoxication? Just ask Liberal MP Massimo Pacetti (Saint-Léonard-Saint-Michel) about consent. He was unceremoniously drummed out of the federal Liberal Caucus by the all-knowledgeable party leader, Justin Trudeau, who decided that Mr. Pacetti didn't have the necessary consent when he had a sexual encounter with a female NDP MP (whose name has been solicitously protected from public disclosure). The female MP went to Mr. Pacetti's apartment in Ottawa after a sporting event and, when Mr. Pacetti indicated he wanted sexual relations with her, she handed him a condom and then participated. The female MP now insists that she had not given her consent because she didn't explicitly say yes.

The sexual revolution was supposed to provide unlimited freedom. Freedom from patriarchy, from social condemnation and freedom to make wide choices to attain personal fulfilment. Instead, the sexual revolution has brought sexually transmitted disease, unplanned pregnancies, abortion, fragmented relationships, broken families, alcohol and drug abuse, depression and many other such personal tragedies. The price we have paid for the sexual revolution is a heavy burden for all of us, men, women and, especially, children. No one seems particularly happy. †

MESSAGE BOARD

- All members of REAL Women should be receiving REALity either every month by e-mail, or every second month if you are receiving the hard copy. If you move or change your e-mail address, please inform our Ottawa office as soon as possible so that you do not miss any issues or other important mail-outs from us. Due to limited resources, we are not always able to follow-up on returned mail. Please check with other members you know, in order to verify that they are also receiving REALity regularly.
- The date of our National Annual General Meeting is Friday, June 5, 2015, 6:30 pm, at the North York Public Library in Toronto. We are hoping to have a guest speaker this year, yet to be announced. The official announcement for the AGM will appear in a subsequent issue of REALity.
- Ontario residents are encouraged to take out a membership in the Ontario PC party by Feb 28, 2015, in order to vote for a pro-life,pro-family candidate for leader of the Ont. PC Party on May 3 and 7. The cost is \$10.

SUPPORT REAL WOMEN OF CANADA

PLEASE MAKE A CONTRIBUTION TO JOIN OUR WORK TO DEFEND & PROTECT LIFE & THE FAMILY

Membership \$30/year • Groups \$50/year • Donation

Name ______Address

Contributions, unfortunately, are not tax deductible.

011

Province _____ Postal Code ____

Tel _____ Email ____

Send online at www.realwomenofcanada.ca or by mail. Thank you.

REALity is a publication of REAL Women of Canada

PO Box 8813 Station T Ottawa ON K1G 3J1 • Tel 613-236-4001 Fax 613-236-7203 www.realwomenofcanada.ca • info@realwomenofcanada.ca